

# STANDALONE POWER SYSTEMS

## PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

## PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

***Participant: PLUS ES***

***Submission Date: 06/04/2022***

# Table of Contents

- 1. Context ..... 3
- 2. Questions..... 3
- 3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter..... 4

## 1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the options detailed in the issues paper associated with the Standalone Power Systems consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO’s Retail Electricity Market Procedures.

## 2. Questions

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.2	Are there other advantages/disadvantages of any of the options that AEMO should have considered?	<p><b>Option 1:</b> Provides additional system changes which option 2 would not require – PLUS ES recommends a cost benefit analysis to justify the system and schema change for the projected volumes of such NMIs, especially if Option 2 provides a more cost efficient option and minimal industry impacts, in meeting the requirement.</p> <p><b>Option2:</b> Option 2 delivers no Schema changes and potentially the least cost option whilst meeting the requirement.</p> <p>There is a potential challenge to come up with a uniform convention within the constraints of the current 4 digit TNI structure (if it is to be maintained). That is, ensuring the digits of the convention are sufficient to cater for the SAPS NMI volumes.</p>

Section	Description	Participant Comments
		<p><b>Option 3:</b> Provides additional system changes which option 1 and 2 would not require – PLUS ES recommends a cost benefit analysis to justify the system and schema changes for the projected volumes of such NMIs, especially if Option 2 provides a more cost efficient option and minimal industry impacts in meeting the requirement.</p>
3.3.2	Is there another option for identifying a SAPS NMI that AEMO should consider? Why?	No comment
3.3.2	Which of the three options for identifying a SAPS NMI do you prefer and why?	<p>PLUS ES' preference is Option 2, for the below reasoning:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The SAPS NMI volumes are currently estimated to be &lt; 0.002% of the NMI population</li> <li>• This option meets the requirements of identifying the NMI as SAPS</li> <li>• From our analysis with information made available, it is the most efficient and least impact deliverable.</li> </ul>

### 3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Participant Comments
<p>A visual aid such as a overview diagram would be beneficial to provide the audience a level of SAPS understanding from the field installation of a SAPS through to Market settlements.</p>