

MSATS Standing Data Review

- MSDR Second Draft Determination and Report
- MSATS Procedures – WIGS
- MSATS Procedures – CATS
- Standing Data for MSATS Guideline
- Retail Electricity Market Procedures Glossary & Framework
- Exemption Procedure Meter Installation Malfunctions
- Metrology Procedure Part A

CONSULTATION – Second Draft Stage

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: SA Power Networks

Completion Date: 10 July 2020

Table of Contents

- 1. Context 3
- 2. Questions raised in the MSATS Standing Data Review Second Draft Report 3
- 3. Proposed Changes in MSATS Procedures – CATS..... 4
- 4. Proposed Changes in MSATS Procedures - WIGS..... 7
- 5. Proposed Changes in Standing Data for MSATS Guideline 8
- 6. Proposed Changes in Metrology Procedure Part A..... 10
- 7. Proposed Changes in Exemption Procedure Meter Installation Malfunctions 11
- 8. Proposed Changes in Retail Electricity Market Procedures - Glossary and Framework 11
- 9. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter..... 12

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback to the questions raised in the Second Draft Report about the proposed changes to the MSATS Standing Data, and to the second draft changes highlighted in **YELLOW** in the change marked versions of the different procedures and guidelines released in the second draft stage of consultation.

2. Questions raised in the MSATS Standing Data Review Second Draft Report

2.1 Material Issues

Information Category	Question No.	Question	Participant Comments
Metering Installation Transformer Information	1.	The proposed CT/VT fields values and validations, as listed above, are provided as examples to stimulate feedback from participants. AEMO notes some feedback that options are missing for CT Types, to allow for HV CTs and LV Special CTs. What is the list of values and validations that you need or want for the enumerated list for the various CT/VT fields? (In the absence of any such feedback, the list proposed by AEMO would provide the initial values for the CT/VT fields)?	

3. Proposed Changes in MSATS Procedures – CATS

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in **yellow** in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
2.2 (r)	See comment in 2.3(r)
2.2(s)	See comment in 2.3(r)
2.3(r)	<p>SA Power Networks do not support the 2 Business Day obligation.</p> <p>There is no urgency linked to the Shared Fuse Arrangement information being published and therefore all timeframes stated in all clauses should be changed from 2 Business Days to 5 Business Days. Processing of this information is likely to be manual for many businesses and the timeframe being extended to 5 Business Days will provide businesses with space required to efficiently process the information.</p> <p>5 Business Days should be reflected in the following clauses:</p> <p>2.2 (r) and (s)</p> <p>2.3 (r) and (s) and (t)</p> <p>2.6 (k) and (l)</p>
2.3(s)	<p>See comment in 2.3(r)</p> <p>Additionally, SA Power Networks is unclear what benefit the flag value of “l” will provided to the industry and therefore request this clause 2.3 (s) be removed entirely.</p>

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
	The information captured about Shared Fuse arrangements should be kept simple - adding an additional flag value adds complexity and provides room for errors (both in system records and business interpretation). Users of the field only need to understand 2 things – the NMI is either impacted by a Shared Isolation scenario (therefore the value of “Y” provides this information) or it is not impacted (therefore value of “N” provides this information).
2.3(t)	See comment in 2.3(r)
2.6(k)	See comment in 2.3(r)
2.6(l)	See comment in 2.3(r)
2.9(k)	
9.3.4(c)	
9.3.4(e)	
9.4.4(c)	
9.4.4(d)	
10.1.4(c)	
10.1.4(e)	
10.2.4(g)	

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
10.3.4(h)	
10.4.4(g)	
10.5.4(g)	
15.1.4(f)	
Table 16-C	

4. Proposed Changes in MSATS Procedures - WIGS

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in yellow in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
4.3.4(c)	
4.3.4(h)	
5.2.4(c)	
5.2.4(d)	
5.3.4(f)	
5.4.4(f)	
9.1.4(b)(iii)	

5. Proposed Changes in Standing Data for MSATS Guideline

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in yellow in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
Table 3 CATS_METER_REGISTER	
Table 6 CATS_NMI_DATA	<p>Shared Isolation Point Flag</p> <p>SA Power Networks is unclear what benefit the flag value of “I” will provided to the industry and therefore request this value be removed.</p> <p>The information captured about Shared Fuse arrangements should be kept simple - adding an additional flag value adds complexity and provides room for errors (both in system records and business interpretation). Users of the field only need to understand 2 things – the NMI is either impacted by a Shared Isolation scenario (therefore the value of “Y” provides this information) or it is not impacted (therefore value of “N” provides this information).</p>
Table 8 CATS_REGISTER_IDENTIFIER	
Table 15 Valid Meter Use Codes	
Table 16 Valid Time of Day Codes	
Section 13	
Table 43 CATS_Meter_Register	
Table 46 CATS_NMI_Data	

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
Table 49 CATS_Meter_Register	
Table 52 CATS_NMI_Data	

6. Proposed Changes in Metrology Procedure Part A

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in **yellow** in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
14. SHARED FUSE ARRANGEMENTS	<p>SA Power Networks do not support the current wording and suggest the following changes to 14(a) – noting that the final AEMC rules only place an obligation on distributors to record the shared fusing information as soon as practicable.</p> <p>Suggested wording - 14(a) - Local Network Service Providers (LNSPs) must record, and maintain Shared Fuse Arrangements through the Shared Isolation Point Flag in MSATS where the connection point has been identified as impacted, as specified in the NER and the MSATS Procedures.</p>
	<p>14(d) – diagram changes -</p> <p>Diagrams 2 & 3 – these diagrams should be updated to remove this value from examples (as per comments made regarding the flag value of “I”).</p>

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
	Diagram 4 – Meter 1 should still show an isolation point between the smart meter box and arrow head.

7. Proposed Changes in Exemption Procedure Meter Installation Malfunctions

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in **yellow** in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
1.1	
2.2	
Appendix A	
Appendix B	

8. Proposed Changes in Retail Electricity Market Procedures - Glossary and Framework

Please provide feedback to the the changes highlighted in **yellow** in the change marked version of the document

Section No/Field Name	Participant Comments
5. GLOSSARY Shared Fuse Arrangement	

9. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Heading	Participant Comments
Transition Timeframes	Where final changes result in data being required to be provided to MSATS by participants, a minimum of 12 months (or longer timeframe if agreed with AEMO) should be provide from the

Heading	Participant Comments
	effective date of the new obligations to complete this work. Participants should be provided with time to populate data in the most efficient manner possible that does not impose unwarranted cost to industry and customers.
Effective Date of Changes	<p>SA Power Networks understands that there are a number of industry changes proposed for Q3 or Q4 2021 – with a number of these final delivery timeframes yet to be determined.</p> <p>Given the current changes to the go live dates for 5MS/GS rule changes (5MS now commencing 1 October 2021), SA Power Networks requested that the earliest that any changes linked to this MSATS Standing Data Review (MSDR) occur is late within Q1 2022 (as previously indicated, MSDR changes can occur over 2 stages/phases of release, we support this phased approach and would expect the 2nd stage occurring in late 2022).</p> <p>The approach for MSDR is required to ensure we have the capacity to deliver all the required internal work across the full range of industry changes.</p>