# WA RETAIL FORUM

#### OVERVIEW OF B2B PROCEDURES



PRESENTED BY ALLICIA VOLVRICHT, RETAIL MARKET DEVELOPMENT MANAGER



#### AGENDA



- 1. Workshop Overview (Objectives, Assumptions, Rules)
- 2. B2B e-Hub System Overview
- 3. Accreditation
- 4. B2B Guide
- 5. Service Orders
- 6. Customer Site Details Notification
- 7. Meter Data Process
- 8. One Way Notification
- 9. NEM RoLR Process Part B
- 10. Technical Delivery Specification
- 11. Next Steps
- 12. Questions



To provide participants with an overview of the National Electricity Market (NEM) B2B Procedures:

- B2B Guide.
- Service Order Process.
- Customer Site Details Notification.
- Meter Data Process.
- One Way Notification.
- NEM RoLR Processes Part B.
- Technical Delivery Specification.



The overview includes:

- Market transactions and obligations.
- Comparisons between current WA and NEM processes.
- Potential policy matters to consider with drafting Retail Market Procedures suitable for WA.

The Final Determination and Report for the NEM B2B Procedures come into effect on 31 December 2017, these can be found on AEMO's website at:

http://www.aemo.com.au/Stakeholder-

Consultation/Consultations/Power-of-choice---B2B-Procedures---Final-Report-and-Determination



#### In WA:

- Implement a similar National Electricity Rules (NER) framework (Chapter 7 and relevant/related framework) for the retail market.
- Maintain retail contestability for WA customers >50MWh.
- Prepare for future implementation of Metering Competition and Full Retail Contestability.
- Embedded Networks are not in current scope.
- Western Power (WP) will take on the role and responsibilities of a Metering Co-ordinator (even if Metering Competition is not initially implemented).



The B2B Procedures:

- Are made under clause 7.17 of the National Electricity Rules (NER) and are published as separate documents along functional lines.
- Prescribes the content of, the processes for, and the information to be provided to support, B2B Communications.

Parties must comply with the B2B Procedures.

Refer to the AEMC's website for a marked-up copy of the NER, including changes to Chapter 7, to adopt National Electricity Amendments:

- Embedded Network Rule 2015 No 15.
- Meter Replacement Process Rule 2016 No 2.
- Updating the B2B Framework Rule 2016 No 6.

See: <u>http://www.aemc.gov.au/Energy-Rules/National-electricity-</u> <u>rules/Chapter-7-from-1-December-2017</u>



The analysis in these slides is based on the following key assumptions:

- WA adopt the B2B Procedures amended for the NEM (Power of Choice Program) coming into effect 1 December 2017.
- If WA adopt a similar NER Chapter 7 under a local law scheme, it is anticipated a NEM version of the B2B Procedures will be applied to WA as a separate B2B Procedure.
- WA parties will comply with the B2B Procedures.
- The WA B2B Procedures Management Process will differ to the NER (pending policy outcome).

#### ANALYSIS - KEY ASSUMPTIONS (CONT.)



- WA will use the NEM Transactions where relevant (i.e. excluding Embedded Networks).
- The Electricity Industry Metering Code 2012 and Transfer Code to be disapplied to the SWIS.
- WA Build Packs, most will no longer apply and will be replaced with AEMO Procedures.
- WA will use the latest NEM aseXML version available.
- WA transactions will be based on Western Standard Time.

## WA CURRENT ARRANGEMENTS



| Instrument  | Affected?<br>AEMO's Initial<br>Assumption for<br>RMO | Assumptions and Comments   |
|---|--|--|
| Electricity Industry Act 2004   | Yes  | To be amended to expand the scope of the WEM Rules and incorporate<br>the prohibition on competition and to remove reference of<br>Transfer/Metering code for the SWIS   |
| WEM Rules – 2006  | Yes  | To be expanded to incorporate related components of the NER  |
| Electricity Corporations Act 2005   | Yes  | To be amended to shift the prohibition into the EI Act. PUO to finalise drafting instructions  |
| Electricity Industry (Wholesale<br>Electricity Market) Regulations<br>2004                          | Maybe  | To be amended as required to facilitate the transfer, though minimal changes anticipated. PUO to finalise drafting instructions  |
| Electricity Transmission and<br>Distribution system (access)<br>Act 1994                            | Not anticipated                                      | PUO to confirm (definitions)   |
| Electricity Industry Act 2004 -<br>Electricity Industry (obligation<br>to connect) Regulations 2005 | Not anticipated                                      | Review recommended to ensure processes do not breach regulations<br>(e.g. timeframes for connections/energisations, definitions). PUO to<br>confirm.   |
| Code of conduct for supply of electricity to small customers 2016                                   | Maybe  | PUO to confirm - Discussions with the ERA would be required as to how<br>to proceed. Would need to remove reference to the transfer and metering<br>codes and review of definitions                            |
| Electricity Industry Metering<br>Code 2012  | Yes  | PUO to finalise - To be disapplied from the SWIS. Components may need to be considered.  |
| Electricity Industry Transfer<br>Code 2016  | Yes  | PUO to finalise – To be disapplied from the SWIS. Clause 4.12 emulated in another instrument. Components may need to be considered to ascertain which will be replaced/no longer relevant/updated in WEM rules |

## WA CURRENT ARRANGEMENTS



| Instrument  | Affected?<br>AEMO's initial<br>assumptions for RMO | Assumptions and Comments  |
|---|--|---|
| Electricity Industry<br>(Obligations to Connect)<br>Regulations 2009        | Not Anticipated                                    | PUO to confirm (definitions)  |
| Electricity Industry (Network<br>Reliability and Quality of<br>Supply) Code | Unlikely?  | PUO to confirm (definitions)  |
| Electricity Networks Access<br>Code 2004                                    | Maybe  | PUO to confirm. Potentially Clause 4.12 of the Transfer Code would need to be emulated in another instrument.   |
| Applications and Queuing<br>Policy  | Yes  | As it forms part of Western Power's Access Arrangement, it will be for WP to review this document for consistency with the regulatory framework.  |
| Electricity Transfer Access<br>Contract (e.g. ring-fencing)                 | Maybe  | PUO to confirm. Potentially ETACs should be modified to reflect a different trigger for NMIs to transfer.   |
| Transfer and Relocation<br>Policy   | No?  | PUO to confirm. TRP, cl 2.2 "This transfer and relocation policy does not in any way apply to a customer transfer request."   |
| ERA Technical Rules   | Maybe  | The Technical Rules will stay, but may need minor modifications. PUO to confirm and advise position   |
| Western Power's <u>Technical</u><br>Guides                                  | Yes – All need to be<br>reviewed                   | PUO to confirm, will it be for Western Power to undertake a review for<br>consistency? Most will disappear and be replaced by AEMO's Procedures,<br>however specific network related functions will likely need to remain with WP,<br>similar to the NEM where Networks also manage their own network related<br>functions/supporting documents. (e.g. network billing spec, unmetered supply csv<br>tech spec functions not covered as Market Operator functions under the rules)<br>Refer to slides 11 & 12 |

## CURRENT WA BUILD RULES/BUILD PACKS



| Build Pack<br>Document Name                             | Changes<br>Required? | Comments/Assumptions  |
|---|----------------------|---|
| SWIS<br>Communication<br>Rules                          | Yes.                 | This is expected to no longer be required, if adopting Chapter 7 it covers communication rules for B2B under 7.17.3 Content of B2B Procedures. Method and Delivery is covered in the NEM Technical Delivery Specification, Related Documents, B2B Procedures/Guide  |
| Customer Transfer<br>& Standing Data<br>Procedure       | Yes                  | This is expected to no longer be required, if adopting Chapter 7 it includes MSATS and B2B.<br>A review however of Chapter 3 (access to standing data) to also be considered.<br>To be replaced with MSATS and B2B Procedures and NMI Standing Data Procedure/Schedule and<br>Metering Data Provision Procedures  |
| B2B Procedures:<br>Meter Data<br>Process                | Yes                  | This is expected to no longer be required, if adopting Chapter 7 it includes B2B Procedures. Currently based on the NEM Meter Data Process Version 1.4/ Published 2007. To be replaced by the latest NEM B2B Meter Data Process   |
| B2B Procedures:<br>Customer and Site<br>Details Process | Yes                  | This is expected to no longer be required, if adopting Chapter 7 it includes B2B Procedures. Currently based on the NEM Customer and Site Details Notification Procedure Version 1.4 2007. To be replaced by the latest NEM Customer and Site Details Process Procedure   |
| B2B Procedures:<br>Service Order<br>Process             | Yes                  | This is expected to no longer be required, if adopting Chapter 7 it includes B2B Procedures. Currently based on the NEM Service Order Process Procedure Version 1.4 Published 2007 To be replaced by the latest NEM Service Order Process Procedure   |
| B2B Procedures:<br>Technical Delivery<br>Specification  | Yes                  | This is expected to no longer be required, if adopting Chapter 7 it includes B2B Procedures. Currently based on the NEM Technical Delivery Specification Procedure Version 1.4 Published 2007 To be replaced by the latest NEM Technical Delivery Specification Procedure and Guide   |
| Usage Guidelines  | Yes                  | This will require review, if adopting Chapter 7 it includes B2B, MSATS and Metering/Metrology Procedures.<br>Will largely be replaced by the latest NEM B2B Guide, MSATS Procedure, Metering Procedures and NMI<br>Standing Data Procedures/Schedule. Non Market Operator contents will need to be considered which<br>currently sit outside of AEMO Procedures (e.g CSV Files for UMS Data and Streetlights) and also Policy<br>decision on Historical Consumption Data Requests |

## CURRENT WA RULES/BUILD PACKS



| Build Pack<br>Document Name   | Changes<br>Required? | Comments/Assumptions  |
|---|----------------------|---|
| Market Roles  | Yes                  | This is expected to no longer be required, if adopting Chapter 7 it includes MSATS, Metrology and B2B which includes role definitions, principles and obligations<br>A review however of Chapter 3 (access to standing data) to also be considered.<br>To be replaced with the latest NEM MSATS and B2B Procedures and NMI Standing Data<br>Procedure/Schedule and Metering Data Provision Procedures.  |
| Web Portal User<br>Guide  | Yes                  | This is expected to no longer be required, if adopting Chapter 7 it includes B2B, Metrology and MSATS. To be replaced with NEM related MSATS, Metrology and B2B documents/guides and specification packs  |
| Web Portal<br>Functional<br>Specification   | Yes                  | Same as above   |
| Infrastructure User<br>Guide  | Yes                  | Same as above   |
| List of Codes   | Yes                  | Same as above   |
| Key to codes used<br>in Build Pack  | Yes                  | Same as above   |
| Glossary  | Yes                  | This is expected to no longer be required, to be replaced with the NEM Glossary and Framework   |
| Streetlight Data<br>CSV File<br>Specification &<br>UMS Data CSV<br>File Specification | Yes                  | These documents covers street-lighting and UMS billing details from WP to Synergy using CSV files.<br>This is not currently a Market Operator function. Network billing is not covered under the existing NER and<br>the B@B Procedures do not currently consider Billing/Network billing, jurisdictional network billing has been<br>interpreted as not relating to an end user or supply to an end user.<br>A possible solution will be for WP to use SMP Hub |
| WA B2B Process<br>Participant Build<br>Pack   | Yes                  | This is expected to no longer be required, if adopting Chapter 7 it includes B2B Procedures. Currently based on the NEM Technical Delivery Specification Procedure Version 2.4 Published 2005 To be replaced by the latest NEM Technical Delivery Specification Procedure and Guide   |

#### NER OBLIGATIONS



NEM arrangements are outlined in the NER, **Part H B2B Requirements 7.17 B2B Arrangements** 

#### 7.17.1 B2B e-Hub

(a) AEMO must provide and operate a B2B e-Hub.

#### (b) The B2B e-Hub must:

(1) have the capability to facilitate the *B2B Communications* in accordance with the *B2B Procedures*;

(2) have the capability to support a free-form method of communication between B2B Parties; and

(3) meet any minimum standards of performance specified in the B2B *Procedures*.

(c) A person must not use the B2B e-Hub unless they are a B2B e-Hub Participant.

(d) Each *B2B Party* and *AEMO* must comply with the *B2B Procedures* 



(e) Subject to paragraph (f), each *B2B Party* must use the *B2B e-Hub* for *B2B Communications* in accordance with the *B2B Procedures*.

(f) *B2B Parties* may, on such terms and conditions as agreed between them, communicate a *B2B Communication* on a basis other than through the *B2B e-Hub* provided the *B2B Communication* is otherwise made in accordance with the *B2B Procedures*.

(g) Despite paragraphs (d) and (e), a person:

(1) appointed as a *Metering Coordinator* in respect of a *transmission network connection point*, and

(2) not accredited as a B2B e-Hub Participant,

is not required to:

(3) comply with the B2B Procedures; and

(4) use the B2B e-Hub for B2B Communications,

in respect of that transmission network connection point.

#### NER OBLIGATIONS



#### 7.17.2 B2B e-Hub Participants

(a) A B2B e-Hub Participant is a person so accredited with AEMO.

(b) *AEMO* must establish and maintain an accreditation process for *B2B e*-*Hub Participants* (including circumstances under which accreditation can be revoked by *AEMO*) and *publish* information relating to the process by which parties can apply to be accredited as *B2B e*-*Hub Participants*.

(c) To be eligible for accreditation as a B2B e-Hub Participant, a person must:
 (1) satisfy AEMO that it is complying with and will comply with the Rules and the procedures authorised under the Rules; and

(2) satisfy such other requirements as reasonably determined by *AEMO*, which may include (but are not limited to):

(i) systems and information technology requirements necessary for secure use of the *B2B e-Hub*; and

(ii) fee payment and credit support requirements.

(d) *AEMO* may exempt persons or classes of persons from any one or more requirements of the accreditation process for *B2B e-Hub Participants* established under paragraph (b), subject to such conditions as *AEMO* deems appropriate.



#### 7.17.3 Content of the B2B Procedures

(a) The *B2B Procedures* may be constituted by one or more separate documents and:

(1) must provide for *B2B Communications* to support each of the services set out in the *minimum services specification*;

(2) may provide for any other *B2B Communications* determined in accordance with the *Rules*;

(3)may include obligations in relation to the information to be maintained and provided to support *B2B Communications*;

(4) must not restrict *B2B Parties* from communicating *B2B Communications* on a basis other than through the *B2B e-Hub* as permitted under clause 7.17.1(f); and

(5) may include minimum performance standards for the B2B e-Hub.



(b) For each B2B Communication, the B2B Procedures:

(1) must specify:

(i) the required B2B Data inputs and B2B Data outputs;

(ii) the required business process flows and related timing requirements;

(iii) the required content and format;

(iv) the required delivery method; and

(v)the back-up delivery method to be used where the required delivery method cannot be used; and

(2)may specify:

(i) details for testing and certification;

(ii) provisions relating to contingency arrangements; and

(iii) examples of how a B2B Communication may operate in practice.

(c) *B2B Data* is confidential information and may only be disclosed as permitted by the *Rules*.

#### **RELATED DOCUMENTS**



| Document Name   | Location  |
|---|---|
| Service Paperwork Reference Table *This should be updated to include WA | http://www.aemo.com.au/-<br>/media/Files/PDF/Service_Paperwork_Reference_Table_v5_1.pdf   |
| B2B E-Hub Accreditation   | http://www.aemo.com.au/-<br>/media/Files/Electricity/NEM/Retail_and_Metering/B2B/B2B-<br>eHub-Accreditation.pdf                             |
| B2B E-Hub Application form  | http://www.aemo.com.au/-<br>/media/Files/Electricity/NEM/Retail_and_Metering/B2B/B2B-<br>eHub-Application-Form.docx                         |
| B2B Mapping to aseXML Guide   | http://www.aemo.com.au/-<br>/media/Files/PDF/B2B_Mapping_to_aseXMLv2_00.pdf   |
| Meter Data File Format  | http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/National-Electricity-Market-<br>NEM/Retail-and-metering/Metering-procedures-guidelines-and-<br>processes |
| Guide to Information Systems  | http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/National-Electricity-Market-<br>NEM/IT-systems-and-change  |
| Connecting to AEMO's Electricity IT Systems                             | http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/National-Electricity-Market-<br>NEM/IT-systems-and-change  |

## **RELATED DOCUMENTS**



| Document Name                 | Location  |
|-------------------------------|---|
| AseXML Development Guidelines | http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/National-Electricity-Market-<br>NEM/IT-systems-and-change/aseXML_standards/aseXML-<br>Guidelines       |
| AseXML Change Process         | http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/National-Electricity-Market-<br>NEM/IT-systems-and-change/aseXML_standards/aseXML-<br>change-process   |
| General Schema Information    | http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/National-Electricity-Market-<br>NEM/IT-systems-and-change/aseXML_standards/aseXML-<br>Schemas          |
| ASEXML Document Samples       | http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/National-Electricity-Market-<br>NEM/IT-systems-and-change/aseXML_standards/aseMXL-<br>Document-Samples |

#### **RETAIL OPERATIONAL CONTACT LIST**



The NEM maintains a Retail Operational Contact List which includes Primary Operational Contacts, Process Owners and Escalation Points per Process Categories, e.g Life Support, RoLR, Service Orders, Transfers.

AEMO maintains this currently as part of Support Services, As a market readiness activity the RoCL will be updated to include WA Market Participants. Sub Example below:

| Category                              | Customer Details   | Customer Details  | IT Operations   | IT Operations   | Outage Notifications   |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| Sub-category                          | CSDN Processes - Enquiries<br>related to issues for Customer, Site<br>& Access Details Notifications &<br>requests | CSD Reconciliation - Enquiries<br>related to issues for Customer Site<br>Detail Reconciliations | General Ops - Enquiries related<br>to updating B2B notices or<br>Gateway issues (not responsible<br>for contingency plans, see right) | Contingency Management - Enquiries related to<br>managing a B2B / Gateway contingency plan for their<br>participant in the event that their gateway fails | Unplanned/Planned Customer<br>outages - Enquiries related to<br>outages, DNSPs contact Retailers<br>to advise of an outage or Retailers<br>contact DNSPs to determine if<br>there is an outage |
| Primary Operational Contact           |  |   |   |   |  |
| Operating Hours                       |  |   |   |   |  |
| Name                                  |  |   |   |   |  |
| Alternate name (if any)               |  |   |   |   |  |
| Direct phone number (incl. area code) |  |   |   |   |  |
| Mobile phone number                   |  |   |   |   |  |
| E-mail address of role                |  |   |   |   |  |
| E-mail address of person              |  |   |   |   |  |
| Fax number                            |  |   |   |   |  |
| Process Owner or Escalation Contact   |  |   |   |   |  |
| Name                                  |  |   |   |   |  |
| Alternate name (if any)               |  |   |   |   |  |
| Direct phone number (incl. area code) |  |   |   |   |  |
| Mobile phone number                   |  |   |   |   |  |
| E-mail address of role                |  |   |   |   |  |
| E-mail address of person              |  |   |   |   |  |
|                                       |  |   |   |   |  |

# **B2B HUB SYSTEM OVERVIEW**



#### OVERVIEW OF NATIONAL B2B INFRASTRUCTURE



Infrastructure (software and hardware) that enables B2B communication between Participants.

