

Submission received via email to the GPSRR@aemo.com.au mailbox.

TasNetworks' responses to the 2026 GPSRR Approach Paper consultation questions are provided in the table below.

Number	Consultation Question	Answer
1	What are stakeholder views on the proposed priority risks and the associated approach and methodology for assessment in the 2026 GPSRR?	TasNetworks supports the proposed priority risks and the overall methodology for assessment. We encourage continued collaboration to ensure that risks specific to Tasmania are adequately considered throughout the process. In relation to Risk, emerging risks associated with large load connections. We note that in Tasmania, such developments may impact the availability of the Network Contingent System Protection Scheme (NCSPS), which currently operates only during Basslink export conditions. This limitation could result in increased system constraints and reduced operational flexibility, particularly along the Farrell–Sheffield and Sheffield–George Town transmission corridors. We recommend that AEMO consider these regional dynamics when refining risk scenarios and mitigation strategies.
2	Are there any other current and emerging system operator risks that may lead to cascading failure or major supply disruptions that should be included for discussion in the 2026 GPSRR?	Based on the scope and coverage of risks in previous GPSRRs, the proposed priority risks for 2026 appear appropriate. We support AEMO's continued monitoring of emerging trends, particularly in relation to evolving load profiles, particularly rapid uptake of data centres and distributed energy resources, which may warrant future inclusion.
3	Are there any suggested improvements regarding the risk assessment methodology?	The proposed risk assessment methodology appears fit for purpose and well-aligned with the objectives of the GPSRR.
4	What are stakeholder views regarding the proposed modelling approach for the priority risks for assessment in the 2026 GPSRR?	TasNetworks supports the proposed modelling approach. We recommend that AEMO enhance transparency around key modelling assumptions, particularly those related to emerging technologies such as Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS) and Distributed Energy Resources (DER). Clear articulation of assumptions regarding their contribution to system strength and inertia in Tasmania would improve stakeholder confidence and facilitate more informed engagement.

5	What are stakeholder views regarding the proposed risk cost assessment methodology to be applied in the 2026 GPSRR?	We acknowledge the challenges in assigning realistic probabilities to non-credible contingencies, which are nonetheless critical to accurate risk cost assessment. To improve robustness, we suggest AEMO consider using expert elicitation or probabilistic sensitivity analysis to better capture uncertainty and reduce the risk of skewed outcomes.
6	Does the proposed consultation approach meet stakeholder expectations and do stakeholders have any suggestions on how AEMO could best engage with industry on the development of the 2026 GPSRR?	The proposed consultation approach is broadly appropriate and reflects a genuine effort to engage with stakeholders.