

B2B Procedures

- Customer and Site Details
- Service Orders (version change)
- Meter Data (version change)
- One Way Notification (version change)
- Technical Delivery Specification (version change)

CONSULTATION – First Stage

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: Origin Energy

Completion Date: 26 April 2018

Table of Contents

- 0. Example Submission (Please delete this section) 3
- 1. Customer and Site Details 4
- 2. Option and commentary for long term solution 6

0. Example Submission (Please delete this section)

General Instructions

- 1. Please keep information in the clause numbers simple - eg no titles, comments etc. – put titles and text in the comment section*
- 2. Please use a individual row for each comment on any each clauses*
- 3. Old clauses only needed if there is no equivalent clause within the revised draft procedures.*
- 4. If an obligation exists in another instrument please identify the instrument and clause to assist in including guidance notes.*
- 5. Please only include comments either with suggested changes, issues or support. Please do not include 'No Comment'.*
- 6. See example below:*

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
	1.42(a)	2.15(a)	Service Order response Change response list from varchar(250) to an enumerated list
	1.42(a)	2.15(a)	Suggest add 'Other' as part of enumerated list and add free text to support other
		2.25(a)(ii)	Table 5 "Description of use" should be reworded to "Description of typical use"
		3.6(a)	The MDP SLP (c 3.5.2) requires the meter serial ID to be provided. Suggest the MeterSerialID be added to the transaction.
		3.6(a)	Ensure MeterserialID is the same field used in other procedures
		2.15	Ensure character length for MeterSerialID matches MSATS field length

1. Customer and Site Details

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Origin Energy		2.2	Process Diagrams Second figure – First step needs to specify that it is an email. This will allow it to flow better into step - <i>“use other method of communication as agreed with participant”</i> .
Origin Energy		3.1 Table 3 (f)	Grammar (f) The Timing Periods are defined in 9 Table 4:
Origin Energy		4.1 (g)	Grammar (g) The details provided in a CustomerDetailsNotification and SiteAccessNotification must be the current details as at the date and time that the Notification was generated. The <i>LastModifiedDateTime</i> may be historical in certain situations. For Life Support changes refer to section and 4.4.
Origin Energy		4.4.1 (c)	There needs to be a definition of what is meant by earliest date as it may have different meanings for participants and dependant on what transaction is being sent. Suggesting - <i>“the date provided by the initiator in either the life support email notification or CustomerDetailsNotification which is earliest”</i>
Origin Energy		4.4.1 (e) iii	To keep this section consistent with 4.4.1 (b) and (c) the date should not be effective from the date provided in the email notification rather the latest date provided in either the email or CDN. As above the date which is to be used needs to be needs to be clearly defined.
Origin Energy		4.4.1 (e)	Change iii to ii.

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Origin Energy		4.4.4 (c)	Grammar (c) [Guidance Note 1] The Recipient of the Life Support Request must provide a Life Support Notification and sent within 5 business days.

Option and commentary for long term solution

The two options for long term solutions are Option 1 B2B Transaction and Option 2 Central Repository.

Option 1: B2B Transaction

The creation of a new B2B Transaction is a clean method of delivery for life support information between market participants. It does allow for automation and auditability for participants however work would be required to map the transaction flow. Consideration also needs to be given in terms of timings of delivery as participants would require to build the transactions as well as test.

AEMO procedures would also need to be updated and confirmation required whether the email process would need to continue should B2B transaction be the preferred option.

Option 2: Central Repository

A central repository would offer a significant benefit to industry as a whole as it would create one source of truth for Life Support information across Retailers and Distributors.

This option however needs to be analysed further and a detail plan developed as it would be a large piece of work for industry due to the number of activities that need to be performed i.e. bulk migration of current life support information and how information is sent/received to and from the repository etc.. AEMO would also need to confirm if they would use an already existing repository or create a new one for the purpose of life support.

With an implementation date due end of 2019, a clear plan and direction prescribed by the IEC is needed to ensure rollout of the preferred option is successful.