This includes, but is not necessarily limited to:

- MSATS (FTP) (software and hardware)
- MSATS B2B Gateways
- SMP Hub
- Communications between Participants and MSATS B2B Gateways; and Participant Gateways



SLIDE 22

#### e-HUB FUNCTIONALITY



The functionality available via the e-Hub includes:

- The ability for B2B files to be sent between Participants.
- Header and schema validation of files.
- Support for specific B2B Transaction types.
- Logging of handler activity in an activity log.

e-Hub allows participants to use two methods of communication:

- MSATS (FTP)
  - Supports the transfer of compressed ("zipped") aseXML files directly between market Participants.
- Shared Market Protocol (SMP) Hub (Webservice Gateway)
  - Business transactions are sent as an aseXML document carried as a payload inside the Webservice message and transmitted over HTTPS.

Analysis: WA currently uses B2B Handler technology but does not appear to have logging of handler activity functionality.

Assumption: NEM e-Hub functionality will be available for use in WA.



- Participants are be able to use the MSATS (FTP) or the SMP Hub (Webservices) for communicating B2B transactions (or a combination of both).
- Participants via the MSATS web browser can select the protocol they wish to use for B2B transactions at the Transaction Group level (i.e.., SORD etc.).
- The e-Hub validates each incoming message/file to determine if the Participant is sending the message/file using the opted interfacing method.
- The e-Hub will trigger an exception if the Participant sends a message/file using an interfacing method that is not opted in the portal.

### DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS



The National B2B Infrastructure used to deliver B2B Transactions supports "once and once only delivery".

- Participants and AEMO must not re-use *ase:MessageID* where they have received a Message Acknowledgement from the Recipient for that Message.
- Participants acknowledge and accept that Transactions and Acknowledgements may be delivered to a Participant out of sequence.
- AEMO and participants are responsible to maintain a 24/7 National B2B Infrastructure.
- WA currently communicates B2B transactions between the Initiator and Recipient with no middle participant 'AEMO e-Hub'.

Assumption: WA will use the functionality of the National B2B Infrastructure.

## TERMINOLOGY



#### Transaction and message communication terminology used:

| Message                        | FTP Term  | Webservice Term   | Description   |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Hub<br>Acknowledgement         | .ac1  | HTTP Response with Hub<br>MessageAcknowledgement<br>payload<br>MACK (positive/negative) | Hub response on receipt of a message.   |
| Message<br>Acknowledgement     | .ack<br>MsgAck<br>(positive)<br>MsgNack<br>(negative) | HTTP Response with<br>MessageAckowledgement<br>payload<br>MACK (positive/negative)      | Recipient/Notified Party acknowledges<br>receipt of the message from the<br>Initiator/e-Hub.                              |
| Transaction<br>Acknowledgement | TranAck   | TACK (positive/negative)  | Recipient/Notified Party provides a<br>business/logical acceptance or<br>rejection of the contents of the<br>transaction. |

- NEM currently uses aseXML schema version r32 for B2B transactions and the WEM currently uses waeXML schema r17 version
- From 1st Dec 2017 a new aseXML schema version will be released to reflect changes to the NEM for Power Of Choice

Assumption: WEM Participants will upgrade to the latest NEM aseXML schema version. SLIDE 26

#### TIMING REQUIREMENTS



With the exception of periods covered by any industry agreed outage period, Participants must use reasonable endeavours to adhere to the Timing Requirements as prescribed by the relevant B2B Procedure.

The table below applies to participants interacting using FTP or a combination of FTP and webservices and applies to the Acknowledgement cycle:

- Participants and AEMO must meet these Timing Requirements for a minimum of 95% of Transactions during a rolling 5 business day period.
- This requirement is based on an agreed industry loading scenario which AEMO has published to industry.

| Cycle                    | Low Priority<br>Transactions |                             | High Priority<br>Transactions | Responsibility |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Hub Transmission<br>Time | 30 minutes                   | 15 minutes                  | 5 minutes                     | AEMO           |
| MsgAck Cycle<br>Time     | 240 minutes                  | 60 minutes                  | 30 minutes                    | Participant    |
| TranAck Cycle<br>Time    | By end of next business day  | By end of next business day | 60 minutes                    | Participant    |

- WA has the same timing requirements for MsgAck Cycle Time and TranAck Cycle Time.
  - Hub Transmission time is not currently valid.
- Assumption: WA will adopt the NEM MSATS (FTP) workflow processes.

#### **B2B HANDLER FUNCTIONALITY (FTP)**



The functionality of the MSATS (FTP) includes:

- 1. The ability for B2B files to be sent directly to Participant directories ("Inbox") as specified.
- 2. Header and schema validation of files.
- 3. Production of a negative Hub Acknowledgement in the case of B2B Message failure.
- 4. A subdirectory that contains flow control files (.stp files) identifying Participants who are Stopped.
- 5. If a Participant that a B2B Transaction is being sent to has reached its file limit, the B2B file transfer fails and a negative hub Acknowledgement is sent to the B2B Initiator.
- 6. Support for specific B2B Transaction types.
- 7. Logging of MSATS (FTP) activity in an activity log.
- 8. Creation of a B2B e-Hub Acknowledgement file with a different extension (.ac1) is created to signify the successful transfer of a B2B Transaction to the intended Recipient.
- Where a Recipient's Inbox contains an invalid ase:MessageAcknowledgement(s) or invalid standalone ase:Event(s), the Recipient can still initiate Transactions by lodging ".zip" files into their Inbox which will be delivered.

Analysis shows that the WA B2B Handler does not include points 2, 3, 7 or 8 functionality.

Assumption: WA will adopt the NEM MSATS (FTP) functionality.

#### WEM B2B HANDLER WORKFLOW



File Transfer and Acknowledgement Protocol as implemented by the WEM B2B Handler.



#### NEM NATIONAL B2B WORKFLOW



#### File Transfer and Acknowledgement Protocol as implemented by the NEM (FTP)



Analysis: WEM does not currently maintain message logging or checks for outbox space requirements Assumption: WA will adopt the NEM (FTP) workflow processes SLIDE 30





Analysis: WA does not currently use a middle tier B2B Handler File Transfer and Acknowledgement Protocol Assumption: WA will adopt the NEM (FTP) workflow processes.

#### NEM NATIONAL FTP SEQUENCE DIAGRAM - ACKNOWLEDGEMENT MODEL



Assumption: WA will adopt the same NEM (FTP) workflow processes

SLIDE 32

## SMP WEB SERVICES



The SMP Hub Web service facilitates transactions sent in aseXML format carried as a payload inside a webservice message transmitted over HTTPS.

Unlike the B2B handler it does not support compressed messages.



Assumption: SMP Protocol will be available for use by WA participants



The Initiator is responsible for populating the list of Notified Parties in a given transaction to utilise the Notified Party functionality of the e-Hub.

The e-Hub allows for two options for an Initiator to notify other associated Participants:

- e-Hub-generated notifications:
  - The e-Hub will generate the required <u>NotifiedParty</u> transactions at the appropriate trigger points as defined in the B2B Procedure Service Order Process, to the Participants specified in the <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u>, and containing the corresponding payload information as defined in the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.
- Initiator-generated notifications:
  - the Initiator will be responsible to generate the NotifiedParty transactions required, in accordance with the B2B Procedures.



Participant responsibilities:

- Must use reasonable endeavours to establish internal contingency arrangements to minimise disruption to other market Participants in the event of a material internal infrastructure failure.
- Use reasonable endeavours to process Messages and Acknowledgements within the timeframes prescribed in this Procedure and elsewhere in the B2B Procedures.
- Where a Participant is unable to process Messages and/or Acknowledgements within timeframes prescribed in this Procedure or any other B2B Procedure, that Participant must as soon as reasonably practicable to inform affected parties and:
  - Detail actions and timeframes to recover
  - Negotiate appropriate intermediate working arrangements.

#### MAJOR FAILURE EVENTS



To enable 24/7 availability of the National B2B Infrastructure, Participants and AEMO must follow contingency events outlined in the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification effective Dec 2017. Example:

| Failure Event                           | Contingency Steps   |
|---|---|
| Central MSATS (FTP) ("hub")<br>failure. | 1. AEMO supports multiple "backup hubs". In the event of a failure of the operating MSATS (FTP), which prevents the business timings being achieved, AEMO will switch to a back-up hub.   |
|   | 2. In the unlikely event that all MSATS (FTP) become unavailable,<br>Participants should defer non-urgent Messages and send all<br>urgent B2B Messages as compressed aseXML email<br>attachments, without password protection, adhering to the<br>requirements specified later in this Section. |
|   | <ol> <li>If the MSATS (FTP) fails, AEMO must notify all Participants.<br/>When the MSATS (FTP) is available after a failure, AEMO should<br/>notify all Participants.</li> </ol>  |

Analysis: WA B2B Hub also maintains Major Failure Events and Contingency Steps including the use of email and the Metering Service Centre / Web portal when the WA B2B Handler is unavailable. Assumption: WA will follow the NEM Major Failure Events and Contingency Steps.
# **B2B e-HUB ACCREDITATION**



#### B2B e-HUB ACCREDITATION OVERVIEW



B2B e-Hub accreditation purpose:

- Is required to use the B2B e-Hub.
- Ensures an applicant's processes and IT systems are ready to interact with AEMO's systems safely and securely.
- Data will be delivered in the appropriate format.

How do you become accredited?

- By using the self-certification process in the B2B e-Hub pre-production environment.
- Details of the process can be found on AEMO's website by searching B2B E-Hub Participant Accreditation and Revocation Process, which was compiled as part of the Power of Choice Program.

B2B e-HUB ACCREDITATION OVERVIEW



What can you do once accredited?

- Able to connect to the B2B e-Hub.
- Use the B2B e-Hub to send to and receive from other B2B e-Hub Participants:
  - o documents that conform to the current aseXML schema
  - CSV data where applicable.



The Participant preparation required prior to requesting B2B e-Hub accreditation includes:

- The applicant having a separate Participant ID for each market Role as transaction testing related to each market Role must be completed.
  - Some roles allow for the same Participant ID to be allocated to them,
    i.e., LNSP role and initial MC roles are the same Participant ID.
- Reviewing the transactions required for testing.
  - If the applicant believes that there is not a requirement to test all of the transactions for the role they are performing, approval will be required from AEMO.



Applicants will need to be familiar with the following Procedures and Guides. Accreditation will form part of Market Readiness Activities.

| Document  |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| NER - Chapters 7, 10 & 11   | B2B Guide   | Guide to Transition of aseXML          |
| B2B Procedure: Customer and<br>Site Details Notification<br>Process | B2B Procedure: Technical<br>Delivery Specification  | B2B mapping to aseXML<br>(guide)       |
| B2B Procedure: Service Order<br>Process                             | NEM RoLR Processes Part B                           | Guide to MSATS B2B                     |
| B2B Procedure: Meter Data<br>Process                                | Meter Data File Format<br>Specification NEM 12 & 13 | SMP User Guide/ Technical<br>Guideline |
| B2B Procedure: One Way<br>Notification Process                      | aseXML Guidelines                                   |  |

### ACCREDITATION STEPS



The accreditation steps are:

- Applicant submits an application form (in Appendix A and published on AEMO's website).
- Within 5 business days AEMO will notify party whether the application has been accepted or rejected.
- Additional request forms required for ability to connect to:
  - MarketNet access to the Market Management System (MMS) and Market Settlement and Transfer Solution (MSATS)
  - o B2B e-Hub
  - API Gateway provides B2B communication options using web services; or using direct connections from a compatible participant gateway.
- Access to a non-production environment will be granted once all IT requirements are met.



The following are system testing stages:

- Stage 1: Messaging applicants are required to demonstrate to AEMO that their IT systems can fulfil the messaging requirements, including:
  - FTP Establishing aseXML file sending and receiving
  - APIs Establishing API message sending and receiving
- Stage 2: Transactions applicants are required to demonstrate to AEMO that their IT systems can produce and send transactions. Applicants must test transactions based on their role in the market. The transaction test format is:
  - o The initiator sends the transaction
  - The recipient acknowledges the transaction
  - o The recipient accepts the transaction
  - o The recipient produces a response
  - The initiator acknowledges the response



If AEMO considers that the applicant has not met the requirements of the application, AEMO will notify the applicant of:

- Which criteria have not been met.
- How the applicant should address the matter.
- The date the applicant must address the unmet criteria for reconsideration by AEMO.
- If the applicant fails to address the unmet criteria by the date specified by AEMO, the application is deemed to have been withdrawn.



Re-accreditation will be required if a participant:

- Makes any significant changes to their transaction and/or gateway system.
- Is implementing a new transaction and/or gateway system.
- Is changing delivery methods, i.e., moving from FTP to Web Services/APIs.

Participants must advise AEMO of the scope of any proposed change to its gateway, system or delivery method.

AEMO will then determine whether re-accreditation is required.



AEMO may revoke a B2B e-Hub Participant's accreditation if:

- The Participant is no longer a B2B Party; or
- The Participant is not complying with the rules or the procedures authorised under the Rules.

Participants may be issued a notice of non-compliance for failure to comply with any authorised rules or procedures:

- The notice of non-compliance will include a timeframe in which the B2B e-Hub Participant must rectify the non-compliance.
- If rectification of the non-compliance is not completed within the timeframe AEMO may revoke the B2B e-Hub Participants accreditation and access to the B2B e-Hub.







- The B2B Guide was developed as part of Power of Choice changes, to be effective 1 December 2017.
- The B2B Guide is not a B2B Procedure under the Rules, this document may be updated without the need for formal consultation.
- This guide can be found on AEMO's consultation page, see: <u>http://www.aemo.com.au/-</u> /media/Files/Stakeholder\_Consultation/Working\_Groups/ <u>Retail\_Meetings/POC-B2B/2016/B2B-Guide-v10-draft-</u> <u>clean.pdf</u>.



The B2B Guide:

- Aims to provide interested parties with an understanding of how B2B Communications defined in the B2B Procedures are used in the context of the broader industry scenarios, and to assist participants when forming their respective bilateral/commercial agreements.
- Includes reference to both Regulated and Non Regulated services and service providers across the NEM.
- Does not include rules but instead describes typical business practices

Assumption: A B2B guide will be created for WA



The B2B Guide communications model provides a more detailed explanations by jurisdiction around:

- The roles of the Initiator, Recipient and Notified Parties for:
  - Service Orders
  - One Way Notifications
  - Customer Site Details Notifications
  - o Meter Data Process
  - Service Order Paperwork.
- The methods for requesting/providing and sharing of information:
  - o BusinessReceipt
  - o BusinessAcceptance/Rejections.

#### B2B TRANSACTIONS AND TYPICAL PARTICIPANT COMBINATIONS



#### Example of B2B Guide explanation of Service Order Transactions and Participant responsibilities

| B2B Procedure  | Transaction<br>Type          | Sub Type                                     | Purpose   | Initiator/s | Recipient                     | Notified<br>Parties   |
|----------------|------------------------------|--|---|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Service Orders | Supply<br>Service<br>Works   | Allocate NMI                                 | The first step in a new connection process  | RB          | DB/ENM                        | Х                     |
| Service Orders | Metering<br>Service<br>Works | Install Meter                                | Install one or more meters or metering installations                                | RB or<br>MC | MP                            | DB / MDP<br>/ MC      |
| Service Orders | Metering<br>Service<br>Works | Move Meter                                   | Move the location of a meter  | RB or<br>MC | MP (or DB<br>for Type<br>5/6) | MDP / DB<br>/ MC      |
| Service Orders | Re-<br>energisation          | After<br>Disconnection<br>for Non<br>payment | Re-Energise the customer<br>after a disconnection for Non-<br>payment               | RB          | DB or MP<br>or MC             | MDP / DB<br>/ MP / MC |
| Service Orders | De-<br>energisation          | Local Meter<br>Disconnection                 | De-Energise the customer<br>through local operation of in-<br>built meter contactor | RB          | DB/(VIC) /<br>MP              | MDP / DB<br>/ MP / MC |

#### B2B GUIDE – SCENARIOS AND PROCESS WORKFLOW EXAMPLES



The B2B Guide provides scenarios and workflow diagrams to explain Service Order processes including; Meter Exchange, Re-energisation and De-energisation and Establishing a new customer service (a new connection).

Example below is of a Victorian New Connection.



# SERVICE ORDERS





The Service Order Process (Procedure):

- Specifies the Service Order communication and transaction data.
- Establishes a consistently understood process and transactions.
- Defines the standard process and transaction data requirements that:
  - Enables Participants to request defined services ("Service Orders")
  - To receive confirmation that the work will or will not be undertaken (or attempted)
  - The work has or has not been completed as requested.
- Is published by AEMO in accordance with NER clause 7.17.3.
- Has effect only for the purposes set out in the NER, NERR and jurisdictional codes.



- WA references are based on the WA B2B Procedure Service Order Process v0.7 (based on the NEM B2B Procedure Service Order Process v1.4 effective 11 Dec 2015.
- NEM references are based on the B2B Procedure Service Order Process v3.0 effective 01 Dec 2017.

# POWER OF CHOICE CHANGES TO TERMINOLOGY



In December 2017, the Power of Choice changes will introduce the following new Service Order terminology:

- Initiator Person who sends the service order.
- Recipient Service Provider who will carry out the work.
- Notified Party Persons not involved in the direct provision of the Service Order Request and may who need to know about it.

These changes allow:

- Any party to initiate a request.
- Any party to complete a request.
- Other parties to be notified i.e.., outage notifications.



#### KEY VARIANCES BETWEEN WEM AND NEM



#### WA Service Order High Level Process



Key Variances:

- WEM transactions are between a Retailer and Service Provider (WP), whereas the NEM model considers transactions between an initiator, recipient and multiple notified parties.
- WA Retailer may amend and re-send a rejected Service Order as a New Service Order whereases In the NEM a new Service Order is initiated. SLIDE 57



- The Power of Choice changes introduced an additional level of communication – to provide status information to parties not involved as the initiator or receiver of a request, i.e.., 'Notified Party'.
- It is <u>not</u> mandatory to use Notified Party.
- On receipt of information, a Notified Party must acknowledge the transaction.

## HIGH LEVEL COMMUNICATION PROCESS FOR SERVICE ORDERS



AEMO

### NEM SERVICE ORDER - JURISDICTIONAL DIFFERENCES



The NEM Service Order rules:

- Requires an Allocate NMI Service Order to be initiated first in all jurisdictions. The completion of this Service Order is required to enable a participant to raise a Supply Service Works or Metering Works Service Order which requires a NMI.
- Allows any following Service Orders to be flexible in terms of sequence to allow for jurisdictional differences.

Example of a Service Order for a New Connection:



In WA, analysis indicates that an Allocate NMI Service Order is completed retrospectively.



Assumption: WA to adopt the NEM Service Order Rules.



