

Connections Simulation Tool



Connections Simulation Tool Industry Working Group (CSTIWG)

Session 3 17th March 2022 We acknowledge the Traditional Owners of country throughout Australia and recognise their continuing connection to land, waters and culture.

We pay our respects to their Elders past, present and emerging.



Agenda

#	TIME	TOPIC	PRESENTER
1	5 mins	Welcome	Margarida Pimentel
2	10 mins	Feedback from previous sessions	Elliott Kuhlmann
3	50 mins	Demo and process	Alistair Wells / Elliott Kuhlmann
4	10 mins	Support Approach	Alistair Wells
5	15 mins	Timelines and Rollout Approach	Alistair Wells
6	25 mins	Draft pricing structure	Dave Lenton
7	5 mins	Next steps and close	Alistair Wells



Online Forum Housekeeping

Please mute your microphone, this helps with audio quality as background noises distract from the information being shared.

- Join the conversation or use the "raise hand" function for any questions or comments
- Be respectful of all participants and the process.
- We will record this session for note taking purposes.
- This presentation and the associated high-level summary of the meeting will be uploaded to the AEMO website
- The meeting will adhere to the AEMO Competition Law Meeting Protocol outlined in the appendices
- Information in this presentation is indicative and subject to change throughout the development of the solution and into operations



Your Feedback

Thank you for your feedback from the previous sessions. An update is summarised below.



Plotting tool

To be considered in future releases.

• The ability to undertake studies in different grid situations (eg strong, medium or weak grid)



5

Network Visibility Options

Last session we discussed the network visibility options that were being investigated. Working with NSPs across the regions it was decided that the Point of Connection Accessible Solution was solution that will be used in the tool. It provides a consistent approach across regions.



Key:

External user has full visibility and access

Confidential Generator Models External user has no visibility or access

Feedback

- 1. Do you have further feedback following the last session
- 2. Today please consider the following feedback areas
 - Support
 - Rollout

We will also look at the pricing model

SUPPORT Range, accuracy and usefulness of training, comms and support

channels

STUDY RESULTS

Are the results obtained from studies useful. Is the data presented as expected.

TECHNOLOGY

Does the technology work as expected (access, running studies, remote performance, bugs)

PROCESS

Is the process (access, studies and obtaining results, contractual arrangements) logical and efficient? Suggest improvements

FUNCTIONALITY

Is the solution practical and does serve its purpose well?

ADOPTION

Request them to raise awareness of the availability and benefits of the tool to increase adoption. **IN**



ROLLOUT

approach

Input into the

proposed rollout

USER EXPERIENCE

How easy is it to undertake tasks in the tool from set up, access, updating, pricing, doing studies, receiving results, and the turn around times

Provide the project insights (and potentially data) on the different ways industry is set up and the range of scenarios the tool must cater for.

BENEFITS & BARRIERS

Identify areas that may maximise or limit the benefits or value of the tool

OPPORTUNITIES

What changes could be made to improve or change the solution for current or new user groups.

FEATURES

Provide feedback on the overall solution. Are there other adaptions or use cases that would provide value for this or broader user bases.



Demonstration Scenario



GoodEngConsulting

Consultant

RenPower has engaged GoodEng Consulting to design their new wind plant and prepare their formal connections application.





Anisha Engineering Manager Chris Engineer



Connections Simulation Tool RenPower and GoodEng have agreed to use the Connections Simulation Tool to investigate issues with their plant models.

