

Hello,

The following is a submission for objection to AEMO's current ISP instantiation.

1. The ISP does not accurately model all scenarios for energy going forward. There is not currently a true "do nothing" scenario to enable a baseline to establish costs relative to the system as it currently stands. The Slow Change scenario which was the closest scenario to a baseline in the options modelled was dropped following proven lies by AEMO that the majority of stakeholders supported its removal.
2. The system does not cost in Consumer Energy Resources at all. How can you be claiming to model the costs of the whole system when you do not cost in a substantial element of it?
3. AEMO needs to clarify the reliability of their modelling with regards to weather patterns, where the modelling hugs predicted weather patterns extremely tightly and does not allow for unpredictability. Given the unpredictable nature of weather this seems to be at the most generous interpretation a very foolish way to deal with energy system modelling.
4. AEMO should model the construction of HumeLink at the time that the Feedback Loop notice approved. There appears to be strange confluence of building windows regarding a number of projects including Snowy 2.0, VNI West, CWO REZ and then somehow a suggestion that the weather conditions which are predicted to occur at that time will enable the effective construction of these projects without excess costs. This needs to be modelled appropriately because it currently is not clear how aligning these makes sense with reference to the "Staged approach" indicated by the 2022 ISP.

Thank you.

Kind regards,  
Lewis Arnold