

# RETAIL PROCEDURES (WHOLESALE DEMAND RESPONSE)

## PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

## SECOND STAGE PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

***Participant:*** Red Energy and Lumo Energy

***Submission Date:*** 2 February 2021

## 1. MSATS Procedures: CATS and WIGS

Description	Participant Comments
<p>AEMO to Populate MSATS with the DRSP for the appropriate NMIs where the loads have been classified as wholesale demand response units.</p>	<p>Red Energy and Lumo Energy (Red and Lumo) are pleased to see AEMO assign itself a timeframe of two business days for updating the NMI in MSATS where the load is classified or unclassified. The procedure needs to be further amended to ensure that the update is dated prospectively, allowing enough notice to be provided to both the DRSP and the retailer for necessary actions at their respective ends.</p> <p>Proposed wording for MSATS CATS Procedures:</p> <p><i>2.10(h) Populate MSATS with the DRSP for the appropriate NMIs where the loads have been classified as wholesale demand response units within 2 business days of the load being classified, and with a prospective date of at least 2 days from the date of the update taking place in MSATS.</i></p> <p><i>2.10(i) Populate MSATS with the Participant ID of NOWDRU for the appropriate NMIs where the loads have been <del>e</del>unclassified as wholesale demand response units within 2 business days of the load being <del>e</del>unclassified, and with a prospective date of at least 2 days from the date of the update taking place in MSATS.</i></p>

## 2. Metrology Procedure: Part B

Description	Participant Comments
<p>Inclusion of DRSP role as a participant the MDPs need to seek agreement from for using substitution types.</p>	<p>Red and Lumo support AEMO’s decision that MDPs should not be required to seek agreement from the DRSP for relevant substitution types.</p>

### 3. B2B E-HUB ACCREDITATION AND REVOCATION PROCESS

Description	Participant Comments
<p>Inclusion of DRSP role as an initiator for <b>VerifyMeterDataRequest</b> and recipient for <b>VerifyMeterDataResponse</b>.</p>	<p>AEMO’s response to our objection to the inclusion of the DRSP role is not clear. When referring to AEMO’s response in Table 1, item 475, as instructed, the comments read: <i>The DRSP will only be settled when the metering data has a quality flag of "A" or "F". MDPs will now no longer be required to seek agreement from the DRSP for relevant substitution types. The Metrology Procedure Part B will be amended accordingly.</i></p> <p>The above drafting is unclear as it can be read that the DRSP role would not be added. However, when reviewing the marked version of the B2B e-Hub accreditation draft v1.3, the DRSP role has been left as included. Red and Lumo recommend that AEMO strike out the wording ‘or DRSP’ from <b>Table 1 B2B Transactions</b> in both the columns of <b>Initiator/s</b> and <b>Recipient for Transaction Type of VerifyMeterDataRequest</b> and <b>VerifyMeterDataResponse</b>.</p>

### 4. Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Description	Participant Comments
<p>Inclusion of DRSP as a recipient of the Planned Interruption Notification (PIN)</p>	<p>Red and Lumo support the IEC’s decision that the DRSP should not be made a recipient of the PIN. We agree that the DRSP and customer relationship is the lowest cost method, in contrast to the higher-cost PIN approach, and seeing that customers of the DRSP will be advised of outages from distributors or retailers, they can in turn inform their DRSP of the outage.</p>