The table below reflects the different naming conventions used for Service Order Types between the NEM and WA.

| WA SERVICE ORDER TYPES    | COMPARATIVE NEM SERVICE ORDER TYPE |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Allocate NMI              | Supply Service Works               |
| New Connection            | Supply Service Works               |
| Additions and Alterations | Metering Service Works             |
| Meter Investigation       | Metering Service Works             |
| Meter Reconfiguration     | Metering Service Works             |
| Supply Abolishment        | Metering Service Works             |
| De-energisation           | Same                               |
| Re-energisation           | Same                               |
| Miscellaneous Services    | Same                               |



The following tables compares WA and NEM Service Orders, Service Order Sub Types.

| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER<br>TYPE | NEM<br>SUB<br>TYPE   | DESCRIPTION  | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATO<br>R | NEM<br>RECIPIENT | Notified<br>Parties | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN NEM<br>AND WA                   |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|---|
| Supply<br>Service<br>Works      | Allocate<br>NMI      | Used where the retailer<br>wants the Site registered<br>in MSATS with retailer as<br>the current FRMP at the<br>time of NMI allocation.  | Yes             | RB                   | DB/ ENM          | X                   | WA Service Order<br>Type = Allocate NMI             |
| Supply<br>Service<br>Works      | Tariff<br>Change     | DNSP is requested to change the network tariff   | Yes             | RB                   | DB/ ENM          | Х                   | WA Service Order<br>Type = Meter<br>Reconfiguration |
| Supply<br>Service<br>Works      | Supply<br>Alteration | DNSP is requested to<br>alter the supply<br>Examples of use:<br>Increasing supply from 1<br>phase to 3 phase.<br>Relocation of the service<br>line not involving a change<br>of NMI. | No              | RB                   | DB               | MDP/MP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service Order<br>Sub Type not found              |

Assumption: WA will utilise the NEM Service Order and Service Order Sub Types combinations



| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER<br>TYPE | NEM<br>SUB TYPE                        | DESCRIPTION  | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR | NEM<br>RECIPIENT | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN NEM<br>AND WA  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
| Supply<br>Service<br>Works      | Supply<br>Abolishment                  | DNSP is requested to<br>remove the service<br>line/cable as supply is no<br>longer required at Site.<br>This involves<br>decommissioning a NMI<br>(e.g. demolition).           | Yes             | RB               | DB               | MDP/MP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service Order<br>Type = Supply<br>Abolishment   |
| Supply<br>Service<br>Works      | Establish<br>Temporary<br>Supply       | DNSP is requested to<br>arrange for a customer a<br>temporary physical<br>connection to a builders<br>temporary supply pole at a<br>construction Site.                         | Yes             | RB               | DB               | MDP/MP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service Order<br>Type = New<br>Connection,<br>WA Service Order<br>Sub Type =<br>Temporary                 |
| Supply<br>Service<br>Works      | Establish<br>Temporary in<br>Permanent | DNSP is requested to<br>establish a temporary supply<br>for a customer at a<br>Construction Site and when<br>construction completed will<br>remain as the permanent<br>supply. | Yes             | RB               | DB               | MDP/MP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service Order<br>Type = New<br>Connection,<br>WA Service Order<br>Sub Type =<br>Temporary in<br>Permanent |



| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER<br>TYPE | NEM<br>SUB TYPE                  | DESCRIPTION  | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR | NEM<br>RECIPIENT | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN NEM<br>AND WA   |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|---|
| Supply<br>Service<br>Works      | Establish<br>Permanent<br>Supply | DNSP is requested to<br>arrange a new<br>permanent supply at a<br>connection point.  | Yes             | RB               | DB               | MDP/MP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service<br>Order Type =<br>New Connection,<br>WA Sub Type =<br>Permanent |
| Supply<br>Service<br>Works      | Temporary<br>Isolation           | DNSP is requested to<br>temporarily isolate<br>(disconnect) supply at a<br>supply point for a<br>limited time, usually<br>just for the day without<br>need for re-en.<br>For example where a<br>service line needs to be<br>dropped and<br>reconnected within a<br>short period of time. | No              | RB               | DB               | MDP/MP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service<br>Order Sub Type<br>not found                                   |



| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER<br>TYPE | NEM<br>SUB TYPE                              | DESCRIPTION  | EXISTS IN<br>WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR | NEM<br>RECIPIENT      | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN<br>NEM AND WA   |
|---------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|
| Supply<br>Service<br>Works      | Temporary<br>Isolation –<br>Group Supply     | DNSP is requested to<br>temporarily isolate<br>(disconnect) supply<br>where multiple NMIs<br>are connected to a<br>supply point (e.g.<br>apartment block )             | No              | RB               | DB                    | MDP/MP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service<br>Order Sub<br>Type not found                                       |
| Re-<br>energisation             | After<br>Disconnection<br>for Non<br>payment | Re-Energise the<br>customer after a<br>disconnection for Non-<br>payment   | Yes             | RB               | DB or MP<br>or MC     | MDP/DB/MP<br>/ [MC] | WA Service<br>Order Sub<br>Type = After<br>Disconnection<br>for Non-<br>payment |
| Re-<br>energisation             | Remote                                       | Where the initiator<br>requires re-<br>energisation not<br>requiring a physical<br>visit to the customer's<br>premises (e.g.<br>Customer is moving<br>into a premises) | No              | RB               | DB (VIC),<br>MP or MC | MDP/DB/MP<br>/ [MC] | WA Service<br>Order Sub<br>Type not found                                       |



| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER<br>TYPE | NEM<br>SUB TYPE          | DESCRIPTION  | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR | NEM<br>RECIPIENT | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN<br>NEM AND WA         |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|---|
| Re-<br>energisation             | Retrospective<br>Move-In | When a move-in<br>reading is required for<br>an already Energised<br>Site  | No              | RB               | DB or MP         | MDP/DB/MP/<br>[MC]  | WA Service<br>Order Sub<br>Type not found |
| Re-<br>energisation             | New Reading<br>Required  | Where a Retailer<br>wants a reading<br>taken, rather than a<br>deemed Meter<br>Reading for a<br>manually read meter. | No              | RB               | DB or MP         | MDP/DB/MP/<br>[MC]  | WA Service<br>Order Sub<br>Type not found |
| Re-<br>energisation             | Physical Visit           | Where the initiator<br>requires Re-<br>energisation requiring<br>a physical visit to the<br>customer's premises.     | No              | RB               | DB or MP         | MDP/DB/MP/<br>[MC]  | WA Service<br>Order Sub<br>Type not found |
| Re-<br>energisation             | Sticker<br>Removal       | Re-Energise the<br>customer via visiting<br>a site and removing a<br>sticker over the main<br>switch                 | No              | RB               | DB or MP         | MDP/DB/MP/<br>[MC]  | WA Service<br>Order Sub<br>Type not found |



| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER<br>TYPE | NEM<br>SUB TYPE               | DESCRIPTION   | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR | NEM<br>RECIPIENT      | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN<br>NEM AND WA         |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|
| Re-<br>energisation             | Recipient<br>Discretion       | The Recipient will re-<br>energise the Site in the<br>most efficient manner<br>where standard practice<br>applies.  | No              | RB               | DB or MP or<br>MC     | MDP/DB/MP/<br>[MC]  | WA Service<br>Order Sub Type<br>not found |
| De-<br>energisation             | Pillar-Box Pit<br>or Pole-Top | A physical disconnection<br>of the service mains at the<br>connection to the network.                               | No              | RB               | DB                    | MDP/MP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service<br>Order Sub Type<br>not found |
| De-<br>energisation             | Remove<br>Fuse                | The Initiator requires the physical removal of the <i>supply</i> fuse   | No              | RB               | DB                    | MDP/MP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service<br>Order Sub Type<br>not found |
| De-<br>energisation             | Remote                        | Where the Initiator<br>requires de-energisation<br>not requiring a physical<br>visit to the customer's<br>premises. | No              | RB or MC         | DB (VIC),<br>MP or MC | MDP/MP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service<br>Order Sub Type<br>not found |



| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER<br>TYPE | NEM<br>SUB TYPE              | DESCRIPTION   | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR | NEM<br>RECIPIENT  | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN NEM<br>AND WA         |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---|
| De-<br>energisation             | Local Meter<br>Disconnection | Attend Site and<br>disconnect at the meter<br>by either isolating the<br>meter point itself,<br>opening the contactors<br>or removing tails from<br>the meter terminal. | No              | RB               | DB (VIC),<br>MP   | MDP/DB/<br>MP/ [MC] | WA Service<br>Order Sub Type<br>not found |
| De-<br>energisation             | Recipient<br>Discretion      | The Recipient will de-<br>energise the Site in the<br>most efficient manner<br>at the Recipient's<br>discretion. Where<br>standard practise<br>applies                  | No              | RB or MC         | DB or MP or<br>MC | MDP/DB/<br>MP/ [MC] | WA Service<br>Order Sub Type<br>not found |



| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER TYPE | NEM<br>SUB TYPE                            | DESCRIPTION  | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR | NEM<br>RECIPIENT                    | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN NEM<br>AND WA  |
|------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Special Read                 | Check Read                                 | Where there is a reported error in the Meter Reading.  | Yes             | RB               | MDP or DB<br>for Type 5/6<br>Meters | Х                   | No Variance  |
| Special Read                 | Final Read                                 | Used when a reading is required for preparing a final bill for the Customer  | Yes             | RB               | MDP or DB<br>for Type 5/6<br>Meters | Х                   | No Variance  |
| Miscellaneous<br>Services    | NO SUB<br>TYPE –<br>ignore if<br>populated | An ad-hoc service<br>request   | No              | [ANY]            | [ANY]                               | [ANY]               | WA Service<br>Order Type =<br>Miscellaneous<br>Services<br>WA Service<br>Order Sub Type<br>not Found |
| Meter Service<br>Works       | Exchange<br>Meter                          | Exchange of one or<br>more meters (e.g.<br>Change a single phase<br>meter into a multi-phase<br>meter.<br>Meter Churn. | Yes             | RB OR<br>[MC]    | MP                                  | DB/MDP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service<br>Order Type =<br>Adds & Alts  |



| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER<br>TYPE | NEM<br>SUB TYPE          | DESCRIPTION   | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR | NEM<br>RECIPIENT                   | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN NEM<br>AND WA   |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Meter<br>Service<br>Works       | Install Meter            | The installation of one or more meters.   | Yes             | RB OR<br>[MC]    | MP                                 | DB/MDP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service<br>Order Type =<br>Adds & Alts   |
| Meter<br>Service<br>Works       | Move Meter               | Relocation of one or<br>more meters (e.g. to<br>facilitate building<br>works at a Site, but not<br>decommission the<br>NMI.   | Yes             | RB or MC         | MP or DB<br>for Type 5/6<br>meters | MDP/ [MC]           | WA Service<br>Order Sub Type<br>not found   |
| Meter<br>Service<br>Works       | Meter<br>Reconfiguration | Reconfiguration or<br>reprogramming of the<br>metering installation.<br>Usually required when<br>a retailer needs to<br>change tariff (eg<br>Changing the hours of<br>application of different<br>registers (peak and<br>off-peak). | Yes             | RB or MC         | MP or DB<br>for Type 5/6<br>meters | MDP/ [MC]           | WA Service<br>Order Type =<br>Meter<br>Reconfiguration<br>WA Service<br>Order Sub Type<br>not found |



| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER<br>TYPE | NEM<br>SUB TYPE                        | DESCRIPTION  | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR | NEM<br>RECIPIENT                   | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN NEM<br>AND WA   |
|---------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Meter<br>Service<br>Works       | Meter<br>Investigation -<br>Inspect    | The Initiator<br>requires an<br>investigation of a<br>metering<br>installation.<br>The Initiator must<br>provide additional<br>information in the<br>special instruction<br>where a Service<br>Order Sub Type of<br>Meter Investigation-<br>Inspect or Meter<br>Investigation-Meter<br>Test is used. | Yes             | RB or MC         | MP or DB<br>for Type 5/6<br>meters | MDP/ [MC]           | WA Service Order<br>Type = Meter<br>Investigation<br>WA Service Order<br>Sub Type =<br>Inspect    |
| Meter<br>Service<br>Works       | Meter<br>Investigation -<br>Meter Test |  | Yes             | RB or MC         | MP or DB<br>for Type 5/6<br>meters | MDP/ [MC]           | WA Service Order<br>Type = Meter<br>Investigation<br>WA Service Order<br>Sub Type = Meter<br>Test |
| Meter<br>Service<br>Works       | Install<br>Controlled Load             | Install or set up<br>Controlled Load<br>devices eg hot<br>water, pool pump   | No              | RB or MC         | MP or DB                           | DB/MDP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service Order<br>Sub Type not<br>found   |



| NEM<br>SERVICE<br>ORDER TYPE | NEM<br>SUB TYPE                      | DESCRIPTION   | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR | NEM<br>RECIPIENT                   | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN NEM<br>AND WA   |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Meter Service<br>Works       | Remove<br>Meter                      | The removal of one or<br>more meters is<br>required. The removal<br>of redundant meters.<br>When last meter on<br>site removed SO<br>should be<br>accompanied with a<br>Supply Abolishment<br>sent to the DNSP. | Yes             | RB or MC         | MP or DB<br>for Type 5/6<br>meters | DB/MDP/<br>[MC]     | WA Service Order<br>Type = Adds &<br>Alts<br>WA uses Service<br>Order sub type for<br>removal of single<br>redundant meter<br>not multiple<br>meters<br>specified by meter<br>serial number |
| Meter Service<br>Works       | Change<br>Time<br>switch<br>settings | Change the time<br>switch settings (e.g.<br>for daylight savings).  | No              | RB               | DB                                 | MP/ [MC]            | WA Service Order<br>Sub Type not<br>Found   |


#### There are 3 WA Service Order Sub Types not used in the NEM:

| WA<br>SUB TYPE                  | USED IN WA<br>SERVICE ORDER<br>TYPE | DESCRIPTION  | EXISTS<br>IN NEM | NEM REFERENCE  |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|--|
| Remove Fuse<br>(Non<br>Payment) | De-energisation                     | This code can only be used for a De-<br>energisation at the fuse or meter as<br>part of a non-payment process                | No               | POC has removed "non<br>payment". NEM to use<br>SO Type De-<br>Energisation with Sub<br>Type Remove Fuse                   |
| Warning                         | De-energisation                     | This code must only be used for a De-energisation. A warning card is issued at the property without physical de-energisation | No               | Sub type not used,<br>removed years before,<br>obligations on Retailers<br>to provide de-<br>energisation notices          |
| Tamper                          | Meter Investigation                 | When inspection required where<br>supply or equipment tampering is<br>suspected  | No               | Not used in NEM. NEM<br>raise SO Type Metering<br>Service Works with Sub<br>Type Metering<br>Investigation – Meter<br>Test |

Assumption: WA will adopt the NEM Sub Type Codes.

# NEM GENERAL PRINCIPLES



- A <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u> is raised by the Initiator.
- The NER states that a prospective Retailer is only permitted to send the following type of ServiceOrderRequest:

| SERVICE ORDER TYPE     | SERVICE ORDER SUB TYPE  |
|------------------------|---|
| Supply Service Works   | Allocate NMI, Establish Temporary Supply,<br>Establish Temporary in Permanent |
| Special Read           | Final and <blank> (excludes Check Read)</blank>                               |
| Re-energisation        | All   |
| Metering Service Works | Install Meter, Exchange Meter   |
| Miscellaneous          | <blank></blank>   |

• The NER also maintains that a previous Retailer for a *NMI* that is the subject of the <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u> is only permitted to raise the following Metering Service Works:

| SERVICE ORDER TYPE     | SERVICE ORDER SUB TYPE                 |
|------------------------|--|
| Metering Service Works | Meter Investigation – Inspect and Test |
| Special Read           | Check Read                             |

# CUSTOMER CONSULTATION PROCESS



Some Service Orders e.g. Re-En or temporary disconnections for large Customers may require Customer consultation. The following workflow explains this process:



In comparison, the WA B2B Procedure highlights that a Retrospective Scheduled date is not rejected where a:

- Scheduled Date >= Today's Date + Lead Days no change to Scheduled Date
- Scheduled Date < Today's Date + Lead Days then Scheduled Date to Today's Date + Lead Days
- Service Provider (WP) and customer agree to an alternative timeframe within the required timeframe, this voids Customer Preferred Date and Time.

#### Assumption: WA to adopt the NEM Customer Consultation Process for retrospective SLIDE 75 Schedule Read Dates

# SERVICE ORDER STATUS AND EXCEPTION CODE REQUIREMENTS



| SERVICE ORDER<br>STATUS CODE | DESCRIPTION  | EXCEPTION CODE<br>REQUIRED? |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Completed                    | All aspects of the work requested are completed by the recipient   | No                          |
| Partially Completed          | The recipient has completed the primary work<br>(described by the Service Order Type) but was<br>unable to complete other associated activity, i.e,<br>obtain an actual meter reading. | Yes                         |
| Not Completed                | Primary work requested could not be completed  | Yes                         |

Product Code - Cost TBA requirements:

- Must not be used for Re-En, De-En or Special Read Service Orders.
- Must only be used when further information is required to determine scope of work.
- Should not be used as a default code.

A Product Code must reflect the actual work completed (not what was initially requested).



Initial analysis shows that the NEM and WEM follow the same process for:

- Cancelling a Service Order request.
- Closing the Service Order process.
- Service Paperwork.
- Updating a Service Order Request.
- Raising a Service Order Response.

Analysis shows that the WEM follows the same processes for Status Updates to Service Orders and for providing Product Codes.

# **EXCEPTION CODES**



# The following tables show the NEM exception code values and identifies whether they are used in the WEM.

| NEM EXCEPTION<br>CODE VALUE                                  | DEFINITION  | SERVICE ORDER<br>STATUS | IN WA | WEM<br>VARIANCE   |
|--|---|-------------------------|-------|---|
| Customer On-Site   | There is a Customer at Site and the Site was not de-energised.  | Not Completed           | Yes   | No  |
| Customer<br>Prevented  | Customer prevented de-energisation.   | Not Completed           | Yes   | No  |
| Recipient<br>Cancellation                                    | Recipient cancellation. Details must be provided in SpecialNotes.   | Not Completed           | Yes   | Exception<br>code used =<br>Service<br>Provider<br>Cancellation |
| Documentation Not<br>Provided                                | Documentation required for the<br>completion of the requested work has<br>not been provided. Details must be<br>provided in SpecialNotes. | Not Completed           | Yes   | No  |
| De-energisation Not<br>Completed Due To A<br>Re-energisation | De-energisation not completed due to a re-energisation for the same period.   | Not Completed           | Yes   | No  |
| Metering Problem   | Metering problem preventing completion of Meter Reading.  | Partially Completed     | Yes   | No  |

# EXCEPTION CODES (CONT.)



| NEM EXCEPTION<br>CODE VALUE  | DEFINITION  | SERVICE ORDER STATUS   | IN WA | WEM<br>VARIANCE  |
|--|---|--|-------|--|
| Meter Reading<br>Only Undertaken<br>Due To Prior Re-<br>energisation | A Meter Reading only was taken,<br>rather than the requested de-<br>energisation, due to a prior re-<br>energisation. | Completed  | Yes   | No   |
| New Customer<br>On-Site  | Customer at Site who claims to be a new customer.   | Limited to De-energisation request with a status of 'Not Completed'. | Yes   | No   |
| No Supply  | Supply not available.   | Not Completed  | Yes   | No   |
| Other  | Other reasons. Details must be provided in Special Notes.   | Not Completed, Partially<br>Completed                                | Yes   | No   |
| Reading Problem  | Reading problem preventing completion of Meter Reading.   | Partially Completed  | Yes   | No   |
| Initiator<br>Cancellation  | Initiator cancellation (any charges<br>for work partially completed<br>should be indicated in<br>ProductCodes).       | Not Completed  | Yes   | Exception<br>Code used =<br>Retailer<br>Cancellation                       |
| Request<br>Submitted By<br>Another Initiator                         | Alternative Request received from another Participant.  | Not Completed  | Yes   | Exception<br>Code used -<br>Request<br>Submitted By<br>Another<br>Retailer |

# EXCEPTION CODES (CONT.)



| NEM<br>EXCEPTION<br>CODE VALUE | DEFINITION  | SERVICE ORDER STATUS  | IN WA | WEM<br>VARIANCE   |
|--------------------------------|---|---|-------|---|
| Sensitive Load                 | Sensitive load and did not de-energise.   | Limited to De-energisation request<br>with a status of 'Not Completed'. | Yes   | WEM<br>includes Life<br>Support in<br>this<br>exception<br>code group |
| Life Support                   | Life Support Customer<br>and did not de-energise.   | Limited to De-energisation request<br>with a status of 'Not Completed'. | No    | No Stand<br>alone<br>exception<br>code used<br>for Life<br>Support    |
| Unable To<br>Access            | Unable to gain access to complete work.   | Not Completed   | Yes   | No  |
| Unknown Load                   | The Site draws a<br>significant load when re-<br>energised and the<br>Customer is not present.<br>The Site was not left re-<br>energised for safety<br>reasons. | Not Completed   | Yes   | No  |
| Unsafe                         | Unsafe to complete work.  | Not Completed   | Yes   | No  |

# EXCEPTION CODES (CONT.)



#### The following table sets out the exception codes that WA does not use.

| VALUE   | DEFINITION  | USED WITH SERVICEORDERSTATUS   |
|---|---|--|
| Tariff Change Not<br>Approved                             | Request for a tariff change is not approved.  | Not Completed  |
| Metering not compatible<br>with proposed Tariff<br>Change | The Recipient is not able to complete the request due to the meter not being compatible proposed tariff.                                  | Not Completed.   |
| Inadequate Infrastructure                                 | Where significant work is required to provide<br>supply work to the customer and no customer<br>contact has been made to the Distributor. | Not Completed  |
| No Comms  | Recipient unable to contact a remotely controlled device.   | Service Order Requests with the status of 'Not Completed'.   |
| Unknown Connection<br>Status                              | Recipient unable to determine connection status of a remotely controlled device.  | Service Order Requests with the status of 'Not Completed'.   |
| Meter Not Retrieved                                       | Used in conjunction with a response to a Service Order Abolishment by a DNSP.   | Limited to a Service Order Abolishment<br>with the status of Partially Completed to<br>indicate Supply was abolished, but the<br>meter was unable to be recovered. |
| Site Already Energised                                    | Customer Site is energised at the time of the Service Order Request.  | Not Completed  |
| Shared Supply Point                                       | Unable to perform the requested work because the disconnection point is common with other customers.                                      | Not Completed  |

Assumption: The above NEM exception codes will be available for use in WA. SLIDE 81

# ALLOCATE NMI





In jurisdictions where paperwork is required, a Retailer and/or DNSP must ensure that it is available and completed. This is required to progress and complete the Allocate NMI Service Request. The <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u> does not replace the need for paperwork.

