

Project EDGE | CBA Methodology Demonstrations Insights Forum | 19 July 2022



Agenda



ltem	Lead	Timing
Welcome, Acknowledgement of Country	Ryan Batchelor (Nous)	5 min
Quick project status update	Nick Regan (AEMO)	5 min
Results from market suspension tests	Nick Regan (AEMO)	10 min
CBA methodology presentation	Alina Dini (Deloitte)	60 min
Close and next steps	Ryan Batchelor (Nous)	5 min

Acknowledgment of Country

We acknowledge the Traditional Owners of country throughout Australia and recognise their continuing connection to land, waters and culture.

We pay our respects to their Elders past, present and emerging.

Project EDGE update

Current position

- Formally writing up of results from Market Suspension tests
- Stakeholder Consultation CBA Detailed Methodology
- Ongoing development of platform capability and sophistication
- Ongoing customer acquisition (including additional) C&I customers
- Two new aggregators being onboarded for participation from September

Key upcoming activities

- Publication of CBA Methodology Consultation Paper
- Further consultation on data exchange problem statements and use cases
- Wider sharing of results from Market Suspension tests
- Ongoing results analysis and input into reform





Results from market suspension tests



EDGE Market Suspension field tests

To operate the system AEMO needs:
1. Visibility: Telemetry in real time
2. Predictability: Generator forecasts
3. Controllability: Dispatch instructions
4. Measurement: Telemetry (settlement)



The AEMO, AusNet and Mondo team reacted quickly to establish a test plan to learn from this rare event

Why specific Market Suspension tests?

In Market Suspension AEMO was directing large scale generators. What should this look like in a high DER future (via VPPs)?		Test	Summary
		Test 1 Self-Dispatch (no AEMO direction)	 In lieu of capability to dispatch VPPs at scale ('Controllability') i.e current state, AEMO needs visibility (telemetry) and predictability (forecasts via boffers) to consider when directing large scale resources Q: What do VPPs do without AEMO direction?
Hypothesis 1:AEMO Dispatch Instructions that give a 'target' are more reliable than DOEs which give 'permissible limits'.Hypothesis 2:These two signals together will conflict at times and this needs to be understood to be managed in future operations.	Test 2 AEMO -> DUID direction via Dispatch Instructions	 Under market suspension AEMO instructs generators/loads test is for future where controllability exists for VPPs (i.e test will provide setpoints for aggregators to follow). How reliably can VPPs follow AEMO directions that differ from market incentivised behaviour? 	
	Test 3 AEMO> DNSP> DUID direction via DOEs	 Currently AEMO instructs NSPs to maintain a profile within their network, NSPs currently do this by shedding load or generation. Are DOEs a better mechanism than directing VPPs under a non-market use case (e.g market suspension) ? 	
		Test 4 Synchronous AEMO directions to DNSP and Aggregator (Test 2+3)	 Testing synchronous instructions from AEMO to DNSP and Aggregator to see if this helps reduce potential conflicts. Test 2 & Test 3 together. Is it worth building capability to do both mechanisms for redundancy?

What did we do?

Findings to be shared in coming weeks and relate to some gaps as highlighted in the Engineering Frameworks Paper¹

Test 1 – Actual Net Active Power from Portfolio





Test 1 Q: What do VPPs do without AEMO direction?

Self-Dispatch (no AEMO direction)

In lieu of capability to dispatch VPPs at scale ('Controllability') i.e current state, AEMO needs visibility (telemetry) and predictability (forecasts via boffers) to consider when directing large scale resources





Test 2 – Actual Net Active Power from Portfolio





Test 2 Q: How reliably can VPPs follow AEMO directions that differ from market incentivised behaviour?

AEMO -> DUID direction via Dispatch Instructions

Under market suspension AEMO instructs generators/loads test is for future where controllability exists for VPPs (i.e test will provide setpoints for aggregators to follow).







Test 3 – Actual Net Active Power from Portfolio





Q: Are DOEs a better mechanism than directing VPPs under a non-market use case (e.g market suspension)?

AEMO -> DNSP -> DUID direction via DOEs

Currently AEMO instructs NSPs to maintain a profile within their network, NSPs currently do this by shedding load or generation.



Capacity offered (kW)

EDGE



Test 4 – Actual Net Active Power from Portfolio





Test 4 Q: Is it worth building capability to do both mechanisms for redundancy?

Synchronous AEMO directions to DNSP and Aggregator (Test 2+3)

Testing synchronous instructions from AEMO to DNSP and Aggregator to see if this helps reduce potential conflicts. Test 2 & Test 3 together.



EDXGE





CBA Methodology





We will use Miro to facilitate the Q&A session and gather relevant feedback



Link: <u>https://miro.com/app/board/uXjVOIHnFRg=/</u> **Password**: "EDGE-DIF12"

Visibility	Focused Question Do you agree with the identified costs and benefits of increased visibility (across different market participants)? How are they best quantified?	Visibility General Feedback	Visibility Potential issues and red flags	Other
DNSPs Distribution Network Service Providers				
TNSPs Transmission Network Service Providers				
DER Aggregators Bundlers of DER to operate as VPPs	ſ	Move post-	it note here	
Retailers Buyers of wholesale electricity for on selling to customers		responses	TIELE	
Generators Owner and operator of generation connected to NEM				
Market Operator				
Other Other market participants and stakeholders				

Process:

- 1. Post questions, comments, or feedback using post-it notes in the relevant table
- 2. Any and all feedback is welcome
- 3. We will use this to guide our thinking and help tailor future knowledge sharing and reporting

Structure:

- 5 topics for discussion
- *Miro activity after each presentation 3 minute activity*





Close and next steps