

Forum meeting Q&A

Forum:	Flexible Trading Arrangements
Date:	5 August 2025
Time:	13:00
Location:	Teams

This document provides responses at an industry forum convened by AEMO on 5 August 2025 on matters relating to the Flexible Trading Arrangements forum. AEMO is currently consulting on these amendments following changes to the Unlocking CER benefits through flexible trading made by the AEMC on 15 August 2024.

Question	Answer
Is the use of Type 9 CMS limited to Public Lighting	No, a type 9 CMS is not limited to public lighting.
Will each node in CMS be associated with single NMI?	A NMI in a CMS can have multiple nodes.
What would the process of requesting a Type 7-to-Type 9 transition?	AEMO's Metrology Procedure Part A describes this process.
Can the existing Type 7 NMI be retained and just rebranded as Type 9/CMS if all the same devices are linked?	DNSPs will need to consider this.
If the lights are part of the load table, then it's ok to change them back?	AEMO's position is a feature of the type 9 framework is that loads related to devices that are measured by a node will no longer need to be the subject of NATA load testing, therefore, over time a type 9 street lighting NMI will have a mixture of street lights that are included in the NEM Load Table and street lights that are not included in the NEM Load Table.
Why is the specific use case required? Cant there be just general guidance?	AEMO's position is the use cases provided in Metrology Procedure Part A provide clear guidance on the applications for type 9 connections. Noting that the Procedure doesn't limit participants from applying for other use cases.
What meter types are applicable where the NMI Classification is Small with a Customer Classification Code of Business. My understanding is that if the NMI Classification is equal to Small than the only applicable meter type is Type 8b? Or is the CCC also being taken into account?	<p>The Rule requires a type 8B or type 4 meter to be installed as a secondary settlement point for a small customer.</p> <p>In the use case of a NMI classification of Small and a CCC of Business, the installation must meet the requirements of a type 8B. If that connection was part of an aggregation and formed part of a large customer, the meter must meet the requirements of a type 8A.</p>
Will PNSPs be issued new participant IDs?	No, the existing LNSP code from the PCP will be used for the PNSP on the SSP
SSP data from MDP - Does it follow existing NEM12 format? If so, is there any identifier to differentiate between SSP and other meter type reads from MDP?	Yes, the metering reads should be provided in the NEM12 format. There are no new data elements in the NEM12 file. The NMI will be the identifier.
For the non-standard meter checklist, wouldn't it be easier/better if AEMO defines the requirements and allow MCs	AEMO has maintained the position required of an MP to provide AEMO with a list of approved devices as part of its accreditation. As the market matures, this approach may be reviewed.

Question	Answer
to self-confirm compliance without an approval process (similar to current practice)? What is the benefit of the approval process AEMO is proposing?	
What timeframe is set for AEMO to approve that device. 5 business days?	AEMO propose to use the queuing process and that the details provided by metering businesses upon application will determine the length of time it will take to approve the device
If only Type 8 (not 8A and 8B) can it then be used on a PCP?	The proposal for a type 8 only MITC is to simplify industry process, which would not require a change in MITC if a small customer became a large customer. AEMO's position in Metrology Procedure Part A is that a type 8A installed as a connection point of a large customer, must meet all metering requirements of a type 4 connection point.
In SSP management after PCP NCC changes from Large to Small, has AEMO considered automatically updating the NMI Class to SMALL for the SSP NMI, as opposed to raising a SDQ Report to the NMISP?	No, it is the NMI SPs responsibility to maintaining the NMI standing data for the SSP.
Will AEMO ignore the data from a SSP when the SSP is made off market? Re: MDP timing issues in receiving the CR notification of SSP = N and then requesting the inactivation of the datastream and CR going complete.	AEMO settlements will not be changing. Currently all active Datastreams are settled irrespective of the NMI status.
RoLR events for SSP - If the SSP is made N by AEMO since AEMO will be churning the sites of a suspended FRMP to the new FRMP, are RoLR obligations required from SSP Participants undergoing a RoLR? Wouldn't the customer be talking to the new FRMP about their requirements.	<p>The churning of FRMPs as part of a RoLR event is currently performed by AEMO.</p> <p>This churn process does not absolve the ROLR of their obligations during a RoLR event.</p> <p>RoLR reports will be sent to the appropriate parties (as current process) to assist them in their obligations.</p>
If the SSP NMI has a NMI Classification of NREG then can the NMISP change this to SMALL or LARGE without consulting with AEMO, or is does the NMISP need to engage with AEMO before changing the NMI Classification?	An NREG connection needs to be approved by AEMO and allocated by AEMO.