Assumption: If Paperwork is required for WA this will also apply, current WA Procedure under Allocate NMI advises ' Participants should refer to relevant provisions in their Service Level Agreement with the Network Operator.

# NEW CONNECTION / ALLOCATE NMI PROCESS





#### Assumption: WA will align to the NEM approach.

SLIDE 83



**SI IDF 84** 

NEM Re-energisation Service Order Type includes Service Order Sub Types:

- After disconnection for non-payment.
- Remote.
- Retrospective move-in.
- New reading required.
- Physical visit.
- Move-in.
- Recipient discretion.

Analysis shows that the WEM only utilise 'After disconnection for nonpayment'.

Assumption: WA to adopt the NEM Re-energisation Service Order Sub Types.

# HIGH LEVEL RE-ENERGISATION PROCESS





NEM notice period and timing requirements for Re-Energisation service orders can vary jurisdictionally

Analysis: WA follows similar process to the NEM shown above for RB to DB however doesn't consider multiple recipients.

# SERVICE TIME OBLIGATIONS FOR RE-EN SERVICE ORDERS



In the NEM a Retailer is required to:

- Specify Service Time as 'Non-Business Hours'.
- Ensure the information in the Special Instructions field provides the detail and reason for the "Non-Business Hours" request.
- Accept charges related to raising a 'Non-Business Hours' request.
- If the Retailer does not wish to pay an after-hours fee a Service Time of "Business Hours" should be used. This indicates that the Retailer accepts a delay in service completion (within the bounds of agreed service levels) in preference to undertaking the work after-hours.
- The Timing Requirements do not take precedence over Jurisdictional requirements and any contract service levels agreed between Participants.

Analysis highlighted that:

- WA automatically converts any 'Non-Business Hours' Service Time values to 'Business Hours'.
- After hours Re-En is only available in WA via a consultation process.

Assumption: WA adopts the NEM process, to specify a service time of "Non business Hours" or "Business Hours". SLIDE 86

# NEM TIMING REQUIREMENTS FOR REQUESTING SERVICE ORDERS





Identified variances:

- 1. WEM accepts a retrospective scheduled date and time whereas NEM rejects.
- 2. Notified Party currently not used in WEM.
- 3. Specific Service Request Notice Period guidelines are not provided in WA B2B Procedure.

SLIDE 87

# NEM RE-ENERGISATION REQUEST NOTICE PERIOD



#### A Re-energisation request must be received within the following timeframes:

| SO TYPE   | BUSINESS<br>DAY TIME<br>FRAME | ACT              | NSW              | QLD              | SA                     | VIC                    | TAS              |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| RE-EN   | Same day                      | 2.00pm           | Not<br>Available | 1:00pm           | 3:00pm                 | Not<br>Available       | 3:00pm           |
| RE-EN<br>(disconnection for<br>non payment)                   | Same day                      | Not<br>Available | Not<br>Available | Not<br>Available | 5:00pm                 | 3:00pm                 | Not<br>Available |
| RE-EN - After hours   | Same day                      | 9:00pm           | Not<br>Available | Not<br>Available | 3:00pm<br>to<br>9:00pm | Not<br>Available       | Not<br>Available |
| RE-EN –<br>After hours<br>(disconnection for<br>non -payment) | Same day                      | 9:00pm           | Not<br>Available | Not<br>Available | 5:00pm<br>to<br>9:00pm | 3:00pm<br>to<br>9:00pm | Not<br>Available |
| RE-EN   | Next day                      | 3:00pm           | 3:00pm           | 5:00pm           | 10:00pm                | 3:00pm                 | 3:00pm           |

Analysis: WEM - WA B2B Procedure does not have specific timeframes regarding the Network Operator Service Level Agreement Assumption: Timeframes can be updated to the Procedure to include WA timeframes. SLIDE 88

# DE-ENERGISATION AND SPECIAL READ REQUEST NOTICE PERIOD



A De-energisation and Special Read requests must be received within the following timeframes:

| SO TYPE      | ACT                 | NSW                 | QLD                 | SA                            | VIC                           | TAS                         |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| DE-EN        | 5.00pm              | No Notice<br>Period | No Notice<br>Period | 3:00pm,<br>2 business<br>days | 3:00pm,<br>2 business<br>days | 3:00pm<br>1 business<br>day |
| SPECIAL READ | No Notice<br>Period | No Notice<br>Period | No Notice<br>Period | 3:00pm,<br>3 business<br>days | No Notice<br>Period           | 3:00pm<br>1 business<br>day |

NEM timings for Re-energisation, De-energisation and Special Reads vary by jurisdiction - any request received outside of the specified time frame will be rejected. Other Service Order types do not have notice periods.

Analysis: WEM - WA B2B Procedure does not have specific timeframes regarding the Network Operator SLA.

Assumption: Timeframes can be updated to the B2B Procedure to include WA timeframes.

# NEM TIMING PERIOD FOR COMPLETION OF REQUESTED WORK



Once the completed Service Order paperwork has been received by the DNSP it must use reasonable endeavours to complete a service order request within the applicable timeframe.

This:

- Applies to regulated businesses.
- Does not apply to NMIs with a NMI Classification code in MSATS of 'LARGE'. (>160MWh VIC/SA/ACT/SA, >100MWh QLD, >150MWh Tas)
- Refers to business days only.
- Refers to jurisdictional differences.

Analysis: WA B2B Procedure does not refer to specific timeframes making reference to Network Operator SLA.

Assumption: Timeframes can be updated to the B2B Procedure to include WA timeframes



#### The table below compares WA and NEM Service Order Transaction Data requirements.

| DATA FIELD              | NEM OR WEM | ALLOCATE NMI | ESTABLISH TEMP/TP/P (NC) | SUPPLY ALTERATION<br>(A&A) | TEMPORARY ISOLATION -<br>ALL | SUPPLY ABOLISHMENT | TARIFF CHANGE (MR) | RE-ENERGISATION | DE-ENERGISATION | SPECIAL READ | INSTALL METER (NC) | MOVE METER | EXCHANGE METER (A&A) | REMOVE METER (A&A) | INSTALL CONTROLLED<br>LOAD | METER RECONFIGURATION | INVESTIGATION - ALL<br>AND RESEAL DEVICE | MISCELLANEOUS |
|-------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------|
| ServiceOrder<br>SubType | NEM        | М            | М                        | М                          | М                            | М                  | М                  | М               | М               | М            | М                  | М          | М                    | М                  | М                          | М                     | М  | М             |
|                         | WEM        | Ν            | R/N                      | R/N                        | **                           | N                  | R/N                | R/N             | R/N             | R/N          | R/N                | **         | R/N                  | R/N                | **                         | R/N                   | R/N                                      | N             |
| NMI<br>Checksum         | NEM        | 0            | 0                        | 0                          | 0                            | 0                  | 0                  | 0               | 0               | 0            | 0                  | 0          | 0                    | 0                  | 0                          | 0                     | 0  | 0             |
|                         | WEM        | N            | М                        | М                          | **                           | М                  | М                  | М               | М               | М            | М                  | **         | М                    | М                  | **                         | М                     | М  | М             |
| MeterSerial<br>Number   | NEM        | N            | N                        | N                          | N                            | N                  | M/N                | N               | N               | N            | N                  | M/N        | M/N                  | M/N                | R/N                        | M/N                   | M/N                                      | R/N           |
|                         | WEM        | N            | N                        | R/N                        | **                           | N                  | R/N                | N               | N               | N            | N                  | **         | R/N                  | R/N                | **                         | R/N                   | R/N                                      | R/N           |

Key

M = Mandatory (must be provided in all situations).

R = Required (must be provided if this information is available or has changed).

O = Optional (may be provided and should be used by the Recipient if provided).

N = Not required (not required and may be ignored by the Recipient if provided).

\*\* = SO Not found in WA

SLIDE 91

# SERVICE ORDER TRANSACTION DATA (CONT.)



| DATA<br>FIELD        | NEM OR WEM | ALLOCATE NMI | ESTABLISH TEMP/TP/P<br>(NC) | SUPPLY ALTERATION<br>(A&A) | TEMPORARY ISOLATION -<br>ALL | SUPPLY ABOLISHMENT | TARIFF CHANGE (MR) | RE-ENERGISATION | DE-ENERGISATION | SPECIAL READ | INSTALL METER (NC) | MOVE METER | EXCHANGE METER (A&A) | REMOVE METER (A&A) | INSTALL CONTROLLED<br>LOAD | METER<br>RECONFIGURATION | INVESTIGATION - ALL<br>AND RESEAL DEVICE | MISCELLANEOUS |
|----------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------|
| Customer<br>Type     | NEM        | M/N          | M/N                         | M/N                        | N                            | N                  | N                  | N               | N               | N            | R/N                | N          | N                    | N                  | N                          | N                        | N  | 0             |
|                      | WEM        | M/N          | M/N                         | R/N                        | -                            | N                  | N                  | N               | N               | N            | M/N                | -          | R/N                  | R/N                | -                          | N                        | N  | 0             |
| Average<br>DailyLoad | NEM        | M/N          | M/N                         | M/N                        | N                            | N                  | N                  | N               | N               | N            | M/N                | N          | N                    | N                  | N                          | N                        | N  | 0             |
|                      | WEM        | M/N          | M/N                         | R/N                        | -                            | N                  | N                  | N               | N               | N            | M/N                |            | R/N                  | R/N                | -                          | N                        | N  | 0             |
| Installation<br>Type | NEM        | R/N          | M/N                         | M/N                        | N                            | N                  | N                  | N               | N               | N            | N                  | N          | N                    | N                  | N                          | N                        | N  | 0             |
|                      | WEM        | N            | M/N                         | R/N                        | -                            | N                  | N                  | N               | N               | N            | M/N                | -          | R/N                  | R/N                | -                          | N                        | N  | 0             |
| Proposed<br>Tariff   | NEM        | N            | N                           | N                          | N                            | N                  | M/N                | N               | N               | N            | M/N                | N          | M/N                  | N                  | N                          | R/N                      | N  | O/N           |
|                      | WEM        | N            | O/N                         | O/N                        | -                            | N                  | O/N                | N               | N               | N            | O/N                | -          | O/N                  | O/N                | -                          | O/N                      | N  | O/N           |

Assumption: WA adopts the NEM Service Order Transaction Data rules. SLIDE 92

## TRANSACTION DATA VARIANCE



#### The table below compares the NEM and WEM Transaction Data fields.

| FIELD                               | NEM<br>REQUIREMENT       | WEM VARIANCE   |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| ServiceOrderID                      | М                        | Field Name = RetServiceOrder   |
| InitiatorID                         | М                        | Field Name = RetailerID  |
| RecipientID                         | М                        | Field Name = ServiceProviderID   |
| LifeSupport                         | М                        | Included in Sensitive Load exception code<br>(not a standalone SO field) |
| CoordinatingContactName             | M/N                      | Field Name = ContactName   |
| CoordinatingContactTelephoneNum ber | M/N                      | Field Name = ContactTelephoneNumber                                      |
| OffPeakRequirement                  | R/N                      | Mandatory for a New Connection in WA                                     |
| Switching Service Required          | Varchar40<br>(free text) | YES/NO option used in WA   |

#### Assumption: WA adopts the NEM Transaction Data field formats.



The table identifies the NEM Transaction Data fields that the WEM does not use

| FIELD                               | REQUIREMENT | WEM VARIANCE                            |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| NotifiedPartyID                     | O/N         | Not used                                |
| De-EnergisationReason               | Ν           | Not used                                |
| MeteringSafetyCertificateID         | R/N         | Not used                                |
| MeteringSafetyCertificateMethodSent | R/N         | Not used                                |
| ServiceOrderCoordinationRequired    | M/N         | Not used                                |
| RP                                  | M/N         | Not used (mandatory for NMI allocation) |
| MDP                                 | M/N         | Not used (mandatory for NMI allocation) |
| МРВ                                 | M/N         | Not used (mandatory for NMI allocation) |
| MPC                                 | M/N         | Not used (mandatory for NMI allocation) |

Assumption: WA adopts the NEM Transaction Data field formats.

# SERVICE ORDER REJECTION EVENT CODES



The following NEM Events and corresponding Event Codes are used for rejected Service Order Requests:

| BUSINESS<br>DOCUMENT       | BUSINESS<br>SIGNAL               | BUSINESS EVENT  | EVENTCODE |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------|
| <u>ServiceOrderRequest</u> | BusinessAccep<br>tance/Rejection | Unable to perform the work due to unacceptable notice period provided, alternative time provided in Explanation | 2002      |
|                            |                                  | Unable to perform Service Order due to communications disabled.   | 2004      |
|                            |                                  | Unable to perform Service Order as communications does not exist.   | 2005      |
|                            |                                  | Service Not Provided  | 2006      |
|                            |                                  | No Contract for service   | 2007      |
|                            |                                  | No Comms  | 2009      |
|                            |                                  | Unknown Connection Status   | 2010      |
|                            |                                  | Meter Not Retrieved   | 2011      |
|                            |                                  | Site Already Energised  | 2012      |
|                            |                                  | Shared Supply Point   | 2013      |
|                            |                                  | Tariff Change Not Approved  | 2014      |
| All                        | All                              | Recipient did not initiate Request  | 206       |
|                            |                                  | Recipient is not responsible for the supplied NMI   | 1923      |

Assumption: WA will adopt the NEM Transaction Data field formats.

# CUSTOMER SITE DETAILS NOTIFICATION





- Specifies the standard process and data requirements for the communication, updates and reconciliation of Customer and, Site and Pre-Installation details.
- Has effect only for the purposes set out in the NER and NERR. All other national and jurisdictional regulatory instruments and codes prevail over this Procedure to the extent of any inconsistency.
- All times (related to the conduct of the work) refer to the local time for the Site (where the work requested is to be carried out).

## PROCEDURE DOCUMENTS



- Customer Site and Details Notification Process B2B Procedure documents for the:
  - NEM:
    - Final Procedures effective 1 December 2017. Version 3.
    - http://www.aemo.com.au/Stakeholder-Consultation/Consultations/Power-of-Choice-B2Bconsultation-2
  - o WEM:
    - Western Power Build Packs
    - WA B2B Procedures: customer and site details process
    - https://www.westernpower.com.au/media/1931/wa-b2bprocedures-customer-and-site-details-process.pdf

WA Procedure last updated in 2008, based on the NEM Version 1.4 (published 30 June 2007).



## • NEM:

- The B2B Procedure: Customer and Site Details Notification Process (Procedure) is *published* by AEMO in accordance with clause 7.17.3 of the NER.
- WEM:
  - The B2B Procedure: Customer and Site Details Notification Process (Procedure) comes into operation in accordance with the WA Electricity Industry Customer Transfer Code 2004 and the WA Electricity Industry Metering Code 2005 ("Rules").

# CUSTOMER DETAIL TRANSACTION TYPE



| TRANSACTION<br>TYPE              | PURPOSE  | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR          | NEM<br>RECIPIENT          | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE<br>BETWEEN NEM<br>AND WA   |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Customer Details<br>Request      | Request from a DNSP or a<br>MP to a Retailer to supply<br>the customer & life-support<br>details | Yes             | DB or MP or<br>[MC]       | RB                        | Х                   | Reconciliation<br>Process and Life<br>Support Process.<br>NEM has additional<br>Reason codes.<br>Recipient and<br>Initiators  |
| Customer Details<br>Notification | Customer and Life support<br>details issued to DNSP or<br>MP after update or on<br>request       | Yes             | RB                        | DB or MP or<br>[MC]       | Х                   | Reconciliation<br>Process and Life<br>Support Process.<br>NEM captures email<br>address.<br>WA has 'move in' as<br>Movement Type and<br>still captures Rebate<br>Code/Pension/Health<br>Card Number |
| Site Access<br>Request           | Request from a Retailer to<br>obtain a copy of the Site<br>access and hazard<br>information      | No              | RB or MP or<br>DB or [MC] | RB or MP or<br>DB or [MC] | X                   | WA does not appear<br>to have a Site Access<br>Request  |

\* WA Transactions are currently between DB and RB only.

# TRANSACTION TYPE



| TRANSACTION<br>TYPE          | PURPOSE  | EXISTS IN<br>WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR          | NEM<br>RECIPIENT          | NOTIFIE<br>D<br>PARTIES | VARIANCE BETWEEN<br>NEM AND WA  |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Site Access<br>Notification  | Publication of Site<br>access and hazard<br>information. Typically<br>this is from a Retailer<br>to a DNSP or MP<br>wherever the data<br>changes, but can also<br>be from a DB or MP<br>to a Retailer based on<br>receiving a site<br>access request | Yes             | RB or MP or<br>DB or [MC] | RB or MP or<br>DB or [MC] | X                       | Hazard Description<br>Codes. NEM has<br>additional.<br>Recipient & Initiator<br>variances   |
| Site Address<br>Notification | Request from a<br>retailer to DNSP to<br>update its address<br>records   | Yes             | N/A                       | N/A                       | N/A                     | This transaction is not<br>used in the NEM, was<br>removed in 2013. The<br>basis was due to the<br>significant effort and<br>rejections for the<br>transaction by the DNSP<br>with limited value for the<br>DNSP in receiving this<br>information from the<br>retailer. DNSP is<br>responsible for site<br>address. |

\* WA Transactions are currently between DB and RB only.