User Process

System Connections Simulation Tool – Web Portal Assess Request Configure Tool **Run Studies** Set Up Access Create/Edit Case Finalise contractual Create and submit Run PSCAD studies An assessment is made The PSCAD plant arrangements. Grant project data including including location, risk, model is set up in the and fine tune models. access, create and forward PSCAD plant model. Simulation tool with Publish results (via and priority. Requests PSCAD Identify purpose of credentials to users are approved or the appropriate email) studies declined confidentiality and network access Contracts **Close Project** Registered Request the Participant, OEM or environment to close. consultant request The environment and use of the tool Portal Access Nominate Users studies are no longer available Identify who will a)Registered **Finalise contractual** participant undertake studies and arrangements Update Case completes contracts. create credentials Recreate Case Enable user portal Adjust the case b)Financials Recreate the case, Today we access following feedback completed for request the previous will show model to be uploaded company these undertaking studies. or submit a new/updated PSCAD steps Submit to AEMO Model or Billing occurs on a monthly basis and invoiced to the company that undertakes the studies in the tool AEMO Developer/registered participant Developer or delegated Consultant/OEM (Conducts studies and is billable entity) Kev:

Industry Working Group Feedback Sought



Web Portal Demo

Industry Working Group Feedback Sought

Support channels

At times users will require support.

This could include

- Initiating the service
- Knowing how to use the system
- Billing queries
- User queries
- Technology issues
- Complaints





AEMO

Connections Simulation Tool Revised Rollout

* Dates are indicative



Industry Working Group Feedback Sought Rollout Approach Illustrative It is anticipated demand will fluctuate Connections Simulation Tool awareness drive: Industry forums /newsletters/ communications channels Fully Operational Pilot Soft Launch • Increasing number of users based. Demand will be assessed and approved based on the attributes • Targeted users on test of the case its, complexity and AEMO capacity environments • Establish Connections Simulation Tool service request channels on AEMO website

• Commence regular and recorded user webinars

Price Modelling Approach



AEMO Costs based on **Small Connections Tools** Team from inception

Estimated at 4 engineers (Based on forecast simulations and effort required)

Includes additional part time manager and admin support

Additional consulting resource assumed to meet demand on a monthly basis





Significant Client Environment costs added to AEMO resource costs

- Bill of Materials being finalised
- Main cost is VM cost
- 64 Core Machine and 8 Core Pair is likely requirement

Costs that are Client Environment Costs Based on 3 month project example





Modelling approach aligns available engineering time each month

Done over a 36-month period with non-linear application rate

Includes engineering time to maintain models



Lifecycle of each connection simulation model follows several steps

- 1) Application
 - 2) Set Up
- 3) Use of Simulation Model,
- 4) Close Down of Simulations



Provide Indicative Charging Options to recover Covers

Charging options intended to recover cost

Still finalising Environment costs and forecast numbers

Price will be dependent on usage volumes and duration of simulations

Client Environment Costs

Key Modelling Input is Number of Simulations

Industry Working Group Feedback Sought

Likely Take up for Simulation Modelling

Original assumption based on Key Connection Information – Now updated for Jan 2022 figure

Application	Forecast	Latest Key Connection Information figures Used as Input
Pre-feasibility – General Models	6 p/a	Will be a higher subset of applicants than New Connection Enquiries, but applicants may not be ready for a study. Assume 5% of New Connection Enquiries.
Enquiry Phase – General models available to assist in enquiry phase	24 p/a	185 Active New Connection Enquiries – Assume around 15% of current new connection enquiries require a simulation model
Application Phase – General model during the application phase	12 p/a	44 New Application to connect – Assume some may have done study already, but other may need new or updated simulation model to review and negotiate standards. 1/3 rd of current application above 30 MW (36 applicants)
Application Phase - Specific simulations – These are established to replicate problems in the connections process	12 p/a	44 New Application to connect – 36 are above 30 MW so this is 1/3 rd of this total that may require specific model to assess issues.
Plant Upgrade – Impact of Changes to Existing Equipment and Control Systems	5 p/a	Estimate of number of plant upgrades that may require new study

• AEMO NEM Generation Information – 537 sites (Committed 51, Anticipate = 18, Proposed 468)

• Current simulation figures only around 10% of the potential new generation investment



Industry Working Group Feedback Sought Charging structures and impact on length and number of projects

