

## B2B Procedures

- Customer and Site Details (version change)
- Service Order
- Meter Data
- One Way Notification
- Technical Delivery Specification

## CONSULTATION – Second Draft Report

## CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE

### Table of Contents

1. One Way Notification Process.....	2
2. General Comments.....	5

## 1. One Way Notification Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
AGL	CI 4.2	CL 4.2	AGL notes that within the enhanced PIN there is no End Time, noting the recent rule change for shared fuses, there may be a need for a specified end time, and whether a flag indicating an appointment is required and suggest that these additions be considered by the B2BWG.
AGL	4.2.2 Table 7		<p>The Use and Definition of <i>EndDate</i> needs modification if it is to be mandatory for outage windows exceeding one (1) day as proposed in the consultation paper.</p> <p>AGL would suggest that if this is to be the standard applied, then it should be applied to all transactions which have outage information so that usage is consistent where this information is used – i.e.: PIN, MXN, MFIN and Service Order EndDate.</p>
AGL			

## 2. Service Order Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
AGL	Cl 4 Transactions		<p>AGL notes that with the release of the NMI standing Data – Draft Report, that the Exemption number is now most likely to be populated in MSATS by AEMO.</p> <p>AGL's understanding of the inclusion of the Exemption ID in the SO, was to provide information from an MC to an MP.</p> <p>If the exemption ID is to be populated in MSATS, AGL would not support the inclusion of the exemption ID with the SO structure, as it would be redundant information, as the MP would have access to the information at the same time the MC did.</p>

***Second Draft Report Question***

Given a majority of respondents to the First Draft Report indicated a preference towards enhancement of the PIN to replace the MXN, drafting of it has been provided. With this drafting in mind, are there any further enhancements or changes to the PIN that you would suggest? If so, what?

Participant Name	Question No	Comments
AGL	1	<p>AGL has reviewed the proposal to enhance either the MFN or the the PIN and considers than enhancing the PIN is a more preferred solution than enhancing the MFN. The enhanced PIN would contain more information for fewer changes than the enhanced MFN would.</p> <p>In saying this, AGL notes that AEMO will continue to support the previous schema, which is understood to include the Meter Exchange Notice (MXN).</p>

## 1. General Comments

Participant Name	Document/Section	Clause No	Comments
AGL			N/A