

## B2B Procedures

- Customer and Site Details (version change)
- Service Order
- Meter Data (version change)
- One Way Notification
- Technical Delivery Specification

## CONSULTATION – First Stage

## CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

***Participant:*** Red Energy and Lumo Energy

***Completion Date:*** 13 January 2020

# Table of Contents

<b>1. Service Order Process</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. One Way Notification Process</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. Technical Delivery Specification</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4. Costs</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5. Consultation Timeframes</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>6. Verify Standing Data</b>	<b>5</b>

## 1. Service Order Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Red Energy and Lumo Energy (Red and Lumo)		5.1.1 (Question 1)	Yes. Red Energy and Lumo Energy (Red and Lumo), support the changes in section 5.1.1.
Red and Lumo		5.1.1 (Question 2)	Red and Lumo have no additional requirements
Red and Lumo		5.1.2 (Question 3)	Other. Red and Lumo support the proposed changes. However, since the use of the Supply Abolishment SO in NSW does not result in the need for any field work, unlike other jurisdictions, we believe it should be made clear that there should not be any fees associated with this specific SO for NSW.
Red and Lumo		5.1.3 (Question 4)	Yes. Red Energy and Lumo Energy (Red and Lumo), support the changes in section 5.1.3

## 2. One Way Notification Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Red and Lumo		5.2 (Question 5)	Yes. Red Energy and Lumo Energy (Red and Lumo), support the continued use of CSV-based MXN section 5.2

Red and Lumo		5.2 (Question 6)	Should industry decide to retire the MFX (which we do not support) -- Red and Lumo would prefer option 1 - retire the MXN as part of updating the MFIN.
Red and Lumo		5.2 (Question 7)	Red and Lumo would ideally see the changes made aligned with the other transfer changes mentioned.

### 3. Technical Delivery Specification

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Red and Lumo		5.3 (Question 8)	Red and Lumo do not envisage there to be any substantial problems.
Red and Lumo		5.3 (Question 9)	Red and Lumo support limiting the number of transactions within the MTRD.
Red and Lumo		5.3 (Question 10)	Red and Lumo agree that the volume is appropriate.

### 4. Costs

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Red and Lumo		5.7 (Question 11)	Costs and business risk will be dependent on whether and when industry decides to

			implement the change.
--	--	--	-----------------------

## 5. Consultation Timeframes

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Red and Lumo		6.1 (Question 13)	Red and Lumo would support an implementation date that aligns with other electricity schema changes.

## 6. Verify Standing Data

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Red and Lumo		6.2 (Question 14)	No. Red and Lumo do not see any value in the development of new Verify Standing Data transactions, unless there is an obligation in place to investigate and update the information in question.
Red and Lumo		6.2 (Question 15)	As above