Summary of Variances between NEM and WEM:

- WA transaction between RB to DB only.
- Differences between Sensitive Load Reconciliation Process and CDN allowed values.
- WA still captures Rebate Code/PensionHealthCardNumbers, although usage suggests "N" Not required.
- NEM captures Email Address.
- WEM still uses SiteAddress Notification.
- NEM includes SiteAccessRequest Transaction.
- Variances in some timing obligations.
- NEM includes additional Hazard Descriptions.
- Variances in use of Business Events.

# CUSTOMER DETAIL NOTIFICATION VARIANCES



| VARIANCE   | NEM B2B PROCEDURE  | WEM B2B PROCEDURE  | ASSUMPTIONS  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Recipient of Notification Transaction<br>NEM considers additional recipients<br>whereas WEM only considers DB  | Recipient = DB and can also include MP/MC  | Recipient = DB   | Until Meter Competition is<br>introduced, WP will maintain the<br>role/s of DB/MP/MC.<br>Retailers will continue to send<br>notification to WP as the DB |
| Notification Transaction<br>Field: Business Contact Name<br>Difference between use of "Business<br>Contact Name"<br>Is Required in the NEM where business<br>name is provided whereas it is optional in<br>the WEM   | Format: Person Name<br>Key to Usage: "R" Required where Business<br>Name is Provided<br>Must be the name of the person who is the<br>contact for the management of outages and<br>supply issues for each <i>connection point</i> . | Format: Person Name<br>Key to Usage: O" Optional Field<br>Where there are several contact types for a<br>business (billing contact,<br>outage contact), the Retailer must use<br>reasonable endeavours to transmit<br>the appropriate contact for the purpose of<br>contacting the customer for<br>supply related issues. Only one<br><i>BusinessContactName</i> must be supplied. | National Consistency<br>Recommend Key to Usage for<br>WA to change to "R" Required<br>where Business Name is<br>provided                                 |
| Notification Transaction<br>Field: Email Address<br>NEM includes Email Address whereas WEM<br>does not.  | Field: EmailAddress<br>Format: VARCHAR(100)<br>Key to Usage: Optional Field  | Does not include Email Address   | National consistency<br>Recommend WEM introduce<br>this 'optional' field.  |
| Notification Transaction<br>Field: Movement Type<br>WA includes Movement Type of Move In,<br>NEM does not (was removed years before,<br>other categories e.g move out/transfer etc<br>not captured – update is used and<br>Transfer/Service Order is the record) | Field: Movement Type<br>Format: VARCHAR(20)<br>Use: M (Mandatory)<br>• Site Vacant<br>• Update<br>• Reconciliation   | Field: Movement Type<br>Format: VARCHAR(20)<br>Use: M (Mandatory)<br>• Site Vacant<br>• Move In<br>• Update<br>• Reconciliation  | National consistency<br>Recommend WEM align to NEM   |
| Notification Transaction<br>Special Notes<br>Special Notes are Mandatory if Reason is<br>Data Quality issue for the NEM whereas<br>WEM is not mandatory or include this other<br>reason  | Definitions: If any additional information the<br>Recipient wishes to convey to the Initiator.<br>Mandatory if Reason is "Other" or "Data<br>Quality Issue".   | Does not require mandatory notes for<br>Reason 'Data Quality Issue"  | National consistency.<br>Recommend WEM align to the<br>NEM   |

# CUSTOMER DETAIL NOTIFICATION VARIANCES



| VARIANCE  | NEM B2B PROCEDURE   | WEM B2B PROCEDURE   | ASSUMPTIONS  |
|---|---|---|--|
| Notification<br>Field: Rebate Code<br>NEM no longer captures this<br>information. Not required for<br>Networks to manage outage<br>notifications. Retailers are<br>responsible for<br>obtaining/maintaining.<br>WEM is still capturing this, although<br>Usage in Procedure advises 'N" –<br>Not Required | This field is no longer captured in<br>the NEM, removed approx.<br>2013/14. Information captured by<br>RB | Format: VARCHAR(20)<br>Allowed Values<br>• Pension Card<br>• Health Care Card<br>• Health Benefit Card<br>• Veteran Affairs Card<br>Not Required where the site is vacant | National Consistency.<br>Recommend WA align to the<br>NEM, WA by adopting the latest<br>NEM schema version will not be<br>required to exchange this field<br>Is WEM using this?<br>Procedures advise Usage - "N" –<br>Not required |
| Notification<br>Field: PensionHealthCardNumber<br>Refer to comments above under<br>Rebate Code  | Refer to above comments   | Format: VARCHAR(10)   | Refer to above comments  |
| Notification<br>Field: FromDate<br>Effective Date at which card is valid<br>Refer to comments above under<br>Rebate Code  | Refer to above comments   | Format: DATE  | Refer to above comments  |
| Notification<br>Field: ToDate<br>Refer to comments above under<br>Rebate Code   | Refer to above comments   | Format: DATE<br>Date at which card expires  | Refer to above comments  |

# CUSTOMER DETAIL REQUEST VARIANCES



| VARIANCE   | NEM B2B PROCEDURE   | WEM B2B PROCEDURE                        | ASSUMPTIONS  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Initiator of Request Notification<br>NEM considers additional<br>recipients whereas WEM only<br>considers DB                                     | Initiator = DB or MP or [MC]  | Initiator = DB                           | Until Meter Competition is<br>introduced, WP will maintain the<br>role/s of DB/MP/MC.<br>Retailers will continue to send<br>notification to WP as the DB |
| Request Notification<br>Reason Field<br>Allowed Values<br>NEM has additional Values which<br>were introduced as part of<br>enhancements in 2013. | <ul> <li>Additional Allowed Values:</li> <li>No response to rejected CDN</li> <li>Transfer Complete, no CDN<br/>Received</li> <li>New Connection, no CDN<br/>Received</li> <li>Data Quality Issue</li> <li>Rec – Confirm no Sensitive Load<br/>(Reconciliation only)</li> </ul> | Does not include these Allowed<br>Values | National Consistency<br>Adoption of a national Sensitive<br>Load Reconciliation Process is<br>recommended, would require<br>WEM to adopt the NEM values. |

# SITE ACCESS REQUEST & NOTIFICATION VARIANCES



| VARIANCE  | NEM B2B PROCEDURE   | WEM B2B PROCEDURE   | ASSUMPTIONS  |
|---|---|---|--|
| WA does not include Site Access<br>Request Notification Transaction.  | Initiator = RB or MP or DB or<br>[MC]   | Initiator = RB  | National Consistency<br>Recommend WA align to the<br>NEM.<br>Refer to next slide for details of<br>Transaction   |
| Recipient of Site Access<br>Notification<br>NEM considers additional<br>recipients whereas WEM only<br>considers DB                 | Recipient = DB & MP or RB or<br>[MC]  | Recipient = DB  | Until Meter Competition is<br>introduced it is assumed WP<br>will be the DB, MP and MC<br>therefore they will not initiate<br>transactions to themselves as<br>another role (e.g. DB to MP)<br>Retailers will continue to send<br>notification to WP as the DB |
| Site Access Notification<br>Transaction<br>Field: Hazard Description<br>NEM has additional standard<br>values with the WEM does not | Additional Standard Values for<br>Hazard Description:<br>Electrical Safety Issue<br>Asbestos Fuse<br>Asbestos Board | WA does not include these additional<br>standard values for Hazard<br>Description | National Consistency.<br>Recommend WA align to the<br>NEM, WA by adopting the<br>latest NEM schema version will<br>include these additional<br>standard values   |

# SITE ACCESS REQUEST



Request from a Retailer to obtain a copy of the Site access and hazard information

#### Data Requirements for SiteAccessRequest

| FIELD        | FORMAT       | USE | DEFINITION   |
|--------------|--------------|-----|--|
| NMI          | CHAR(10)     | Μ   | NMI  |
| NMIChecksum  | CHAR(1)      | 0   | NMI Checksum   |
| Reason       | VARCHAR(40)  | Μ   | The Initiator should provide a Reason for the request<br>in this field, Allowed Values:<br>- New Retailer for site<br>- Records old and need to be updated<br>- No Access details on file for NMI<br>- No Hazard Details on file for NMI<br>- Site Visit Required<br>- Other |
| SpecialNotes | VARCHAR(240) | O/M | Any additional information the Initiator wishes to<br>convey to the Recipient.<br>Mandatory if Reason is "Other".  |

# TIMING REQUIREMENT COMPARISON



| TRANSACTION<br>TYPE   | NEM B2B PROCEDURE  | WEM B2B PROCEDURE   | VARIANCE BETWEEN<br>NEM AND WA  |
|---|--|---|---|
| Customer Details<br>Request   | In absence of a CDN and following a receipt of the<br>completion of the CATS Change Retailer<br>Transaction and or following notification of an<br>energised NMI may send after fifth business day<br>If parties wish to obtain mass updates of<br>information, parties must reach agreement to use<br>this transaction.   | The DNSP must not send before the Close of<br>Business of the business day following the<br>completion of the Transfer of the Connection<br>Point<br>The DNSP must not use this transaction to obtain<br>mass updates of information. If a mass update of<br>information is required, the Reconciliation Process<br>must be used. | NEM = > 5 bus day<br>WA = > 1 bus day<br>Recommend WA align to the<br>NEM (caters for CATS<br>completion transactions)  |
| Customer Details<br>Notification                                      | <ul> <li>Timing Requirements can be agreed between the initiator and recipient</li> <li>Retailer to provide within 2 business days of Receiving a CDR</li> <li>In absence of CDR, within 1 bus day of the relevant data being updated or changed</li> <li>A Retailer must send a CDN:</li> <li>following completion of the CATS change retailer process</li> <li>For a new connection, once site has been energised</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Retailer to provide within 2 business days of receiving the CDR</li> <li>In all other situations, must be provided within 1 bus day of the relevant data being updated or changed</li> </ul>   | NEM timing explanations are<br>more specific following<br>completion of a CATS<br>change retailer process and<br>new connection.<br>Recommend WEM align to<br>the NEM |
| Rejections outlined in<br>the B2B Technical<br>Delivery Specification | The Initiator must investigate and provide an<br>updated notification where necessary within 5<br>business days upon receiving a rejection of a<br>notification transaction.   |   | NEM timing explanations are<br>more specific following<br>completion of a CATS<br>change retailer process and<br>new connection.<br>Recommend WEM align to<br>the NEM |
## TIMING REQUIREMENT COMPARISON



| TRANSACTION<br>TYPE          | NEM B2B PROCEDURE   | WEM B2B PROCEDURE  | VARIANCE BETWEEN<br>NEM AND WA   |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Site Access Request          | <ul> <li>Any authorised party entitled to the information can generate a SiteAccessRequest to another related party for the <i>NMI</i></li> <li>An Initiator must only send a maximum of one SiteAccessRequest per <i>NMI</i> per day</li> <li>The Recipient must provide a SiteAccessNotification in response to a valid SiteAccessRequest</li> <li>If parties wish to obtain mass updates of information, parties must reach agreement to use this transaction</li> </ul> | WA does not appear to include a Site<br>Access Request Transaction                       | WA does not appear to have a<br>Site Access Request  |
| Site Access<br>Notification  | <ul> <li>Can be agreed between the initiator and recipient</li> <li>The Current Retailer must send the<br/>SiteAccessNotification to the Recipient(s) whenever<br/>they become aware of Site Access Changes</li> <li>Parties that are not the Retailer should only send a<br/>SiteAccessNotification on receipt of a valid<br/>SiteAccessRequest</li> <li>The Recipient must provide a SiteAccessNotification<br/>in response to a valid SiteAccessRequest.</li> </ul>      | Not specific in Procedures   | NEM timing explanations are<br>more specific in Procedures.<br>Recommend WEM align to the<br>NEM |
| Site Address<br>Notification | Transaction decommissioned in 2013 in the NEM. Not used   | Business Acceptance/Rejection to the retailer within 15 business days of receiving a SAD | Transaction decommissioned in 2013 in the NEM. Not used  |

#### NEM OVERVIEW OF CUSTOMER DETAILS RECONCILIATION PROCESS





Updates were made to the NEM Reconciliation Process/Procedures in May 2014 as part of a comprehensive Industry review

#### WA CUSTOMER DETAILS RECONCILIATION PROCESS



Note: Use if BusinessReceipts is to be agreed between the Participants.

Figure 4: Overview of Customer Details Reconciliation process

AFMO

#### OBLIGATION VARIANCES - CUSTOMER DETAILS RECONCILIATION PROCESS



| VARIANCE  | NEM B2B PROCEDURE   | WEM B2B PROCEDURE  | ASSUMPTIONS   |
|---|---|--|---|
| NEM obligation requires<br>Retailers and DNSP's to<br>conduct a reconciliation at least<br>four times a year whereas<br>WEM obligations are not as<br>specific. | Current Retailers can agree with any<br>party to conduct regular reconciliations<br>and can adopt the following processes<br>described in the clauses below.<br>Current Retailers and DNSPs must<br>conduct a reconciliation of Customer<br>Details for NMIs with Life Support<br>customers at least four times per year.<br>Where agreed between Participants, the<br>Customer Details Reconciliation Process<br>may be conducted more frequently. | Participants can agree to conduct a<br>reconciliation of Customer Details on a<br>regular or as required basis.<br>The Timing Requirements for the use of<br>the CustomerDetailsReconciliation<br>transaction and its Business Signals will<br>be as agreed between the Participants<br>using the Transaction. | NEM timing explanations are more<br>specific in Procedures. Recommend<br>WEM align to the NEM.    |
| Slight variance with wording of<br>obligation between NEM and<br>WEM with respect to what the<br>Retailer must do when<br>conducting the Reconciliation.        | The Current Retailer must conduct the<br>Customer Details Reconciliation with the<br>DNSP.<br>The CustomerDetailsReconciliation must<br>use the CustomerDetailsNotification with<br><i>MovementType</i> of 'Reconciliation'.  | The Reconciliation Process must use the<br>CustomerDetailsNotification<br>transaction with <i>MovementType</i> equals<br>"Reconciliation".<br>This form of the<br>CustomerDetailsNotification transaction is<br>called the CustomerDetailsReconciliation<br>Transaction.                                       | NEM explanation/obligations are more<br>specific in Procedures. Recommend<br>WEM align to the NEM |
| NEM Procedure has additional<br>description for Notes in CDR,<br>whereas WEM does not.  | Notes Regarding Allowed Value In<br>Customer Details Request<br>For Reason – Rec confirm no<br>SensitiveLoad"<br>Means the DNSP/ has a NMI is flagged<br>for Life Support, but it was not included in<br>the CustomerDetailsReconciliation<br>transaction(s) provided by the Retailer.  | Does not include additional description for<br>Notes   | NEM explanation/obligations are more<br>specific in Procedures. Recommend<br>WEM align to the NEM |

#### OBLIGATION VARIANCES - CUSTOMER DETAILS RECONCILIATION PROCESS



| VARIANCE  | NEM B2B PROCEDURE   | WEM B2B<br>PROCEDURE  | ASSUMPTIONS  |
|---|---|---|--|
| Variance with the use of<br>BusinessAcceptance/Rejections<br>between the NEM and the WEM.<br>NEM advises will be a subset to<br>that used for the Customer Details<br>Notification whereas WEM advises<br>will be identical.  | The use of <i>BusinessAcceptance/Rejections</i><br>for the CustomerDetailsReconciliation will be<br>a subset to that used for the<br>CustomerDetailsNotification.<br>The DNSP can only reject for reasons as<br>specified in Table 12. If the DNSP finds an<br>issue with the customer data other than the<br>Life Support flag provided in the<br>CustomerDetailsReconciliation, the DNSP<br>must use the CustomerDetailsRequest<br>process in this Procedure            | The use of<br>BusinessAcceptance/Rejections for<br>the CustomerDetailsReconciliation<br>will be<br>identical to that used for the<br>CustomerDetailsNotification. | NEM subset are more specific in<br>Procedures. Recommend WEM align<br>to the NEM<br>Refer to relevant slides outlining<br>Variances in Event Codes |
| <ul> <li>NEM has additional obligations, specific to:</li> <li>The Retailer and DNSP must agree the timing.</li> <li>Outlining where the DNSP must accept sites that are not flagged as having LF as having LS if the Retailer sends with the LS flag in the Reconciliation Transaction.</li> </ul> | The Retailer and DNSP must agree the<br>timing of the Customer Details<br>Reconciliation. Some considerations for this<br>agreement are listed in the B2B Guide.<br>For NMIs provided by the Current Retailer in<br>the CustomerDetailsReconciliation<br>transaction(s) that are not flagged by the<br>DNSP, or other party as having Life Support,<br>the DNSP or other party must accept the<br>transaction(s) and update its records<br>accordingly with Life Support. | Does not consider these additional obligations  | NEM explanation/obligations are<br>more specific in Procedures.<br>Recommend WEM align to the NEM  |
| NEM has additional obligations<br>specific to:<br>Obligations on the DNSP to send a<br>CDR with Reason value "Rec –<br>confirm no Sensitive Load' within 2<br>business days of receiving the last<br>CD Reconciliation Transaction<br>where the DNSP has a NMI flagged<br>as LS in their system.    | For <i>NMIs</i> in the DNSP's system flagged with<br>Life Support, but not provided by the<br>Retailer in the Customer Details<br>Reconciliation, the DNSP must send a<br>CustomerDetailsRequest using the <i>Reason</i><br>value 'Rec – confirm no Sensitive Load'<br>within 2 business days of receiving the last<br>CustomerDetailsReconciliation transaction.   | Does not consider these additional obligations  | NEM explanation/obligations are<br>more specific in Procedures.<br>Recommend WEM align to the NEM  |

#### OBLIGATION VARIANCES - CUSTOMER DETAILS RECONCILIATION PROCESS



| VARIANCE  | NEM B2B PROCEDURE   | WEM B2B PROCEDURE   | ASSUMPTIONS   |
|---|---|---|---|
| NEM has additional<br>obligations, specific to when<br>the Reconciliation is<br>considered to have been<br>completed.   | If no CustomerDetailsRequests with Reason value 'Rec<br>– confirm no SensitiveLoad' have been received by the<br>Current Retailer from the Recipient after 2 business<br>days of sending the last CustomerDetailsReconciliation<br>transaction, the Customer Details Reconciliation is<br>considered to have been completed.  | Does not consider these additional obligations  | NEM<br>explanation/obligations are<br>more specific in<br>Procedures. Recommend<br>WEM align to the NEM |
| NEM has additional<br>obligations, specific to the<br>Retailer validating if a<br>customer/NMI has LS within<br>5 business days of receiving<br>a CDR with Reason "Rec –<br>confirm no Sensitive Load   | The Current Retailer must validate whether a customer<br>at a <i>NMI</i> has Life Support and provide the Recipient<br>with a CustomerDetailsNotification within 5 business<br>days of receiving a CustomerDetailsRequest with<br><i>Reason</i> value 'Rec – confirm no SensitiveLoad'  | Does not consider these additional obligations  | NEM<br>explanation/obligations are<br>more specific in<br>Procedures. Recommend<br>WEM align to the NEM |
| NEM has additional<br>explanation/obligations with<br>respect to the delivery<br>Method and Signals<br>NEM advises must be via<br>B2B whereas WEM advises<br>can be bilaterally agreed.<br>Size must not exceed an<br>uncompressed message<br>size of 1MB and the Retailer<br>must send the messages<br>within a 6 hour period from<br>the first message of the<br>Customer Details<br>Reconciliation being sent. | The required delivery method for the<br>CustomerDetailsReconciliation transaction and its<br>Business Signals is the B2B e-Hub.<br>A Retailer must send only one message for a Customer<br>Details Reconciliation for each DNSP, unless the<br>message size would exceed the allowable size or as<br>otherwise agreed between Participants. If multiple<br>messages are required, a Retailer must send the<br>messages within a 6 hour period from the first message<br>of the Customer Details Reconciliation being sent.<br>Outlined in the Technical Specification<br><b>Size of aseXML Messages</b><br>(a) Participants must ensure that Messages containing<br>B2B aseXML Transactions do not exceed an<br>uncompressed Message size of 1 MB.<br>(b) Participants acknowledge and accept that the e-Hub<br>will reject Messages that exceed the 1 MB limit. AEMO<br>must use reasonable endeavours to ensure that the e-<br>Hub rejects Messages which exceed 1MB with an Event<br>Code ( <i>ase:Code</i> ) of "6", i.e "Message too big". | The delivery method for the<br>CustomerDetailsReconciliation<br>transaction and its Business<br>Signals must be agreed bilaterally.<br>If the agreed delivery method is via the<br>B2B e-Hub and the number of files<br>exceeds 100, the Participant must agree<br>the timing of the Reconciliation with<br>NEMMCO the Network Operator before<br>commencing the Reconciliation. If the<br>CustomerDetailsReconciliation<br>transaction is sent via the B2B e-Hub, the<br>transaction must<br>be sent as a Low Priority aseXML<br>document. | NEM<br>explanation/obligations are<br>more specific in<br>Procedures. Recommend<br>WEM align to the NEM |



#### Customer Details Notification Process (notification sent by an Initiator)



#### WEM LIFE SUPPORT B2B PROCESS



#### **Customer Details Notification Process**







- NEM Obligations are outlined in the NERL, NERR and civil penalties are applied.
- WEM Obligations are outlined in the WA Code of Conduct for the Supply of Electricity to Small use Customers 2016 and WA Electricity Industry Metering Code 2012

#### POTENTIAL NERR CHANGES FOR LIFE SUPPORT



Pending Rule Change with AEMC: Strengthening protections for customers requiring life support equipment

Refer to: <u>http://www.aemc.gov.au/Rule-Changes/Strengthening-protections-for-customers-requiring</u>

On 28 February 2017, the AEMC received a rule change request from the Australian Energy Regulator (AER) to amend the NERR. The AEMC has not yet initiated this rule change request. When the AEMC initiates this process, the AEMC will publish a Consultation Paper to facilitate stakeholder consultation on the request.

