

# STANDALONE POWER SYSTEMS

## PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

## PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

***Participant:*** TasNetworks

***Submission Date:*** 27/05/2022

# Table of Contents

- 1. Context ..... 3
- 2. Questions..... 3
- 3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter..... 5

## 1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the options detailed in the issues paper associated with the Standalone Power Systems (**SAPS**) consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of National Electricity Rules (**NER**) rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to Australian Energy Market Operator's (**AEMO's**) Retail Electricity Market Procedures.

## 2. Questions

Section	Description	Participant Comments
4.1.3	Do participants agree with AEMO's assessment that Metering Data Providers ( <b>MDPs</b> ) for accumulation meters should provide interval data to the generator MDP and AEMO in a NEM12 file as outlined in option 2(a)?	<p>In Principle.</p> <p>TasNetworks concur with AEMO's assessment that mandating all connection points within a SAPS to have a five-minute capable meter installed would be the most preferable solution.</p> <p>TasNetworks acknowledges the points raised by other parties in regards to facilitating the installation of five-minute capable metering at all connection points within a SAPS may not be achievable.</p> <p>However, given the increasing numbers of interval meters within the Tasmanian jurisdiction, it is untenable for TasNetworks (as MDP for Type 6 meters) to develop capability for profiling and provisioning of accumulation metering data to the Generator MDP.</p> <p>Accordingly, TasNetworks envisages that all SAPS connections in Tasmania will require an interval meter. TasNetworks considers this to be a more viable solution than facing the substantial costs to develop the</p>

Section	Description	Participant Comments
		<p>capability to manage a small number of accumulation meters within SAPS.</p> <p>This may be unique to each jurisdiction depending on the interval meter penetration rate, and acknowledge that procedures for option 2a may need to be developed where there is a higher likelihood of accumulation meters being contained within a SAPS.</p> <p>However, the development of procedures and processes to manage accumulation data in SAPS may not be widely utilised so the effort in developing a process needs to be weighed up against the benefit.</p>
4.1.3	Are there other advantages and disadvantages of the various options that AEMO should consider?	TasNetworks considers that mandating five-minute metering would provide the best outcome.
4.1.3	Are there other options that AEMO should consider to resolve this matter?	Another option would be for AEMO to profile Type 6 meter data for SAPS connections.
4.2.2	Do participants agree that this convention is to be captured in a procedure?	Yes, TasNetworks believes it would be beneficial.
4.2.2	In which procedure or supporting document should it be included?	<p>TasNetworks suggests that it may be appropriate for a guide to be produced for SAPS where, amongst other things, it could include details related to the Transmission Node Identifier (<b>TNI</b>) Convention.</p> <p>Alternatively the National Metering Identifier Procedure could be updated to include a section on SAPS.</p>
5	Has AEMO captured all the changes?	No comment

Section	Description	Participant Comments
5	In making the changes to the System Load Profile ( <b>SLP</b> ) and Metrology procedures, what are the issues that AEMO should keep in mind/consider?	No comment

### 3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Participant Comments