PPC response template for 2024 Package 1 (B2B changes) responses to be e-mailed to grcf@aemo.com.au by **10th January 2025.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Review comments submitted by: *<insert company>* Contact Person: *<insert contact person>* | Date: *<insert date>* |  |

If your organisation only participates in Western Australia (WA) then complete only **sections 1A** and 2. Section 3 is optional.

If your organisation only participates in East-Coast jurisdictions, then complete only **sections 1B** and 2. Section 3 is optional.

If your organisation participates in both WA and East-Coast jurisdictions complete **sections 1A, 1B** (Note: If your assessment of the PPC is the same for 1A and 1B then write “as above” in section 1B) and 2. Section 3 is optional.

**Section 1A - General Comments on the Proposed Procedure**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Topic | Please Provide Response Here | AEMO Response (AEMO only) |
| Q1/ Sections 1 to 6, 8[[1]](#footnote-2) to 10 of the PPC sets out details of the proposal. Does your organisation support AEMO’ s assessment of the proposal? If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO’s assessment (include PPC section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation’s rationale why you do not support AEMO’s assessment.  | <Insert response> |  |
| Q2/ Sections 6.1 of the PPC includes an indicative understanding of the overall industry benefits[[2]](#footnote-3). AEMO also included a statement in relation to its benefits. Please provide, in summary form, what benefits the change will have on your organisation (in terms of efficiency, customer benefits, privacy, etc.) for each initiative and/or overall. If any monetary benefits are provided (e.g. in terms of annual FTE savings), these will be kept confidential. | **In relation to IN004/22**: <Insert response> |  |
| **In relation to IN002/22**: <Insert response> |  |
| **Overall:** <Insert response> |  |
| Q3/ Sections 6.1 of the PPC of the PPC includes an indicative understanding of the overall industry costs[[3]](#footnote-4). AEMO also included a statement in relation to its costs. Please provide what costs the change will create for your organisation as an order of magnitude (i.e. “low”, “medium”, or “high”). If any monetary values (e.g. once-off implementation costs, and any ongoing annual cost) are provided (e.g. in terms of the cost of system changes), these will be kept confidential. | **In relation to IN004/22**: <Insert response> |  |
| **In relation to IN002/22**: <Insert response> |  |
| **Overall:** <Insert response> |  |
| Q4/ Section 4.2 of the PCC refers to an update to LocationDescriptor field length introduced in R42 schema where participants are expected to configure gateways/internal systems as per current and future prescribed TP value of 30 and NOT the 200 in the ClientInformation\_r42.xsd. AEMO believe that this configuration to the existing TP allowable value should not be a material issue as there are similar occurrences whereby a TP fields length can differ to an aseXML schema field length. If the above change raises material issues for your organisation, please provide details of impacts and other information related to the issue.  | <Insert response if applicable> |  |
| Q5/ Are there any material issue or comments your organisation wishes to express in relation to the changes described in the PPC? If so, include information such matters.  | <Insert response if applicable> |  |

**Section 1B - General Comments on the Proposed Procedure**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Topic | Please Provide Response Here | AEMO Response (AEMO only) |
| Q1/ Sections 1 to 7, 9 and 10 of the PPC sets out details of the proposal. Does your organisation support AEMO’ s assessment of the proposal? If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO’s assessment (include PPC section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation’s rationale why you do not support AEMO’s assessment. | <Insert response>  |  |
| Q2/ Sections 6.1 of the PPC includes an indicative understanding of the overall industry benefits[[4]](#footnote-5). AEMO also included a statement in relation to its benefits. Please provide, in summary form, what benefits the change will have on your organisation (in terms of efficiency, customer benefits, privacy, etc.) for each initiative and/or overall. If any monetary benefits are provided (e.g. in terms of annual FTE savings), these will be kept confidential. | **In relation to IN004/22**: <Insert response> |  |
| **In relation to IN002/22**: <Insert response> |  |
| **In relation to IN005/24 (Only retailers need to respond)** <Insert response> |  |
| **Overall:** <Insert response> |  |
| Q3/ Sections 6.1 of the PPC of the PPC includes an indicative understanding of the overall industry costs[[5]](#footnote-6). AEMO also included a statement in relation to its costs. Please provide what costs the change will create for your organisation as an order of magnitude (i.e. “low”, “medium”, or “high”). If any monetary values (e.g. once-off implementation costs, and any ongoing annual cost) are provided (e.g. in terms of the cost of system changes), these will be kept confidential. | **In relation to IN004/22**: <Insert response> |  |
| **In relation to IN002/22**: <Insert response> |  |
| **In relation to IN005/24 (Only retailers need to respond)** <Insert response> |  |
| **Overall:** <Insert response> |  |
| Q4/ Section 4.2 of the PCC refers to an update to LocationDescriptor field length introduced in R42 schema where participants are expected to configure gateways/internal systems as per current and future prescribed TP value of 30 and NOT the 200 in the ClientInformation\_r42.xsd. AEMO believe that this configuration to the existing TP allowable value should not be a material issue as there are similar occurrences whereby a TP fields length can differ to an aseXML schema field length. If the above change raises material issues for your organisation, please provide details of impacts and other information related to the issue.  | <Insert response if applicable> |  |
| Q5/ Are there any material issue or comments your organisation wishes to express in relation to the changes described in the PPC? If so, include information such matters.  | <Insert response if applicable> |  |