Refer to AER's current rule change request recently submitted to the AEMC <u>http://www.aemc.gov.au/Rule-Changes/Strengthening-protections-for-customers-requiring</u>

The NEM B2B Guide under 6.2.3 (effective 1 Dec 17) has been updated to consider the following:

#### 6.2.3. Life Support

- (a) The effective management of Life Support information becomes more complex with the introduction of the Metering Competition rule changes. In particular with the increase in on-market sites within embedded networks and the involvement of new participants that may need to obtain or share Life Support information.
- (b) Changes to Life Support processes have been extended (via simple manual notification) to the DNSP to meet the NERR obligations and to the retailer of a child customer within an embedded network
- (c) More complex changes to Life Support are awaiting the outcome of the changes being developed by the AER.

#### WEM LIFE SUPPORT OBLIGATIONS



| INSTRUMENT - CODE OF CONDUCT FOR THE SUPPLY OF<br>ELECTRICITY TO SMALL USE CUSTOMERS 2016   | VARIANCE TO THE NEM?  |
|---|---|
| Definition<br>" <b>life support equipment</b> " means the equipment designated under the Life<br>Support Equipment Electricity Subsidy Scheme.  | WEM references 'electricity subsidy Scheme<br>whereas the NEM definition is articulated in the<br>NERL as <i>life support equipment</i> means life<br>support equipment of a kind or kinds defined in the<br>Rules; |
| "re-certification" means confirmation from an <i>appropriately qualified</i><br><i>medical practitioner</i> that a person residing at the <i>customer's supply</i><br><i>address</i> continues to require <i>life support equipment</i> . | Re-certification does not appear as a current<br>requirement in the NEM under the NERL, NERR<br>or NER.<br>It is not specifically articulated currently under the<br>WEM B2B Procedures.                            |



#### **INSTRUMENT - CODE OF CONDUCT FOR THE SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY TO SMALL USE CUSTOMERS 2016**

#### 7.7 Life Support

(1) If a *customer* provides a *retailer* with confirmation from an *appropriately qualified medical practitioner* that a person residing at the *customer's supply address* requires *life support equipment*, the *retailer* must—

(a) register the *customer's supply address* as a *life support equipment* address;

(b) register the *customer's* contact details;

(c) notify the *customer's distributor* that the *customer's supply address* is a *life support equipment* address, and of the contact details of the *customer—* 

(i) that same day, if the confirmation is received before 3pm on a *business day*; or
(ii) no later than the next *business day*, if the confirmation is received after 3pm or on a Saturday, Sunday or *public holiday*; and

(d) not arrange for *disconnection* of that *customer's supply address* for failure to pay a bill while the person continues to reside at that address and requires the use of *life support equipment*.

(2) If a *customer* registered with a *retailer* under subclause (1) notifies the *retailer*—
(a) that the person residing at the *customer's supply address* who requires *life support equipment* is changing *supply address;* (b) that the *customer* is changing *supply address* but the person who requires *life support equipment* is not changing *supply address*;

(c) of a change in contact details; or

(d) that the *customer's supply address* no longer requires registration as *a life support equipment* address,

the *retailer* must-

(e) register the change;

(f) notify the *customer's distributor* of the change-

(i) that same day, if the notification is received before 3pm on a *business day*; or
(ii) no later than the next *business day*, if the notification is received after 3pm or on a Saturday, Sunday or *public holiday*; and

(g) continue to comply with subclause (1)(d) with respect to that *customer's supply address*.

The WEM code is more specific to timings with respect to the Retailer notifying the Distributor of a Life Support situation, if received before 3pm on a business day or no later than the next business day if received after 3pm or on a Saturday, Sunday or Public Holiday.

VARIANCE TO THE NEM?

The WEM B2B Procedures however do advise that a Retailer must immediately advise the DNSP which is in alignment to the NEM.

The NEM B2B Procedures are more broader to consider obligations on the 'initiator' as the NER considers that a customer may notify either the Retailer and or Distributor. This will require further discussion with respect to the WEM obligations on the Distributor if a customer should approach them directly.



| INSTRUMENT - CODE OF CONDUCT FOR THE SUPPLY OF<br>ELECTRICITY TO SMALL USE CUSTOMERS 2016   | VARIANCE TO THE NEM?   |
|---|--|
| <ul> <li>(3) If a <i>distributor</i> has been informed by a <i>retailer</i> under subclause (1)(c) or by a relevant government agency that a person residing at a <i>customer's supply address</i> requires <i>life support equipment</i>, or of a change of details notified to the <i>retailer</i> under subclause (2), the <i>distributor</i> must— <ul> <li>(a) register the <i>customer's supply address</i> as a <i>life support equipment</i> address or update the details notified by the <i>retailer</i> under subclause (2)— <ul> <li>(i) the next <i>business day</i>, if the notification is received before 3pm on a <i>business day</i>; or</li> <li>(ii) within 2 <i>business days</i>, if the notification is received after 3pm or on a Saturday, Sunday or <i>public holiday</i>; and</li> <li>(b) if informed by a relevant government agency, notify the <i>retailer</i> in accordance with the timeframes specified in subclause (3)(a).</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>   | The WEM code is specific to timings for<br>registering and updating the details notified<br>by the retailer.<br>The NEM Rules advises the distributor<br>must register the premises as having life<br>support where a retailer advises a<br>distributor or a customer provides<br>confirmation to the distributor. (this is taken<br>to be as soon as they receive notification)   |
| <ul> <li>(4) If <i>life support equipment</i> is registered at a <i>customer's supply address</i> under subclause (3)(a), a <i>distributor</i> must—</li> <li>(a) not <i>disconnect</i> that <i>customer's supply address</i> for failure to pay a bill while the person continues to reside at that address and requires the use of <i>life support equipment</i>; and</li> <li>(b) prior to any planned <i>interruption</i>, provide at least 3 <i>business days</i> written notice or notice by <i>electronic means</i> to the <i>customer's supply address</i> and, unless expressly requested in writing by the <i>customer</i> not to, use best endeavours to obtain verbal acknowledgement, written acknowledgement or acknowledgement by <i>electronic means</i> from the <i>customer</i> or someone residing at the <i>supply address</i> that the notice has been received.</li> <li>(4A) Notwithstanding clause 7.7(4)(b)—</li> <li>(a) an <i>interruption</i>, planned or otherwise, to restore supply to a <i>supply address</i> that is registered as a <i>life support equipment</i> address is not subject to the notice requirements in clause 7.7(4)(b); however</li> <li>(b) a <i>distributor</i> must use best endeavours to <i>contact</i> the <i>customer</i>, or someone residing at the <i>supply address</i>, prior to an <i>interruption</i> to restore supply to a <i>supply address</i> that is registered as a <i>life support equipment</i> address is not subject to the notice requirements in clause 7.7(4)(b); however</li> <li>(b) a <i>distributor</i> must use best endeavours to <i>contact</i> the <i>customer</i>, or someone residing at the <i>supply address</i>, prior to an <i>interruption</i> to restore supply to a <i>supply address</i> that is registered as a <i>life support equipment</i> address.</li> </ul> | The WEM code appears to put more onus<br>on the Distributor to ensure they must not<br>disconnect for failure to pay a bill.<br>The NEM model simply advises under 125<br>distributor's obligations under (2) (B) the<br>distributor must except in the case of an<br>interruption, not de-energise.<br>The WEM code advises the Distributor<br>must use best endeavours to contact the<br>customer prior to an interruption.<br>The NEM model requires the distributor to<br>give the customer at least 4 business days<br>written notice (civil penalty) |



| INSTRUMENT - CODE OF CONDUCT FOR THE SUPPLY OF<br>ELECTRICITY TO SMALL USE CUSTOMERS 2016  | VARIANCE TO THE NEM?  |
|--|---|
| (5) If a <i>distributor</i> has already provided notice of a planned <i>interruption</i> under the <i>Electricity Industry</i><br><i>Code</i> that will affect a <i>supply address</i> , prior to the <i>distributor</i> registering a <i>customer's supply</i><br><i>address</i> as a <i>life support equipment</i> address under clause 7.7(3)(a), the <i>distributor</i> must use best<br>endeavours to <i>contact</i> that <i>customer</i> or someone residing at the <i>supply address</i> prior to the planned<br><i>interruption</i> .  | NEM Rules do not appear to consider this<br>scenario and simply advise that 4<br>business days notice is required in<br>writing.<br>The WA Procedures do not specify<br>timings for planned interruptions.<br>The NEM B2B Procedure – One Way<br>Notification Process does advise that a<br>Planned Interruption Notification must be<br>sent at least 4 business days before the<br>date of the expected interruption. |
| <ul> <li>(6) (a) No earlier than 3 months prior to the 12 month anniversary of the confirmation from the <i>appropriately qualified medical practitioner</i> referred to in subclause (1), and in any event no later than 3 months after the 12 month anniversary of the confirmation, a <i>retailer</i> must <i>contact</i> a <i>customer</i> to— <ul> <li>(i) ascertain whether a person residing at the <i>customer's supply address</i> continues to require <i>life support equipment</i>; and</li> <li>(ii) if the <i>customer</i> has not provided the initial certification or <i>re-certification</i> from an <i>appropriately qualified medical practitioner</i> within the last 3 years, request that the <i>customer</i> provide that <i>recertification</i>.</li> <li>(b) A <i>retailer</i> must provide a minimum period of 3 months for a <i>customer</i> to provide the information requested by the <i>retailer</i> in subclause (6)(a).</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | NEM model does not currently consider<br>re-certification.<br>The WA B2B Procedures do not currently<br>consider re-certification, however if<br>changes were required as a result of a<br>change to the Life Support Flag this<br>would be managed via the Notification<br>process.  |



| INSTRUMENT - CODE OF CONDUCT FOR THE SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY   | VARIANCE TO THE  |
|--|--|
| TO SMALL USE CUSTOMERS 2016  | NEM?   |
| <ul> <li>(7) (a) When—</li> <li>(7) (a) When—</li> <li>(9) a person who requires <i>life support equipment</i>, no longer requires the <i>life support equipment</i>, or</li> <li>(ii) a person who required <i>life support equipment</i>, no longer requires the <i>life support equipment</i>, or</li> <li>(iii) subject to subclause (7)(b), a <i>customer</i> fails to provide the information requested by a <i>retailer</i> for the purposes of subclause (6)(a)(i) or the <i>re-certification</i> referred to in subclause (6)(a)(ii), within the time period referred to in subclause (6)(b), or greater period if allowed by the <i>retailer</i>; the <i>retailer's</i> and <i>distributor's</i> obligations under subclauses (1) to (6) terminate and the <i>retailer</i> or <i>distributor</i> (as applicable) must remove the <i>customer's</i> details from the <i>life support equipment</i> address register upon being made aware of any of the matters in subclauses (7)(a)(i), (ii) or (iii) bero 3pm on a <i>business day</i>, or</li> <li>(v) within 2 <i>business days</i>, if the <i>retailer</i> or <i>distributor</i> (as applicable) becomes aware of the relevant matter in subclause 7(a)(0), (ii) or (iii) bero 3pm on a <i>business day</i>, or</li> <li>(b) A <i>customer</i> will have failed to provide the information requested by a <i>retailer</i> for the purposes of subclause (6)(a)(i) or the <i>re-certification</i> referred to in subclause (6)(a)(ii) if the <i>contact</i> by the <i>retailer</i> consisted of at least the following, each a minimum of 10 <i>business days</i> from the date of the last <i>contact</i>—</li> <li>(1) written correspondence sent by registered post to the <i>customer's supply address</i> and any other address nominated by the <i>customer</i>.</li> <li>(2) in person;</li> <li>(3) facismile; or</li> <li>(4) for the <i>distributor's</i> supply <i>address</i> and any other address nominated by the <i>customer</i>.</li> <li>(b) A <i>customer's supply address</i> and any other address nominated by the <i>customer</i>.</li> <li>(c) in person;</li> <li>(d) facismile; or</li> <li>(e) by post sent to the <i>customer's supply address</i> and any other address (1), to (6) do not terminate sitela</li></ul> | The NEM model under 124 Retailers<br>obligations (2) Cessation of<br>requirement for LS equipment<br>requires the retailer to inform the<br>distributor as soon as possible of the<br>advise received from the customer<br>(civil penalty).<br>The WEM code is specific to timings<br>if the retailer or distributor becomes<br>aware and has not been notified by a<br>customer, it includes obligations for<br>written correspondence to be via<br>registered mail for the purpose of re-<br>certification and a minimum of 2 other<br>attempts to contact the customer<br>which the NEM model does not<br>currently consider. |



| INSTRUMENT - CODE OF CONDUCT FOR THE SUPPLY OF<br>ELECTRICITY TO SMALL USE CUSTOMERS 2016   | VARIANCE TO THE NEM?  |
|---|---|
| <ul> <li>9.5 Life support equipment <ol> <li>If a pre-payment meter customer provides a retailer with confirmation from an appropriately qualified medical practitioner that a person residing at the pre-payment meter customer's supply address requires life support equipment, the retailer must not provide a pre-payment meter service at that supply address and the retailer must, or must immediately arrange to— <ol> <li>remove or render non-operational the pre-payment meter at no charge;</li> <li>replace or switch the pre-payment meter to a standard meter at no charge; and</li> <li>provide information to the pre-payment meter customer about the contract options available to the pre-payment meter customer.</li> </ol> </li> <li>If a retailer requests a distributor to revert a pre-payment meter under subclause (1), the distributor must revert the pre-payment meter at that supply address as soon as possible and in any event no later than— <ol> <li>of r supply addresses located within the metropolitan area—</li> <li>within 1 business days of receipt of the request, if the request is received prior to 3pm on a business day; and</li> <li>within 9 business days of receipt of the request, if the request is received prior to 3pm on a business day; and</li> <li>within 10 business days of receipt of the request, if the request is received prior to 3pm on a business day; and</li> </ol> </li> </ol></li></ul> | Similar arrangements for the NEM<br>under 59 Persons on life support<br>equipment.<br>Both the NEM and WEM must not<br>provide a pre-payment meter to a<br>premise and if one exists must organise<br>for it to be removed at no cost.<br>Under the NEM this holds a civil penalty<br>provision.<br>The WEM does not to reference<br>penalties<br>WA obligations are more specific with<br>respect to timing obligations on the<br>distributor with reverting back to a non<br>pre-payment meter and timing varies<br>between metro versus non metro<br>(regional) areas.<br>Timing obligations specifically outlined<br>in the Code do not appear to be<br>currently outlined specifically in the<br>current WEM B2B Procedures/build<br>Pack/Technical specification. |



| INSTRUMENT – WA ELECTRICITY INDUSTRY METERING<br>CODE 2012   | VARIANCE TO THE NEM?  |
|--|---|
| Definition<br>"life support equipment" has the meaning given to it in the Code of Conduct.   | WEM definition points to the Code of Conduct. If the<br>Metering Code is to be dis-applied for the WEM,<br>definition will need to be updated to the WEM Retail<br>Rules.<br>NEM definition is outlined in the NERL and points to the<br>NERR   |
| <ul> <li>5.19 User must provide customer information</li> <li>(2) A user must, to the extent that it is able, collect and maintain a record of the following information in relation to the site of each connection point with which the user is associated:</li> <li>(c) for each customer associated with the connection point, the following "customer attributes":(i) the NMI of each connection point with which the customer is associated; and</li> <li>(ii) the customer's name; and</li> <li>(iii) the customer's postal address (and, if a person residing at the site requires life support equipment, the person's street address) for outage notification purposes, in a format specified in the communication rules; and</li> <li>(iv) one or more phone numbers to enable the network operator to contact the customer (and, if a person residing at the site requires life support equipment, a phone number to enable the network operator to contact the person); and</li> <li>(v) whether a person residing at the site requires life support equipment, and</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>WEM refers to 'user', whereas NEM refers to Retailer<br/>Obligations. WEM refers to network operator whereas<br/>NEM refers to distributor.</li> <li>The WEM Code is more specific to customer attributes to<br/>be collected, maintained and to be provided to the<br/>network operator, whereas the NEM advises the Retailer<br/>must 'give the distributor relevant information about the<br/>premises for the purposes of updating the distributor's<br/>distribution records and registers.</li> <li>The NEM B2B Customer and Site Detail Transaction<br/>does contain the customer attributes currently outlined in<br/>the WA Electricity Metering Code.</li> </ul> |



