| Number | Question | Stakeholder response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | When considering AEMO’s proposed approach to the inclusion of transmission loops within the automatedNRM process, what do stakeholders consider are the main challenges? Why? |  |
| 2 | Do stakeholders agree that AEMO’s proposed NRM process updates have been appropriately specified? |  |
| 3 | Do stakeholders consider the proposed measurement periods for loop-aggregate residues prior to commencement of, and exit from, a NRM management period are appropriate? Why? |  |
| 4 | Do stakeholders consider that the proposed NRM constraint equation step sizes for PEC are appropriate in the current market? |  |
| 5 | Has AEMO correctly identified the causes of cycling observed under the existing NRM process? |  |
| 6 | Is AEMO’s proposed modification to minimum flow limits for NRM constraints an appropriate solution to reduce cycling? Are there any unintended consequences? |  |
| 7 | Is the proposed minimum flow limit of 20MW an appropriate value? AEMO has implemented this release in AEMO’s pre-production environment and is seeking feedback on whether there are any unintentional consequences arising from such changes. |  |
| 8 | Describe whether AEMO should or should not also pursue the addition of a graduated release stage to NRM management periods to reduce the possibility of loop-level cycling? |  |
| 9 | Are the process criteria and parameters outlined in Appendix C appropriate for a graduated release stage being added to the NRM process? |  |
| 10 | Do stakeholders consider it appropriate to use 5MS calculations in the NRM process? |  |
| 11 | Should the use of Predispatch estimates of future IRSR be removed from the NRM process, wholly or selectively (for example, only for entry to/exit from a NRM management period)? |  |
| 12 | Are there any pre-conditions for, or possible unintended consequences of this change? |  |
| 13 | Do stakeholders consider this to be sufficiently material for AEMO to consider in the future? If yes, please provide justification. |  |
| 14 | Are there alternative approaches to dealing with the issues described? |  |
| 15 | Do stakeholders agree with the priorities assigned to these items? |  |