

POWER OF CHOICE PACKAGE 3 (AS BUILT)

PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

FIRST STAGE PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: VectorAMS

Completion Date: 26 June 2017

Table of Contents

1.	Glossary and Framework.....	3
2.	Metrology Procedure: Part A.....	4
3.	Service Level Procedure (MDP)	5
4.	Service Level Procedure (MP).....	6
5.	MDFF Specification NEM 12 NEM 13	7
6.	MSATS Procedures: CATS	8
7.	MSATS Procedures: WIGS.....	9
8.	Qualification Procedure (MP, MDP, ENM)	10
9.	Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter.....	11

1. Glossary and Framework

Please delete any rows where there are no ~~participant~~ ~~participant~~ comments

(note: only sections that have changed as part of Work package 3 are listed in the table below)

Clause	Heading	Participant Comments - Glossary
6	Glossary	
Definition	LNSP	Incorrect definition in relation to an embedded network. The LNSP for an embedded network is the EENSP not the ENM.

2. Metrology Procedure: Part A

Clause	Heading	Participant Comments – Metrology Pt A

3. Service Level Procedure (MDP)

Clause	Heading	Participant Comments – MDP SL Procedure

4. Service Level Procedure (MP)

Clause	Heading	Participant Comments – MP SL Procedure
4.4	4.4 Meter Churn	<p>Clause 4.4 reads...</p> <p>“(c) If the Meter Churn has been carried out by a New MP and the <i>metering installation</i> does not have <i>remote acquisition</i>, the New MP must:</p> <p>(i) use reasonable endeavours to contact the Current MP to confirm that a Meter Churn is to be carried out; and</p> <p>(ii) provide the New MP’s details, including its Participant ID. “</p> <p>This obligation is superfluous as the new MP already has obligations to ensure MSATS is updated and this is the method by which the new MP will use to confirm that the churn had occurred and the new MP’s participant ID.</p> <p>If this is clause is to remain then (i) should be changed to the past tense to reflect clause (c).</p>

5. MDFF Specification NEM 12 NEM 13

Clause	Heading	Participant Comments - MDFF

6. MSATS Procedures: CATS

Clause	Heading	Participant Comments - CATS
4.11.2(d)- (e)	Data Stream Status Codes	<p>It appears that clauses 4.11.2(d) and 4.11.2(e) are under the wrong clause. 4.11.2 is discussing Data Stream status code but (d) and (e) discuss Metering Installation Type Code and how these effect other fields – DataStream Type, profilename and Datastream Suffix.</p> <p>These two clauses look a better fit in 4.12.</p>
7.8	Objection Rules	<p>Table 7-B</p> <p>CONTRACT Objection Code should be re-instated for the various CR Codes to cover off the situation where the MC does not have an Contract with the incumbent MP/MDP and the incumbent MP objects to the MC transfer or where a FRMP appoints an MC and the MP/MDP objects as there is no contract between the MC and MP/MDP.</p>
32.6	Objection Rules	<p>Table 32-B</p> <p>Objection Codes should cover off the situation where the new MC does not have an arrangement with the incumbent MP/MDP and the incumbent MP/MDP objects to the new MC churn or where a FRMP appoints an MC and the MP/MDP objects as there is no contract between the MC and MP/MDP which would put the parties in breach.</p>

7. MSATS Procedures: WIGS

Clause	Heading	Participant Comments - WIGS

8. Qualification Procedure (MP, MDP, ENM)

Clause	Heading	Participant Comments – Qualification Procedure

9. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Document	Clause	Heading	Participant Comments - Other
C7 report		C7 report	<p>C7 report – A registered MC should be able to run a C7 report to determine the metering in-situ at a NMI, regardless of whether they are in a role in MSATS.</p> <p>Current validation rules require MC's to be either proposed (new) or current in MSATS to be able to request a C7 report. As MSAT's is not real-time these validation rules will stop an MC who has been requested to assume the MC role by the FRMP from requesting information about a site until MSATS has processed the related CR.</p> <p>There are legitimate scenarios where this will impact service delivery and timely resolution of metering issues at a customer's site. In extreme circumstances, it may also result in customers left off supply longer than necessary.</p> <p>A worked example: Customer is off supply for metering related issues. Urgent works are required. FRMP decides to engage a new MC to resolve the issue. FRMP contacts new MC instructing them to arrange for MP to attend site. MC wishes to understand nature of existing Metering before accepting job. MC is unable to request a C7 report until CR6300 has been submitted and processed by MSATS. This could be up to 24 hours after he has been asked to assume MC role. Once CR6300 goes 'Pending' MC runs report but finds metering is such that he does not have contracts with relevant Service Providers e.g. Site is CT, so cannot accept the role. MC rejects assignment. FRMP looks to assign to another MC and process repeats.....All the while metering issue remains unresolved.</p>

Document	Clause	Heading	Participant Comments - Other
			<p>Note: Originally, the proposed mechanism for a MC to get information about the existing Metering at a site was via the new B2B Pre-Installation Meter Enquiry. This transaction was discarded during the finalisation of the B2B procedures V3.3 on the rationale that this information is available via the C7 report from MSATS.</p> <p>Concerns may be raised regarding privacy but MC's are subject to privacy provisions under the rules so this should not be considered a valid issue.</p> <p>Concerns may be raised about MC using this as an opportunity to 'data mine' to take a commercial advantage however for Small customers it is the FRMP that controls who the MC is for a site so it appears of little value. AEMO can also monitor the use of C7 reports to protect against this.</p>
Exemption Guidelines – Small Customers	3.4	Timing of Application	<p>The clause requires the exemption to be submitted no more than 5 days after the metering installation is installed.</p> <p>To allow for all efforts to be exhausted before a meter is declared be a TYPE 4a non-communicating meter this period should be extended to 10 days.</p>
Accreditation Checklist	MDP Check list	Item 6	<p>This refers to a <i>metering services database</i> as a defined term. I think this is an error and should be a <i>metering data services database</i>.</p> 