**Section 2 - Feedback on the documentation changes in the Attachments of the PPC General Comments on the Proposed Procedure**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Initiative  | Jurisdiction |
| Ref# | **Technical Protocol**  | **IN004/22****(Net Tar)** | **IN002/22****(Sub/Est)** | **IN005/24** **(RoLR)[[6]](#footnote-7)** | **VIC** | **QLD** | **NSW/ACT** | **SA** | **WA** |
| 1 | Participant Build Pack 1 – Process Flow Table of transactions  |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| 2 | Participant Build Pack 1 - CSV Data Format Specifications |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |  |  |
| 3 | Participant Build Pack 2 – System Interface Definitions |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |  |  |
| 4 | Participant Build Pack 3- B2B System Interface Definitions  |  | ✓ |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |  |  |
| 5 | Gas Interface Protocol (Victoria) |  | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Gas Interface Protocol (Queensland) |  | ✓ | ✓ |  | ✓ |  |  |  |
| 7 | Participant Build Pack 5 - NSW-ACT | ✓ | ✓ |  |  |  | ✓ |  |  |
| 8 | Gas Interface Protocol (NSW/ACT) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |  |  | ✓ |  |  |
| 9 | FRC B2B System Interface Definitions (SA/WA) |  | ✓ | ✓ |  |  |  | ✓ | ✓ |
| 10 | Specification Pack Usage Guide (SA and WA) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |  |  |  | ✓ | ✓ |

|  |
| --- |
| \*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\* |
| Ref # 1 - Participant Build Pack 1 - Table of Transaction, Table of Elements and new tab Sched&Special Codes& Enum’.  |
| Row # Column # in spreadsheet | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| \*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\* |
| Ref # 2 - Participant Build Pack 1 - CSV Data Format Specifications.  |
| Section | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| \*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\* |
| Ref # 3 - Participant Build Pack 2 – System Interface Definitions.  |
| Section | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| \*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\* |
| Ref # 4 - Participant Build Pack 3- B2B System Interface Definitions  |
| Section | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| \*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\* |
| Ref # 5 - Gas Interface Protocol (Victoria)  |
| Section | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| \*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\* |
| Ref # 6 - Gas Interface Protocol (Queensland)  |
| Section | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| \*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\* |
| Ref # 7 - Participant Build Pack 5- NSW-ACT  |
| Section | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| \*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\* |
| Ref # 8 - Gas Interface Protocol (NSW/ACT)  |
| Section | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| \*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\* |
| Ref # 9 - FRC B2B System Interface Definitions (SA/WA).  |
| Section | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| \*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\* |
| Ref # 10 - Specification Pack Usage Guide (SA and WA) |
| Section | **Issue / Comment** | **Proposed text** ~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Section 3[[7]](#footnote-8) – Additional feedback that is not part of this consultation but warrants further investigation/discussion.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Topic | Please Provide Response Here |
| Does your organisation have any feedback / suggestions that closely relates to the scope or impacts this consultation, but the nature of the feedback / suggestion warrant further investigations / discussion? If so, please included your feedback / suggestions.  | <Insert here> |

1. As described in section 8, item 1 AEMO’s preliminary assessment base on the pre-consultation feedback AEMO would not progress these changes in WA. This may change if the feedback AEMO received in this round of consultation if feedback revealed that the overall benefits outweigh the costs. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. The benefits should be based on a Q2 (Apr to Jun) 2026 implementation. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. The costs should be based on a Q2 (Apr to Jun) 2026 implementation [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. The benefits should be based on a Q2 (Apr to Jun) 2026 implementation. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. The benefits should be based on a Q2 (Apr to Jun) 2026 implementation. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. East Coast only [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. Note - This feedback will be reviewed by AEMO at a later date, therefore will not be used for this consultation. AEMO will complete a preliminary assessment of the feedback assess the feedback and it may then form part of another consultation or the annual prioritization process [↑](#footnote-ref-8)