

IN003/20 – Gas Life Support Supplementary Questionnaire

Responses to be emailed to grcf@aemo.com.au by due **COB 31 March 2020**.

Review comments submitted by: Jemena Gas Networks

Date: 31 March 2020

Contact Person: Tim Sheridan

Topic	Please Provide Response Here
Question 1 – Benefits of change	
<p>Please provide, in detail, what benefits the change will have on your organisation (in terms of efficiency, customer benefits, privacy, etc.). If any monetary benefits are provided (e.g. in terms of annual FTE savings), these will be kept confidential.</p>	<p>As previously indicated, Jemena Gas Networks (JGN) is highly supportive of the proposed LSN and LSR changes. JGN estimates the benefits of this change to our business will be [REDACTED] over the next 10 years. These benefits include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTE savings • Operational efficiencies • Reduced regulatory and compliance costs (i.e. AER penalties) • Reduced customer costs
Question 2 – Costs of change	
<p>Please provide what costs the change will create for your organisation as an order of magnitude (i.e. “low”, “medium”, or “high”). If any monetary values (e.g. once-off implementation costs, and any ongoing annual cost) are provided (e.g. in terms of the cost of system changes), these will be kept confidential.</p>	<p>JGN believes the costs for implementing this change will be low. JGN estimates the system costs will be [REDACTED]. This figures excludes the costs for updating business processes to support the implementation of this change.</p>
Question 3 – Volume of gas life support customers	
<p>Please provide the volume of gas life support customers your organisation currently has registered. Please also provide the average rate of gas life support registrations</p>	<p>There are currently 4,500 registered gas life support customers NSW and the ACT. Based on currently trends, JGN is forecasting an annual growth rate of 1,000 new gas life</p>

<p>and deregistrations per month for your organisation, as well as any notes you would like to provide on how AEMO should interpret these data.</p>	<p>support customers per year for the next 10 years. This means that by 2030, there could be over 13,000 registered gas life support customers in NSW and the ACT.</p>															
<p>Question 4 – Alternatives to LSN and LSR</p>																
<p>If AEMO decides not to recommend the adoption of LSN and LSR, will your organisation likely make any changes to your existing implementation of the Gas Life Support Industry Guide process? If so, provide details on the type of changes you intend to put forward.</p>	<p>JGN notes the Industry Guide is not mandatory and we will review our position if the LSN and LSR changes are not implemented.</p>															
<p>Question 5 – Value Rating (1-7)</p>																
<p>Please indicate your organisation's value rating if the proposal to adopt the LSN and LSR aseXML transactions proceeds, as compared with the status quo or the alternative(s) identified in Question 4. Please select one of the following.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Value Rating</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">7</p>														
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating</th> <th>Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 =</td> <td>Large negative outcome if proposal proceeds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 =</td> <td>Moderate negative outcome if proposal proceeds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 =</td> <td>Small negative outcome if proposal proceeds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 =</td> <td>No net benefit or cost if proposal proceeds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 =</td> <td>Small positive outcome if proposal proceeds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6 =</td> <td>Moderate positive outcome if proposal proceeds</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7 =</td> <td>Large positive outcome if proposal proceeds</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Rating	Description	1 =	Large negative outcome if proposal proceeds	2 =	Moderate negative outcome if proposal proceeds	3 =	Small negative outcome if proposal proceeds	4 =	No net benefit or cost if proposal proceeds	5 =	Small positive outcome if proposal proceeds	6 =	Moderate positive outcome if proposal proceeds
Rating	Description															
1 =	Large negative outcome if proposal proceeds															
2 =	Moderate negative outcome if proposal proceeds															
3 =	Small negative outcome if proposal proceeds															
4 =	No net benefit or cost if proposal proceeds															
5 =	Small positive outcome if proposal proceeds															
6 =	Moderate positive outcome if proposal proceeds															
7 =	Large positive outcome if proposal proceeds															
<p>Question 6 – Any other comments?</p>																
<p>Does your organisation have any other comments that it wishes AEMO to consider in its formulation of the IIR?</p>																