

Insert Company Logo here

INITIAL CONSULTATION – PARTICIPANT RESPONSE PACK

MSATS PROCEDURES:

Consumer Administration and Transfer Solution (CATS)
Procedure Principles and Obligations Version 4.0

Procedure for the Management of Wholesale,
Interconnector, Generator and Sample (WIGS) NMIs
Version 4.0

Participant: *Lumo Energy*

Completion Date: *30 Nov 2013*

1. Participant Responses

- Section A covers the proposed changes to the CATS Procedure Version 3.87
- Section B covers the proposed changes to the WIGS Procedure Version 3.87

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

A. Proposed Changes to the CATS and WIGS Procedures

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red-strikeout means delete		
4.1.1	003	Clause 4.9 NMI Classification Codes Note (4) reference in table heading should be deleted and replaced with note (2) Also, given that the reference in new Note (2) is to jurisdictional information it might be better placed with note (1), since the customer designation is the jurisdictional matter, not all the descriptors in column 2			
4.1.2	004	Agree			
4.1.3	004	Agree			
4.1.4	005	Definition of Datastream type Proposed definition is inconsistent with other data descriptions. Also, various elements in the table are inconsistently described.	Proposed minimal change to bring some consistency: A single character code to denote the type of data being provided –e.g. ‘I’ for interval, ‘P’ for profile.		

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
 M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
 H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response												
		<p>Further, the proposed change refers a procedure which requires consultation to a reference document which doesn't require consultation.</p> <p>Lumo wishes to understand the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why a procedure is being cross referenced is to a document not requiring consultation 2. Why this single item is being changed and not the other items in the table <p>Lumo also suggest other definitions be reviewed to provide a consistent framework for the definitions – eg NMI classification code, Register Id etc.</p> <p>The table could be extended to four columns – MSATS Name, description of data format, description of data returned and reference.</p>	<p>Or a more complete change to the table as a whole to bring consistency to all items – e.g.:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>MSATS Name</th> <th>Data format</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Reference</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Unit of measurement</td> <td>Varchar (25)</td> <td>Code to identify the Unit of Measure (UOM) for data held in this register.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Datastream Type</td> <td>Single character Code</td> <td>denote the type of data being provided –e.g. 'I' for interval, 'P' for profile.</td> <td>Standing Data for MSATS</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	MSATS Name	Data format	Description	Reference	Unit of measurement	Varchar (25)	Code to identify the Unit of Measure (UOM) for data held in this register.		Datastream Type	Single character Code	denote the type of data being provided –e.g. 'I' for interval, 'P' for profile.	Standing Data for MSATS		
MSATS Name	Data format	Description	Reference														
Unit of measurement	Varchar (25)	Code to identify the Unit of Measure (UOM) for data held in this register.															
Datastream Type	Single character Code	denote the type of data being provided –e.g. 'I' for interval, 'P' for profile.	Standing Data for MSATS														
4.1.5	007	Agreed															
4.1.6	007	Agreed															
4.1.7	007	Agreed															
4.1.8	007	Agreed															
4.1.9	N/A	Noted															

B. Proposed Changes to the WIGS Procedure

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p>Red-strikeout means delete</p>		
4.2.1	003	<p>1.11 NMI Classification Codes</p> <p>Note (4) reference in table heading should be deleted and replaced with Note (2)</p> <p>Also, given that the reference in new Note (2) is to jurisdictional information it might be better placed with note (1), since the customer designation is the jurisdictional matter, not all the descriptors in column 2</p>			
4.2.2	005	Agree			
4.2.3	003	Agree			
4.2.4	N/A	Noted			

² L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.