

PPC response template for IN018/20 (Q4 2021 bundled release residual tidy-up) – <u>Responses to be emailed to</u> <u>grcf@aemo.com.au by 18 February 2021.</u>

Review comments submitted by: AGL

Contact Person: Mark Riley

Please complete sections 1 and 2. Section 3 is optional.

Section 1 - General Comments on the Proposed Procedure Change

Date: 18 Feb 2021

Торіс	Please Provide Response Here
Sections 1 to 9 of the PPC sets out details of the proposal.	The assessment of the proposed changes seems appropriate.
Does your organisation support AEMO's assessment of the proposal (i.e. does your organization believe that AEMO has adequately described the requirements and surrounding context of the proposal)?	
If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO's assessment (include PPC section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation's rationale for not supporting AEMO's assessment.	



Section 2 – Comments on the changes described in Section 3 of the Proposed Procedure Change

	Participants are to complete the rele	vant columns below in order to record their response.	
Section of PPC	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	AGL supports this deletion		
Section 3.1: Delete			
T71 transaction			
	AGL supports this deletion		
Section 3.2: Delete T72 "Update to Meter			
Route" transaction			
	AGL Supports this change		
Section 3.3: Add event codes for CDN/CDR			
Section 3.4: Reword	AGL Supports this change		
clause 4.6.2			

	Participants are to complete the rele	evant columns below in order to record their response.	
Section of PPC	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red-strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
Section 3.5: Align	AGL Supports this change		
data dictionary with aseXML schema			
	AGL Supports this change		
Section 3.6: Manifest errors and other non- controversial changes			
	AGL Supports this change		
Section 3.7: Diagram changes			

	Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.		
Section of PPC	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
Section 3.8: - CustomerTitle element -	AGL supports the change		



Section 3 – Corrections to typographical or administrative errors in collating the documents

Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.

RMP Clause #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	Ref #1 – E	32B Service Order Specifications – Part 1	
	While the SO specification identifies the parent JECs, AGL suggests that it would be useful to ensure that there is a table showing the children JECs and their usage to ensure clear understanding and alignment with other Jurisdictional and electricity usage.	There are various tables from the PPC which could be inserted for clarity, in particular the tab called Meter & MIRN Status in PBP3 – Process Flow of Transactions.	
	Ref #2 – E	32B Service Order Specifications – Part 2	
	JEC Worksheet.	Insert 'AML' into cellA3.	
	The base AML code (AML- Row 3) seems to have been deleted.		
	Ref #3 – Spec Pack FRC B2B System Interface Definitions		
	Life Support Reason codes –align with electricity usage	Suggest definition of 'Confirm Life Support' be aligned to the most recent changes in electricity which is for the responder to only provide what's currently recorded in their system.	

RMP Clause #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	Ref #4	– Gas Interface Protocol (NSW-ACT)	
	AGL supports the change		
	Ref #5 -	- Gas Interface Protocol (Queensland)	
	AGL supports the change		
	Ref #	6 – Gas Interface Protocol (Victoria)	
	AGL supports the change		
	Ref #	7 – PBP 1 – Process Flow Diagrams	
	AGL supports the change	The tables from the PPC which could be inserted into this document for clarity, in particular the tab called Meter & MIRN Status in PBP3 – Process Flow of Transactions.	
		Also, AGL notes that with the WA processes, there is a new status of No Regulator, which should also be included for clarity.	

RMP Clause #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
Ref #8 – PBP1	– Process Flow Table of Transactions		
Row 10	As there are now two address elements, once has been identified as xml, but AGL suggests that for completeness the description of the other Address element is identified as CSV. Further, the aseXML definition does not specify the Attributes/format, length or code the way the other aseXML elements do.	Eg – amend second Address to Supply Point Address (in csv transactions) Provide specific definitional information for the aseXML Address element	
Row 62	Australian Standard AS4590 (naming information) specifies that if the person has a single name only, then the family name field should be used. The Schema references AS4590, but it is unclear how csv files may operate. Can AEMO confirm that the first name can be left blank, and if so, AGL suggests that an additional note be added.	Suggest Add: <u>Where the customer only identifies with a single name, then that</u> <u>name should be populated in the Family Name space and the</u> <u>person given name should be left blank.</u>	
Row 72	Check if an LSR gives current status for future		
Row 150	Last Modified Date Time Note that the field only defines the date information, not the time information for last modified.	The aseXML version required both date and time information as defined by the DATETIME definition.	

