

IIR response template for IN018/20 (Q4 2021 bundled release residual tidy-up) – Responses to be emailed to [grcf@aemo.com.au](mailto:grcf@aemo.com.au) by 9 April 2021.

Review comments submitted by: *Australian Gas Networks*

Date: 9/4/21

Contact Person: *Rick Abbott*

Please complete sections 1 and 2. Section 3 is optional.

Section 1 - General Comments on the Impact and Implementation Report

Topic	Please Provide Response Here
<p>Sections 1 to 9 of the IIR sets out details of the proposal.</p> <p>Does your organisation support AEMO’s assessment of the proposal (i.e. does your organization believe that AEMO has adequately described the requirements and surrounding context of the proposal)?</p> <p>If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO’s assessment (include IIR section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation’s rationale for not supporting AEMO’s assessment.</p>	<p>AGN supports the proposal, notwithstanding the issues we have raised below.</p>

Section 2 – Comments on the changes described in Section 3 of the Impact and Implementation Report

**\*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\***

Section of IIR	Issue / Comment	Proposed text <del>Red</del> <del>strikeout</del> means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
Section 3.1: Delete T71 transaction			
Section 3.2: Delete T72 "Update to Meter Route" transaction			
Section 3.3: Add event codes for CDN/CDR			
Section 3.4: Reword clause 4.6.2			

Section 3.5: Align data dictionary with aseXML schema			
Section 3.6: Manifest errors and other non-controversial changes			
Section 3.7: Diagram changes			
Section 3.8: CustomerTitle element			

Section 3 – Corrections to typographical or administrative errors in collating the documents

\*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\*

Ref #1 – B2B Service Order Specifications – Part 1			
RMP Clause #	Issue / Comment	Proposed text <del>Red-strikeout</del> means delete and <u>blue underline</u> means insert	AEMO Response (AEMO only)
B2B Service Order Specs - Part 1	The new DMS and PRE JECs for SA don't appear in the proposed new SA & WA B2B Service Order Specs - Part 1, in the Service Order Request Elements – South Australia table.  However we note that they are both in the list of JECs in the new SA & WA B2B Service Order Specs - Part 2.  Is this an oversight?		
Ref #2 – B2B Service Order Specifications – Part 2			
Ref #3 – Spec Pack FRC B2B System Interface Definitions			
Ref #4 – Gas Interface Protocol (NSW-ACT)			

Ref #5 – Gas Interface Protocol (Queensland)			
Ref #6 – Gas Interface Protocol (Victoria)			
Ref #7 – PBP 1 – Process Flow Diagrams			
Ref #8 – PBP1 – Process Flow Table of Transactions			
<p>New JEC: Downgrade Meter (DMS)</p>	<p>Need to correct a fundamental error in the current drafting, in order to reflect the intent of the original drafting (refer attached Excel file for changes shown in full Job Enquiry Codes tab).</p> <p>In the Job Enquiry Codes tab, Priority for DMS is incorrectly shown as F, should be J.</p> <p>A DMS is meant to be the reverse of the Upgrade Meter Size Request (UMS), so should have the same priority level as UMS.</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p><b>PRIORITY</b></p> <p style="color: red; font-size: 1.2em;">F</p> <p style="color: blue; font-size: 1.2em;">J</p> </div>	

<p>New JEC: Downgrade Meter (DMS)</p>	<p>Need to correct a fundamental error in the current drafting, in order to reflect the intent of the original drafting (refer attached Excel file for changes shown in full Job Enquiry Codes tab).</p> <p>In the Job Enquiry Codes tab, Completion Codes shown for DMS are incorrect. These are MCH Codes, which are not applicable for DMS, as stated in column G.</p> <p>A DMS is meant to be the reverse of the Upgrade Meter Size Request (UMS), so completion codes should be the same as UMS.</p>	<p><b>Completion Code Completed (SA Only)</b></p> <p><del>10-06</del> <del>10-10 (31, 33, 34, 37, 44, 42, 45)</del> <del>10-10-(47)</del></p>	<p><b>Completion Code Can't do</b></p> <p><del>10-42</del> <del>10-46</del> <del>10-16</del> <del>10-98</del> <del>74-98</del> <del>74-30</del></p>	
<p>New JEC: Pressure Change Request (PRE)</p>	<p>Need to correct a fundamental error in the current drafting, in order to reflect the intent of the original drafting (refer attached Excel file for changes shown in full Job Enquiry Codes tab).</p> <p>In the Job Enquiry Codes tab, Completion Codes shown for PRE are incorrect. These are MCH Codes, which are not applicable for PRE, as stated in column G.</p> <p>A successful completion should be simply 10-78.</p>	<p><b>Completion Code Completed (SA Only)</b></p> <p><del>10-06</del> <del>10-78</del> <del>22-78</del> <del>10-10 (31, 33, 34, 37, 44, 42, 45, 47)</del></p>		
<p>New JEC: Pressure Change Request (PRE)</p>	<p>Seeking clarity please:</p> <p>For PRE it is stated in column G that along with the completion codes of 10-78, the new pressure information should be provided. Can this be clarified as to where the new pressure information is expected please? Is it in the Service Order Closure Response or in the next MDN?</p> <p>PBP3 states as part of the SO Response the pressure correction factor is required whenever a new meter is fitted as part of the SO completion. As a new meter is not fitted as part of a PRE, we don't believe the</p>			

	pressure can be sent back as part of the SO Response, it can only go back in the next MDN.		
Ref #9 – PBP 1 - CSV Data Format Specification			
Ref #10 – PBP3 – B2B System Interface Definitions			
Ref #11 – PBP5 – NSW-ACT Specific Build Pack			
Ref #12 – PBP6 – NSW-ACT (Wagga Wagga and Tamworth)			
Ref #13 – Specification Pack Usage Guide			





Section 4<sup>1</sup> – Additional feedback that is not part of this consultation but warrants further investigations / discussions.

Topic	Please Provide Response Here
Does your organisation have any feedback / suggestions that closely relate to the scope or impacts this consultation, but the nature of the feedback / suggestion warrant further investigations / discussion? If so, please included your feedback / suggestions.	

---

<sup>1</sup> Note - This feedback will be reviewed by AEMO at a later date, therefore will not be used for this consultation. AEMO will complete a preliminary assessment of the feedback assess the feedback and it may then form part of another consultation or the annual prioritisation process