| INSTRUMENT – WA ELECTRICITY INDUSTRY<br>METERING CODE 2012  | VARIANCE TO THE NEM?   |
|---|--|
| <ul> <li>5.19 User must provide customer information</li> <li>2A) For the purposes of clause 5.19(2)(c)(v):</li> <li>(a) a user must record that a person residing at the site requires life support equipment if the customer associated with the connection point provides the user with confirmation from an appropriately qualified medical practitioner that the person requires life support equipment; and</li> <li>(b) a user, acting in accordance with good electricity industry practice, is not obliged to record that a person residing at the site requires life support equipment if the customer associated with the connection point does not provide the user with confirmation from an appropriately qualified medical practitioner that the person requires life support equipment; and</li> <li>(c) a user must record that there is no longer a person residing at the site who requires life support equipment, if the customer associated with the connection point equipment, and</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The WEM is specific with advising the user must record confirmation from an appropriately qualified practioner and that they are not obliged to record a person residing at the site if as requiring Life Support if confirmation is not provided.</li> <li>The NEM NERR advises '<u>where</u> a customer provides a retailer with confirmation from a registered medical practitioner the retailer must register the premises as having LS, however does not advise they are not obliged to record a person as LS if confirmation is not provided. This obligation under the NERR holds civil provisions under the NERL</li> <li>Both WEM and NEM Codes/Regulations include requirement for a user to record if a customer/person no longer requires LS.</li> <li>The NEM B2B Procedures includes obligation on Retailer and DNSP to inform each other if LS is no longer required/no longer meets jurisdictional requirements.</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>5.19 User must provide customer information <ul> <li>(3) Subject to clause 5.19(3A) and 5.19(6), the user must, within 1 business day after becoming aware of any change in an attribute described in clause 5.19(2), notify the network operator of the change.</li> <li>{Note: Customer, site and address attributes may require updating in the following situations: <ul> <li>on completion of a customer transfer to that user;</li> <li>for a new connection where the customer details and access requirements are assigned to the new NMI;</li> <li>when the customer moves out or moves in;</li> <li>upon receipt of updates provided by the existing customer.}</li> </ul> </li> <li>{Note: to the extent that items listed in clause 5.19(2) are standing data items, there is also a general obligation under clause 4.5 for Code participants, including the user, to keep the registry accurate and to notify other, relevant, Code participants of discrepancies.}</li> </ul> </li> </ul>     | <ul> <li>The WEM is specific to advise that a user must within 1 business day notify the network operator after becoming aware of any change.</li> <li>The NEM NERR advises under Miscellaneous transitional rule that the premises will be taken to be the premises to which the arrangements apply <u>as advised in connection with a registration, identification.</u></li> <li>The NEM NERR is not specific with respect to timing other to advise if LS is no longer required, it advises the retailer must inform the distributor as soon as possible. (civil penalty under NERL)</li> <li>The NEM B2B Procedures includes requirement for CDN to be provided within one business day of the relevant detain being updated or changed and following completion of a transfer, new connection, energisation.</li> </ul>   |



| INSTRUMENT – NATIONAL ENERGY RETAIL LAW   | VARIANCE TO THE WEM?   |
|---|--|
| <ul> <li>140—Transfer of responsibility</li> <li>(4) The designated RoLR must, if it is notified by the AER, the failed retailer, an insolvency official or a distributor that the premises of a customer of the failed retailer has life support equipment, comply with the obligations as to life support equipment that apply to retailers under the Rules as if the designated RoLR had been notified by the customer.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>WA Code does not consider Life Support in the event of a SoLR event.</li> <li>In the NEM the Sensitive Load Flag is also articulated in the NEM RoLR Processes (Part B) and exchanged in the event of a RoLR between the failed retailer and designated RoLR.</li> <li>Assumption to-date pending Policy outcome is that the WEM would pick up the B2B Procedures for RoLR in the unlikely event of a SoLR</li> </ul> |

### KEY OBLIGATION VARIANCES - B2B PROCEDURES



| VARIANCE  | NEM B2B<br>PROCEDURES  | WEM B2B<br>PROCEDURES  | ASSUMPTIONS   |
|---|--|--|---|
| Variance between the NEM and WEM<br>with respect to notifying the DNSP and<br>Acceptance.<br>NEM Retailers advise DNSP by<br>Telephone, Whereas WA Retailers must<br>email a copy of the LS Documentation.<br>In the NEM the site is considered LS<br>effective from the phone call from a<br>Retailer, whereas in the WEM effective<br>from the time the DNSP verifies the<br>documentation provided by the Retailer | In addition to information a DNSP<br>via the B2B e-hub, Retailer must<br>immediately advise the DNSP by<br>telephone when they become<br>aware of a LS situation., then<br>subsequently send a CDN.<br>Changes are effective from the time<br>of the phone call from Retailer to<br>the DNSP | Retailer must email a copy of the<br>documentation verifying the LS<br>requirements for the Site to the<br>DNSP.<br>Business Acceptance/Rejection does<br>not indicate formal acceptance of LS<br>information<br>Changes are effective from the time<br>the DNSP verifies the documentation<br>provided by the Retailer. | Neither the NEM Rules or WEM Code of<br>Conduct specify the notification method.<br>The WEM Code of Conduct does not<br>specifically advise that the Retailer must<br>email a copy of the documentation<br>verifying the LS requirements and that<br>the validation must be done by the<br>distributor. It only appears to advise<br>providing it to the user who validates.<br>Does this require further discussion with<br>respect to ownership of validation<br>moving forward? In the NEM the<br>Retailer is considered the source of<br>truth for this information |
| NEM has additional obligations, specific<br>to the scenario where a DNSP (or<br>MP/MC) becomes aware of a change to<br>LS. The DNSP must phone and also<br>send an email to the Retailer as soon as<br>practicable with a minimum set of<br>information and the changes are<br>effective from the time to the email<br>being received by the Retailer.<br>The WA B2B Procedures does not<br>consider this.            | The initiator can be either the DNSP<br>or the Retailer under the NERL and<br>NER. Civil Liabilities can apply to<br>both.<br>It also outlines that there must be a<br>contact email address located in the<br>Retail Operation Contact List   | The WA B2B Procedures does not<br>consider this specifically and does<br>not specifically consider a Retail<br>Operational Contact List  | Recommend WEM align to the NEM to<br>consider this scenario and also for WA<br>Participants to be updated/included in<br>the National Retail Operation Contact<br>List  |
| The WA B2B Procedures contains little<br>information with respect to LS in<br>comparison to the NEM B2B<br>Procedures and the B2B Guide.  | NEM Procedures and B2B Guide<br>includes Example of Process Flows<br>involving Life Support Data,<br>considering both CDN with LS non<br>retailer initiated and retailer initiated   | The WA B2B Procedures does not consider this specifically  | Recommend WEM align to the NEM  |



#### NEM Procedures includes a Table of Business Events and Event Codes.

#### Table 10: Business Events

| Business<br>Document        | Business<br>Signal                             | Business Event   | Explanation<br>Required | Severity    | Event<br>Code | Notes   |
|-----------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|---|
| CustomerDetailsRequest      | BusinessAcceptance/<br>Rejection               | Participant is not authorised to receive the requested data                  | No                      | Error       | 1932          |   |
| CustomerDetailsNotification | BusinessAcceptance/<br>Rejection               | Data not fit for purpose. Details provided in <i>Explanation</i> .           | Yes                     | Error       | 1970          | Not applicable for <u>CustomerDetailsReconciliation</u> .                     |
| SiteAccessRequest           | BusinessAcceptance/<br>Rejection               | Participant is not authorised to<br>receive the requested data               | No                      | Error       | 1932          |   |
| SiteAccessNotification      | BusinessAcceptance/<br>Rejection               | Data not fit for purpose. Details provided in <i>Explanation</i> .           | Yes                     | Error       | 1970          |   |
| All Notifications           | <u>BusinessAcceptance/</u><br><u>Rejection</u> | Recipient is not responsible for the<br>supplied NMI.                        | Yes                     | Error       | 1923          |   |
|                             |  | Not Current FRMP   | No                      | Error       | 1939          |   |
|                             |  | Data missing (mandatory fields).<br>Details provided in <i>Explanation</i> . | Yes                     | Error       | 201           | Standard aseXML.  |
|                             |  | Invalid data. Details provided in<br>Explanation.                            | Yes                     | Error       | 202           | Standard aseXML.<br>Not applicable for <u>CustomerDetailsReconciliation</u> . |
| All                         | <u>All</u>                                     | Accept.  | No                      | Information | 0             | Standard aseXML   |

#### WEM BUSINESS EVENTS

- -



| Business<br>Document        | Business<br>Signal                             | Business Event  | Explanation<br>Required | Severity    | Document<br>Reference<br>or Notes |
|-----------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| CustomerDetailsRequest      | BusinessAcceptance/<br>Rejection               | Participant is not authorised to receive the requested data | No                      | Error       |                                   |
| CustomerDetailsNotification | <u>BusinessAcceptance/</u><br><u>Rejection</u> | Medical Certificate not received<br>in time                 | Yes                     | Error       | Only used in SA.                  |
| SiteAccessNotification      | <u>BusinessAcceptance/</u><br><u>Rejection</u> |   |                         |             |                                   |
| SiteAddressNotification     | <u>BusinessAcceptance/</u><br><u>Rejection</u> | Address identical to address<br>held by DNSP                | No                      | Information | 2.2.6                             |
|                             |  | Address not accepted. MSATS correct.                        | No                      | Error       | 2.2.6                             |
|                             |  | Address not accepted. MSATS to be updated.                  | No                      | Error       | 2.2.6                             |
| All Notifications           | BusinessAcceptance/<br>Rejection               | Not Current FRMP  | No                      | Error       |                                   |
| All                         | All  | Accept.   | No                      | Information | Standard<br>aseXML<br>Code        |
|                             |  | Data missing. Details provided in<br>Explanation.           | Yes                     | Error       | Standard<br>aseXML<br>Code        |

## EVENT CODE VARIANCES



| VARIANCE   | NEM   | WEM  | ASSUMPTIONS   |
|--|---|--|---|
| WEM has business event for<br>Customer Details Notification '<br>Medical Certificate not<br>received in time"<br>NEM does not have this as a<br>business event | NEM does not have this as a<br>business event. A DSNP cannot<br>reject a CDN from a Retailer on<br>the basis that the DNDSP did not<br>receive a medical certificate.   | Business Event for Customer<br>Details Notification ' Medical<br>Certificate not received in<br>time'<br>However Procedure advises<br>under Document Reference<br>or Notes – 'Only used in SA? | Question. Is this used in WA?<br>Why can a DNSP reject if the<br>Retailer is managing the<br>process with the customer?<br>Post workshop confirmation<br>was received that DNSP does<br>not receive copy of medical<br>certificate. |
| NEM Procedure includes<br>Business Event 1970 "Data<br>not fit for purpose", WEM does<br>not   | <ul> <li>Business Event:</li> <li>Customer Details Notification</li> <li>And Site Access Notification</li> <li>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</li> <li>'Data not fit for purpose. Details provided in Explanation</li> <li>Event Code 1970</li> </ul> | WA Procedure does not<br>include this Business Event.  | National Consistency.<br>Recommend WA align to the<br>NEM, National Consistency.<br>Recommend WA align to the<br>NEM, WA by adopting the latest<br>NEM schema version will<br>include this Event Code                               |
| NEM Procedure includes<br>Business Event 1923<br>"Recipient is not responsible<br>for the supplied NMI", WEM<br>does not                                       | Business Event:<br>All Notifications<br>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection<br>Recipient is not responsible for<br>the supplied NMI<br>Event Code 1923   | WA Procedure does not<br>include this Business Event.  | National Consistency.<br>Recommend WA align to the<br>NEM, WA by adopting the latest<br>NEM schema version will<br>include this Event Code  |

## EVENT CODE VARIANCES



| VARIANCE   | NEM  | WEM   | ASSUMPTIONS  |
|--|--|---|--|
| NEM Procedure includes<br>Business Event 202 "Invalid<br>Data", WEM does not   | Business Event:<br>All Notifications<br>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection<br>Invalid Data, Details provided in<br>Explanation<br>Event Code 202 | WA Procedure does not<br>include this Business Event.   | National Consistency.<br>Recommend WA align to the<br>NEM, WA by adopting the latest<br>NEM schema version will<br>include this Event Code |
| WEM has business events for<br>Site Address Notification<br>Transaction.<br>As this Transaction was<br>removed for the NEM in 2013<br>this is a variance. The basis<br>was due to the significant<br>effort and rejections for the<br>transaction by the DNSP with<br>limited value for the DNSP in<br>receiving this information from<br>the retailer. DNSP is<br>responsible for site address. | Site Address notification<br>Transaction was moved for the<br>NEM in 2013  | <ul> <li>Site Address Notification<br/>Business Event</li> <li>Address Identical to<br/>address held by DNSP</li> <li>Address not accepted.<br/>MSATS not correct</li> <li>Address not accepted.<br/>MSATS to be updated</li> </ul> | National Consistency.<br>Recommend WA align to the<br>NEM, the event codes for Site<br>Address Notification will no<br>longer be required  |

# METER DATA PROCESS





The NEM Meter Data Process (procedure):

- Specifies the standard MDFF Data Request and Remote Service request processes.
- Provides Participants a standard format for:
  - Receiving, requesting and querying meter data
  - Receiving and requesting remote services for on demand reads, scheduling reads and metering installation inquiries.
- Is published by AEMO in accordance with NER clause 7.17.3.



B2B Procedure Meter Data Process references in this presentation are based on:

- NEM:
  - Final Procedures Effective 1 December 2017. Version 3.
  - <u>http://www.aemo.com.au/Stakeholder-</u> <u>Consultation/Consultations/Power-of-Choice-B2B-</u> <u>consultation-2</u>
- WEM:

Western Power Build Packs

https://www.westernpower.com.au/media/1930/wa-b2bprocedures-meter-data-process.pdf

WA Procedure last updated in 2008, based on the NEM Version 1.4 Published June 2007

#### METER DATA PROCESS TRANSACTION TYPE



| PROCESS NAME  | DESCRIPTION   | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR   | NEM<br>RECIPIENT           | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES |
|---|---|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Meter Data Notification<br>( <u>MeterDataNotification</u> )         | Includes Scheduled<br>Meter Readings,<br>Meter Readings taken<br>by an MDP in<br>response to a <u>Service</u><br><u>Order Request</u> and<br>other MDFF data<br>(such as<br>Estimations). | Yes             | MDP                | RB or DB or<br>MDP or [MC] | X                   |
| Provide Meter Data<br>( <u>ProvideMeterDataReq</u><br><u>uest</u> ) | An Initiator can<br>request the provision<br>of the latest version of<br>MDFF data held by<br>the Recipient   | Yes             | RB or DB<br>or MDP | MDP                        | X                   |

Analysis: WA Transactions are currently between DB and RB only.

## METER DATA PROCESS TRANSACTION TYPE (CONT.)



| PROCESS<br>TRANSACTION TYPE                            | DESCRIPTION   | EXISTS<br>IN WA | NEM<br>INITIATOR       | NEM<br>RECIPIENT    | NOTIFIED<br>PARTIES |
|--|---|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Verify Meter Data<br>( <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u> ) | An Initiator can query MDFF<br>data to ensure that the latest<br>version is being supplied. | Yes             | RB or DB or<br>New MDP | MDP                 | x                   |
| Remote Service<br>( <u>RemoteServiceRequest</u> )      | Request for a demand read,<br>meter installation inquiry or<br>scheduled read.              | No              | DB or RB or<br>[MC]    | MP or [MC]          | x                   |
| Remote Service<br>( <u>RemoteServiceResponse</u> )     | A Recipients response to a <u>RemoteServiceRequest</u> .                                    | No              | MP or [MC]             | DB or RB or<br>[MC] | х                   |

Analysis/Variances:

- WA Transactions are between DB and RB only.
- WA does not have Remote Service Meter Data Process Transactions.

#### METER DATA NOTIFICATION PROCESS



#### Analysis shows that WA and the NEM follow the same process.

AFMO

# METER DATA NOTIFICATION GUIDELINES



| REQUEST<br>STATUS | DESCRIPTION   | RB OR DB OR MDP OR (MC) RESPONSE   |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Accept            | Status used to indicate entire file has been accepted.  | The Recipient (RB or DB or MDP or [MC]) must send a <u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> with a Status of "Accept" to the MDP and send a <u>MeterDataNotification</u> in response to the <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u>  |
| Reject            | Status used to indicate that the entire file has been rejected.   | the Initiator (MDP) must resolve the problem and resend the data if appropriate. If the file format is invalid, the Initiator must resolve the problem and resend the data if appropriate, otherwise the Initiator must communicate the results of the investigation to the Recipient (RB or DB or MDP or [MC]) who sent the <u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> |
| Partial           | Status must be used to indicate a rejection,<br>that relates to only part of the file.<br>The data to be returned by the Initiator<br>(MDP) must include all data relating to<br>each NMI that relates to a line number in<br>the <u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> . | the Initiator (MDP) must investigate the rejection.<br>If valid, the Initiator must resolve the problem and resend the<br>data.<br>If the rejection is not valid, the Initiator must communicate the<br>results of the investigation to the Recipient (RB or DB or MDP<br>or [MC])   |

Assumption: WA adopts the NEM model.



An Initiator (MDP) issuing a <u>MeterDataNotification</u> must:

- Ensure that it contains Accumulation Meter or Interval Meter data, not a mix of both.
- Ensure that the MDFF data provided in a <u>MeterDataNotification</u> is the latest version of that data.

#### PROVIDE OR VERIFY METER DATA PROCESS





Analysis: WA adopts the same method as the NEM.

#### **PROVIDE METER DATA GUIDELINES**



An Initiator (MDP) can send a ProvideMeterDataRequest for:

- MDFF Data it is entitled to under the NER.
- Historical metering data it is entitled to under the CATS Procedure or a Jurisdictional instrument.
- A Recipient to re-send specified MDFF Data.

A Recipient must respond to the Initiator (MDP) using a response in the table below.

| REQUEST<br>STATUS | DESCRIPTION  | MDP RESPONSE  |
|-------------------|--|---|
| Accept            | The MDP is able to<br>fully satisfy the<br>request | The MDP must send a MeterDataNotification in response to the ProvideMeterDataRequest  |
| Partial           | If the MDP can<br>partially satisfy the<br>request | The MDP must provide appropriate Event Codes and associated details in the <u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> to explain why the <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> cannot be fully satisfied. |
| Reject            | MDP is unable to satisfy the request               | The MDP must send a <u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u><br>with a Status of "Reject" with the appropriate EventCodes.   |

Assumption: WA adopts the NEM process, transactions will continue to be between DB and RB only as WP will retain existing roles (DB, MDP, MC, MPB).