Charging Options

- Three charging options were considered to recover costs
 - Option 1 would require AEMO to include a risk premium to ensure cost recovery
 - Option 2 and 3 mainly variable charges with different options for some fixed charges
- Client Environment Charges will depend on duration of the Simulation project
 - Users of the Tool could chose to reduce simulation times to minimise this cost
- Level of AEMO Support will depend on the needs of the client

Option	Application Fee	Fixed Charge	Set up Charge	Client Environment Charges	AEMO Support Post Set up	Close Down Costs
1 – Fixed Charge Only	\$Fixed	Set to recover costs with risk premium	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2- Variable Charge & Fixed Application Fee	\$Fixed	n/a	Hourly rate (Forecast 20 hrs)	\$Daily Rate – Options on VM Size	Hourly rate (Forecast ≈15 hrs per month)	Hourly rate (≈2 hrs)
3 - Fixed Application, Fixed Set up and Variable Cost	\$Fixed	n/a	Fixed Charge for Set up based on expected time	\$Daily Rate – Options on VM Size	Hourly rate (Forecast ≈15 hrs per month)	Hourly rate (≈2 hrs)

16

Next Steps

If you have further feedback from today's session please email us at <u>ConnectionsTool@aemo.com.au</u>. You will be sent a high level summary of the meeting notes from this session in the next week - prior to uploading to the AEMO website.

Next session

- Earmarked as a 1 hour session in early May. This will be confirmed via a meeting invite when possible
- The focus on this session will include an end to end demonstration of the process and tool.





Questions and further feedback Thank you

For further information visit <u>https://www.aemo.com.au/initiatives/trials-and-initiatives/connections-simulation-tool-project</u> or contact ConnectionsTool@aemo.com.au

Appendices



Appendix 1 AEMO Competition Law Meeting Protocol

AEMO is committed to complying with all applicable laws, including the Competition and Consumer Act 2010 (CCA). In any dealings with AEMO regarding proposed reforms or other initiatives, all participants agree to adhere to the CCA at all times and to comply with this Protocol. Participants must arrange for their representatives to be briefed on competition law risks and obligations.

Participants in AEMO discussions must:

- Ensure that discussions are limited to the matters contemplated by the agenda for the discussion
- Make independent and unilateral decisions about their commercial positions and approach in relation to the matters under discussion with AEMO
- Immediately and clearly raise an objection with AEMO or the Chair of the meeting if a matter is discussed that the participant is concerned may give rise to competition law risks or a breach of this Protocol

Participants in AEMO meetings must not discuss or agree on the following topics:

- Which customers they will supply or market to
- The price or other terms at which Participants will supply
- Bids or tenders, including the nature of a bid that a Participant intends to make or whether the Participant will participate in the bid
- Which suppliers Participants will acquire from (or the price or other terms on which they acquire goods or services)
- Refusing to supply a person or company access to any products, services or inputs they require

Under no circumstances must Participants share Competitively Sensitive Information. Competitively Sensitive Information means confidential information relating to a Participant which if disclosed to a competitor could affect its current or future commercial strategies, such as pricing information, customer terms and conditions, supply terms and conditions, sales, marketing or procurement strategies, product development, margins, costs, capacity or production planning.



Appendix 3 The AEMO Project Team



Margarida Pimentel AEMO Business Sponsor



Alistair Wells Project Lead



Dave Lenton Pricing Model Development



Elliott Kuhlmann Technical Advisor

Sarah Squire Change Manager



Chris Espinoza Program Manager

Appendix 4 Industry Working Group Members

Name	Organisation	Industry Sector
Thai Vo Patrick Rossiter	GE Renewable Energy	OEM
Sylvain Grandidier	Siemens Energy	OEM
Charbel Antoun	TransGrid	TNSP
Hieu Nguyen Corey Chin	Powercor	DNSP
Amir Mehrtash	Power System Consultants	Consultant
Scott Partlin (Apology) Natasha Thompson Ronny Schnapp	NEOEN	Developer
-	AGL	Developer
Wai-Kin Wong	Hatch	Developer