RMP Clause #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
Meter and MIRN Status	There are now additional and varied combinations of Meter & MIRN status and variations between East and West Coast,	AGL suggests that the 'Meter and MIRN Status' diagram in PBP 1 be updated to show how Trailer Air Couplings are included, how the WA regulator removal impacts MIRN status and a summary table also added – like the one shown: 5/Meter Status / MIRN Status So/Meter Status / MIRN Status Tarmed On Main De-Commissioned Turned Off No Meter SCR Tarmed Off No Meter SoR De-Commissioned No Meter SCR Tarmed Off No Meter SoR De-Commissioned No Meter No Meter SCR Tarmed Off No Meter SoR De-Commissioned No Meter No Meter SCR Tarmed Off No Meter Sore SCR Tarmed Off No Meter Sore SCR Tarmed No Meter SCR Sore SCR Sore Sore	
Row 229, 230, 234, 281	The information for the Attributes/format, length or code is not listed the way it is for the other aseXML elements.		
Ref #9 – PBP 1 -	- CSV Data Format Specification		
	AGL supports the change		
Ref #10 – PBP3	– B2B System Interface Definitions		

RMP Clause #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
CI 4.6.2	The obligations to provide customer details exists within the Victorian framework and the NERR. AGL suggest that the reference to NERR either be deleted or extended to the Victorian Energy Retail Rules as well to ensure complete understanding by users.		
CI 4.6.2	Table on page 163 – ID 1 & 2 Also delete the '/' after CustomerDetailsNotification		
CI 4.6.2.1	Australian Standard AS4590 (naming information) specifies that if the person has a single name only, then the family name field should be used. The Schema references AS4590, but it is unclear how csv files may operate. Can AEMO / ASWG confirm that the first name can be left blank, and if so, AGL suggests that an additional	Suggest Add: <u>Where the customer only identifies with a single name, then that</u> <u>name should be populated in the Family Name space and the</u> <u>person given name should be left blanks.</u>	
4.6.3	note be added. As there are 2 Notifications (CDN and LSN) AGL suggests that the Notification be fully identified.	Suggested amendment: A Distributor sends a CustomerDetailsRequest to the current Retailer when they reasonably believe that the information in the CustomerDetailsNotification has not been previously provided in a <u>Customer Details</u> Notification transaction or that the information they hold is or may be incorrect.	
4.7.2 (a)	LSN initiators cannot be prospective retailers within Victoria.	Suggested amendment: The initiator of the Life Support Notification can be either the Distributor or Retailer as described by National Energy Retail Rules (NERR) rules 124(1)(c) or 124(4)(c), Victorian Energy Retail Code clause 125(1)(a)) and Victorian Gas Distribution Code clause 4A.3(1)(iv), as applicable.	

RMP Clause #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)	
4.7.2 (d)	Suggest that this be amended to be required where there is a shared Life Support Customer. The notification is not necessary when only one party is removing a registration from their records.	Suggested amendment: Where the Retailer or Distributor has completed the deregistration process for a <u>shared</u> Life Support customer they must send the other party an updated LifeSupportNotification.		
Appendix A Customer/PersonN ame	Suggest for allowed values that the description be extended to cover single person names.	Suggest Add: <u>Where the customer only identifies with a single name, then that</u> <u>name should be populated in the Family Name space and the</u> <u>person given name should be left blanks.</u>		
Appendix A RegistrationOwner	Amend description for clarity	Registration Process Owner for Life Support		
Ref #11 – PBP5 -	- NSW-ACT Specific Build Pack			
	AGL supports the change.			
	Ref #12 – PBP6	– NSW-ACT (Wagga Wagga and Tamworth)		
	AGL supports the change.			
	Ref #13 – Specification Pack Usage Guide			

RMP Clause #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text Red strikeout means delete and blue underline means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
	AGL supports the change.		

Section 4¹ – Additional feedback that is not part of this consultation but warrants further investigations / discussions.

Торіс	Please Provide Response Here
Does your organisation have any feedback / suggestions	NSW PBP 5
that closely relate to the scope or impacts this	Appendix A
consultation, but the nature of the feedback / suggestion	The timing requirements for some actions are defined with the Comments column, while
warrant further investigations / discussion? If so, please	many are defined within the timing column.
included your feedback / suggestions.	Suggest the ones in comments be relocated to the timing column for consistency.

¹ Note - This feedback will be reviewed by AEMO at a later date, therefore will not be used for this consultation. AEMO will complete a preliminary assessment of the feedback assess the feedback and it may then form part of another consultation or the annual prioritisation process