#### VERIFY METER DATA PROCESS GUIDELINES



An Initiator may commence the Meter Data Verification Process if the:

- MDFF Data specified in the <u>MeterDataNotification</u> is erroneous.
- Response provided to a previous <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> or <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u> has not resolved its query.

| REQUEST<br>STATUS | DESCRIPTION   | MDP RESPONSE  |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Accept            | MDP is able to fully<br>satisfy the<br><u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u>  | The MDP must send a <u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> with<br>a Status of "Accept" and send a <u>MeterDataNotification</u> in<br>response to the <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u>  |
| Partial           | If the MDP can partially satisfy the<br><u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u> | The MDP must provide to the requestor (RB or DB or MDP) appropriate Event Codes.<br>The Event Codes in the <u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u><br>must have a Severity of "Information" or "Error".   |
| Reject            | MDP is unable to satisfy<br>the<br><u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u>      | The MDP must send to the requestor (RB or DB or MDP) a<br><u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> with a Status of "Reject"<br>and must not send a <u>MeterDataNotification</u> in response to<br>the <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u> .<br>The MDP must provide appropriate Event Codes and<br>associated details including the Severity of "Error" in the<br><u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> . |



The timing guidelines for issuing a <u>MeterDataNotification</u> are:

| EVENT             | REASON  | BUSINESS DAY                       | INITIATOR       |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Scheduled Reading | Following the read<br>event for remotely<br>read metering<br>installations                            | Fourth                             | RB or DB or MDP |
| Scheduled Reading | Following the<br>published Next<br>Scheduled Read Date<br>for manually read<br>metering installations | Sixth                              | RB or DB or MDP |
| Scheduled Reading | For the previous<br>month's MDFF data,<br>for Controlled<br>Unmetered Devices                         | Seventh<br>(of the calendar month) | RB or DB or MDP |
## PROVIDE METER DATA NOTIFICATION – TIMINGS (CONT.)



| EVENT  | REASON  | BUSINESS DAY | RECIPIENT                  |
|--|---|--------------|----------------------------|
| ServiceOrderRequest<br>(following the receipt of<br>the completed<br>ServiceOrderResponse) | For a manually read metering installation       | Fourth       | RB or DB or MDP            |
| MeterDataNotification  | Response to a<br><u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> | One          | RB or DB or MDP or<br>[MC] |
| MeterDataNotification  | <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u>                   | One          | RB or DB or MDP or<br>[MC] |

### PROVIDE METER DATA – RECIPIENT BUSINESS RULES



A Recipient (MDP) who receives a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> must determine which Meter Readings the Initiator (RB or DB or MDP) has requested based on the the following:

- For accumulation meters: provide all available MDFF data that the Initiator is entitled to for the inclusive period specified in the <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u>, including:
  - All reading periods that ended in the requested date range.
- For interval meters: provide all available MDFF data that the Initiator is entitled to for the inclusive period specified in the <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u>.

If the *EndReadDate* is not provided in a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u>, the Recipient must provide all MDFF data on and after the *StartReadDate* that the Initiator is entitled to receive.



- Initiators must not repeatedly request MDFF data as a result of a fault within the processing of the data by the Initiator's systems.
- Where an Initiator requests MDFF data in a <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> for a period that covers a change between an Accumulation Meter and an Interval Meter, the Recipient must provide to the Initiator <u>MeterDataNotifications</u> with the MDFF data required for each date range applicable to each metering installation type.



An Initiator (RB or DB or MDP) must provide values in the fields in the <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u> to match the level of the data being queried:

- If the data being queried is at the NMI level, only the NMI needs to be provided.
- If the data being queried is at the single meter level, the NMI and the MeterSerialNumber must be provided.
- If the data being queried is at an individual DataStream level, the NMI, MeterSerialNumber and NMI Suffix must be provided.
- If the data being queried relates to the configuration of a Site, the NMI and NMI Configuration must be provided.
- The Initiator must ensure that the Investigation Code and Investigation Description match the level of data provided.

#### REMOTE SERVICE REQUEST



An Initiator (DB or RB or [MC]) may commence a <u>RemoteServiceRequest</u> process if it:

- Is authorised to receive the requested information; and
- Require remote retrieval of information from a specified metering installation, or
- require a reading on demand, or
- require a scheduled reading to commence, end or change.



Analysis indicates that currently WA does not use remote service requests and response methods for Meter Data Processes.

#### REMOTE SERVICES REQUEST AND RESPONSE GUIDELINES



A Recipient must respond to the Initiator (MDP) using a response in the table below.

| REQUEST<br>STATUS | DESCRIPTION   | MP OR (MC) RESPONSE  |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Accept            | MP or [MC] is able to fully satisfy the Request.                | BusinessAcceptance/Rejection with a Status of "Accept"   |
| Reject            | MP or [MC] is unable to satisfy the <u>RemoteServiceRequest</u> | <ul> <li><u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> with a Status of<br/>"Reject"</li> <li>Appropriate EventCodes and associated details in the<br/><u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> must be provided<br/>including a Severity of "Error"</li> </ul> |

- <u>RemoteServiceRequest</u> does not have a partial status response.
- Timing requirements for <u>RemoteServiceRequest</u> are as agreed between the Recipient and the Initiator.

### METER DATA PROCESS INVESTIGATION CODES



# The Initiator must use the appropriate Investigation Code for a <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u>, these are:

| INVESTIGATION<br>CODE                | USE   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Confirm Reading For<br>Vacant Site   | Used where substituted metering data or estimated metering data is provided for a vacant site and the Initiator reasonably believes the consumption is overstated.                                |
| Confirm Zero<br>Consumption          | Used where the Initiator requires confirmation of a zero consumption value.   |
| Incomplete Data                      | Used where the Initiator reasonably believes that they have not received a complete set of data.  |
| Invalid MDFF Data                    | Used where the Initiator reasonably believes that data in the MDFF data does not match the configuration information in the MDFF data.  |
| Invalid Standing Data                | This code is used where the Initiator reasonably believes that the configuration data in the MDFF data is inconsistent with MSATS.  |
| Missing DataStream                   | Used where the Initiator reasonably believes that the channel/s are incomplete based on the configuration information provided. This could be in the MDFF file or information obtained elsewhere. |
| Require Actual Reading or Substitute | Used where the Initiator reasonably believes that they are entitled to Actual metering data or substituted metering data for a specified period.  |
| Require Final Substitute             | Used where the Initiator has received a Substitution and reasonably believes they should have received a Substitution with a quality flag of 'F'  |

### METER DATA PROCESS INVESTIGATION CODES



| INVESTIGATION<br>CODE             | USE   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Scheduled Reading<br>Required     | Used where the Initiator reasonably believes that the NSRD has lapsed<br>and the MDFF data has not been provided within the required timeframe.   |
| Service Order Reading<br>Required | Used where the Initiator has received a <u>ServiceOrderResponse</u> with a ServiceOrderStatus of 'Partially Completed' or 'Completed' and the associated MDFF data has not been provided within the required timeframe. |
| Verify High Reading               | Used where the Initiator reasonably believes the Meter Reading is too high compared to Historical Data, or following a customer complaint.  |
| Verify Low Reading                | Used where the Initiator reasonably believes the Meter Reading is too low compared to Historical Data, or following a customer complaint.   |
| Verify/Missing Register           | Used where the Initiator reasonably believes that the registers received in the MDFF do not align with those in MSATS.  |
| Require Estimate Data             | Used where the Initiator reasonably believes they are entitled to an Estimation.  |
| Meter Churn                       | Used where the Initiator reasonable believes that they have not received actual Meter Churn data.   |
| Other                             | Any other reason not covered by any other Investigation Code.   |



Initiators (RB, DB or MDP) must ensure that the <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> conforms to the usage, format and definitional rules

| NEM FIELD     | FORMAT      | USE | DEFINITION   |
|---------------|-------------|-----|--|
| InitiatorRole | VarChar(4)  | М   | The Initiator's Role requesting the MDFF Data. Participant Role as published in MSATS.                                 |
| RequestID     | VarChar(15) | М   | Initiator defined reference, used for reference and tracking. Must be a new (unused) number, unique for the Initiator. |
| NMI           | Char(10)    | М   | NMI for the connection point missing data.   |
| NMIChecksum   | Char(1)     | 0   | NMI Checksum for the connection point missing data.  |
| StartReadDate | DATE        | М   | The start date for the period for which the Initiator is requesting MDFF data.   |
| EndReadDate   | DATE        | 0   | The end date for the period for which the initiator is requesting MDFF data.   |

Analysis indicates that WA use the same fields. NEM InitiatorRole = WEM Participant Role.



#### For a Verify Meter Data Request the Initiator (RB, DB, MDP) must include:

| FIELD            | FORMAT       | USE -<br>INTERVAL<br>DATA | USE -<br>ACCUMULATION<br>DATA | DEFINITION / RULES   |
|------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| InitiatorRole    | VarChar(4)   | M                         | М                             | Participant Role as published in MSATS.  |
| RequestID        | VarChar(15)  | М                         | М                             | Unique Reference number  |
| NMI              | Char(10)     | М                         | М                             | Connection Point NMI   |
| NMIChecksum      | Char(1)      | 0                         | 0                             | NMI Checksum for connection point.   |
| NM Configuration | VarChar(240) | M/N                       | M/N                           | If this value is provided, the expected NM<br>Configuration or the perceived problem of<br>the configuration must be provided in the<br>Investigation Description field. |
| MeterSerial      | VarChar(12)  | M/N                       | M/N                           | Only required if the data being queried is<br>at the single meter level or an individual<br>DataStream level.  |
| NM Suffix        | Char(2)      | M/N                       | M/N                           | Example = 'E1','K1','Q2' etc.<br>Mandatory if at an individual DataStream<br>level or if a CurrentRead is provided.  |
| RegisterID       | VarChar(10)  | 0                         | 0                             | Matching MSATS RegisterID  |

Analysis shows that WA use the same VerifyMeterDataRequest fields. NEM InitiatorRole = WEM Participant Role.

# VERIFY METER DATA REQUEST DATA (CONT.)



| FIELD                    | FORMAT        | USE -<br>INTERVAL<br>DATA | USE -<br>ACCUMULATIO<br>N DATA | DEFINITION / RULES   |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| CurrentRead              | VarChar(15)   | N                         | M/N                            | <ul> <li>Mandatory for Accumulation Meters if any of<br/>the following InvestigationCodes are used:</li> <li>Confirm Reading For Vacant Site</li> <li>Verify High Reading</li> <li>Verify Low Reading</li> <li>Confirm Zero Consumption</li> </ul> |
| CurrentReadDate          | DATE          | N                         | M/N                            | Date of the Meter Reading in the MDFF data being queried.  |
| CurrentConsumption       | Numeric(15,3) | N                         | M/N                            | Original consumption figure (in kWh) in the<br>MDFF data being queried. Must be provided if<br>CurrentRead is populated.   |
| StartReadDate            | DATE          | М                         | M                              | The first day of the period the Initiator is querying.   |
| EndReadDate              | DATE          | М                         | 0                              | The last day of the period the Initiator is querying.  |
| Investigation Code       | VarChar(40)   | М                         | М                              | <ul> <li>Examples:</li> <li>Confirm Reading For Vacant Site</li> <li>Confirm Zero Consumption</li> <li>Incomplete Data</li> <li>Invalid MDFF Data</li> </ul>   |
| InvestigationDescription | Varchar(240)  | М                         | М                              | Free text  |

#### METER DATA NOTIFICATION FIELDS



#### For a Meter Data Notification the Initiator (MDP) must include:

| FIELD              | FORMAT      | USE | DEFINITION  |
|--------------------|-------------|-----|---|
| RecipientRole      | VarChar(4)  | M   | The Recipient's Role as published in MSATS.   |
| RequestID          | VarChar(15) | M/N | Not required when transaction sent as<br>part of the normal Meter Data<br>Notification Process.   |
|                    |             |     | Mandatory when the transaction is sent<br>to the requesting Initiator as a<br>response to a<br><u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u> ,<br><u>VerifyMeterDataRequest or</u><br><u>RemoteServiceRequest.</u> |
| CSVConsumptionData | CSVDATA     | R   | Contains embedded data in CSV format for Accumulation Meters.   |
| CSVIntervalData    | CSVDATA     | R   | Contains embedded data in CSV format for Interval Meters.   |

Analysis shows that WA uses the same fields. InitiatorRole = WEM Participant Role.

#### WEM REQUEST FIELDS VARIANCE



The WEM data fields for <u>ProvideMeterDataRequest</u>, <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u> and <u>MeterDataNotification</u> that are not used in the NEM are:

| FIELD         | FORMAT      | USE | DEFINITION   |
|---------------|-------------|-----|--|
| ParticipantID | VarChar(10) | Μ   | The Participant requesting the MDFF Data.<br>Participant ID as published in MSATS. |
| MDPID         | VarChar(10) | Μ   | Identifier of the MDP asked to provide MDFF Data.                                  |

Assumption: WA will adopt to the fields used in the NEM transactions (Initiator Role/Recipient Role

### REMOTE SERVICE REQUEST FIELDS



#### For a Remote Service Request the Initiator (DB, RB or [MC]) must include:

| FIELD             | FORMAT      | USE | DEFINITION  | FIELD AVAILABLE               |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|---|-------------------------------|
|                   |             |     |   | IN WEM?                       |
| RequestID         | VARCHAR(15) | М   | Initiator defined reference, used for reference and   | Yes                           |
|                   |             |     | tracking. Must be a new (unused) number, unique for   |                               |
|                   |             |     | the Initiator.  |                               |
| NMI               | CHAR(10)    | М   | NMI for the connection point.   | Yes                           |
| NMIChecksum       | CHAR(1)     | 0   | NMI Checksum for the connection point.  | Yes                           |
| MeterSerialNumber | VARCHAR(12) | 0   | Meter Serial ID(s).   | Yes                           |
|                   |             |     | This is a repeatable field to allow for the provision of  |                               |
|                   |             |     | multiple meters.  |                               |
| ServiceType       | VARCHAR(40) | М   | Describes the specific service required   | WA B2B                        |
|                   |             |     | Standard Values:  | Procedures define             |
|                   |             |     | Remote On Demand Meter Read   | Service Type as               |
|                   |             |     | Metering Installation Inquiry   | type of Telephone<br>service? |
|                   |             |     | Start Remote Scheduled Meter Read   | Varchar(12)                   |
|                   |             |     | Stop Remote Scheduled Meter Read  |                               |
|                   |             |     | Change Remote Scheduled Meter Read  |                               |
|                   |             |     | <ul> <li><user defined=""></user></li> <li></li> <li< li=""> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li></li<></ul> |                               |

# REMOTE SERVICE REQUEST FIELDS (CONT.)



| FIELD          | FORMAT      | USE | DEFINITION   | FIELD AVAILABLE<br>IN WEM?  |
|----------------|-------------|-----|--|---|
| RequestCode    | VARCHAR(40) | М   | Standard values:<br>Meter Contactor Status<br>Voltage<br>Current<br>Average Voltage<br>Events<br>Frequency<br>Active Energy<br>Reactive Energy<br>Index Read<br><user defined=""></user> | Not found in WA<br>Build Pack List of<br>Codes or WA B2B<br>Procedure |
| ResponseFormat | VARCHAR(20) | М   | Standard Values:<br>MDN<br>CSV<br>MDFF<br>XML<br>BASE64<br><user defined=""></user>  | Not found in WA<br>Build Pack List of<br>Codes                        |

# REMOTE SERVICE REQUEST FIELDS (CONT.)



| FIELD                     | FORMAT           | US<br>E | DEFINITION  | FIELD<br>AVAILABLE<br>IN WEM?                 |
|---------------------------|------------------|---------|---|---|
| FromDateTime              | DATETIM<br>E     | 0       | When blank assumes current date and time.<br>The time will be EST unless otherwise agreed.  | WEM uses<br>Western<br>Standard Time<br>(WST) |
| ToDateTime                | DATETIM<br>E     | 0       | When blank assumes current date and time.<br>The time will be EST unless otherwise agreed.  | WEM uses<br>Western<br>Standard Time<br>(WST) |
| UserDef1 to User<br>Def10 | VARCHA<br>R(240) | 0       | Usage agreed between initiator and recipient.<br>Can be used for example to define different<br>measurement periods for the response, or<br>measurement such as power factor, or request<br>secondary data streams in the response. | Assumption is<br>Yes                          |

#### Analysis:

- WA does not have currently use Remote Service Requests.
- WA will adopt the NEM data fields if these types of transaction are used.

### **REMOTE SERVICE RESPONSE FIELDS**



Recipients (DB, RB or [MC]) must ensure that the <u>RemoteServiceResponse</u> includes:

| FIELD             | FORMAT      | USE | DEFINITION   | FIELD AVAILABLE   |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|--|---|
|                   |             |     |  | IN WEM?   |
| RequestID         | VARCHAR(15) | М   | unique number. Yes   |   |
| NMI               | CHAR(10)    | М   | NMI for the connection point.  | Yes   |
| NMIChecksum       | CHAR(1)     | 0   | NMI Checksum for the connection point.   | Yes   |
| MeterSerialNumber | VARCHAR(12) | М   | repeatable field to allow for the provision of multiple meters.  | Yes   |
| ServiceType       | VARCHAR(40) | М   | <ul> <li>Examples:</li> <li>Remote On Demand Meter Read</li> <li>Metering Installation Inquiry</li> <li>Start Remote Scheduled Meter Read</li> <li>Stop Remote Scheduled Meter Read</li> </ul> | WA B2B Procedures<br>define Service Type<br>as type of Telephone<br>service?<br>Varchar(12) |
| RequestCode       | VARCHAR(40) | М   | <ul> <li>Examples:</li> <li>Meter Contactor Status</li> <li>Voltage</li> <li>Current</li> <li>Average Voltage</li> <li>Events</li> <li>Frequency</li> <li>Active Energy</li> </ul>             | Not found in WA<br>Build Pack List of<br>Codes or WA B2B<br>Procedure                       |

# REMOTE SERVICE RESPONSE FIELDS (CONT.)



| FIELD          | FORMAT      | USE | DEFINITION  | FIELD AVAILABLE    |
|----------------|-------------|-----|---|--------------------|
|                |             |     |   | IN WEM?            |
| ResponseFormat | VARCHAR(20) | М   | This defines the structure of the response payload  | Not found in WA    |
|                |             |     | Standard Values:  | Build Pack List of |
|                |             |     | • MDN   | Codes or WA B2B    |
|                |             |     | • CSV   | Procedure          |
|                |             |     | • MDFF  |                    |
|                |             |     | • XML   |                    |
|                |             |     | • BASE64  |                    |
|                |             |     | <ul> <li><user defined=""></user></li> <li></li> <li< li=""> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li></li<></ul> |                    |
|                |             |     | Where MDN is used the response will follow existing   |                    |
|                |             |     | meter data delivery mechanisms. Otherwise the return  |                    |
|                |             |     | data will be in the response payload  |                    |
| FromDateTime   | DATETIME    | 0   | When blank assumes current date and time. The time  | WEM uses Western   |
|                |             |     | will be EST unless otherwise agreed.  | Standard Time      |
|                |             |     |   | (WST)              |
| ToDateTime     | DATETIME    | 0   | When blank assumes current date and time. The time  | WEM uses Western   |
|                |             |     | will be EST unless otherwise agreed.  | Standard Time      |
|                |             |     |   | (WST)              |

# REMOTE SERVICE RESPONSE FIELDS (CONT.)



| FIELD                    | FORMAT           | USE | DEFINITION  | FIELD AVAILABLE                             |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----|---|---|
| UserDef1 to<br>UserDef10 | VARCHAR(24<br>0) | 0   | Usage agreed between initiator and recipient. These<br>10 fields can be used for example to define different<br>measurement periods for the response, or<br>measurement such as power factor, or request<br>secondary data streams in the response.                                       | Assumption is Yes                           |
| ResponseFormat           | VARCHAR(20)      | М   | This defines the structure of the response payload<br>Standard Values:<br>MDN, CSV, MDFF, XML, BASE64, <user defined=""><br/>Where MDN is used the response will follow existing meter<br/>data delivery mechanisms. Otherwise the return data will be in<br/>the response payload</user> | Not found in WA Build<br>Pack List of Codes |
| FromDateTime             | DATETIME         | 0   | When blank assumes current date and time. The time will be EST unless otherwise agreed.   | WEM uses Western<br>Standard Time (WST)     |
| ToDateTime               | DATETIME         | 0   | When blank assumes current date and time. The time will be EST unless otherwise agreed.   | WEM uses Western<br>Standard Time (WST)     |
| UserDef1                 | VARCHAR(240)     | 0   | Usage agreed between initiator and recipient. Can be used for<br>example to define different measurement periods for the<br>response, or measurement such as power factor, or request<br>secondary data streams in the response.  | Assumption is Yes                           |

Assumption: WA will adopt the NEM data fields if these types of transactions are used.

#### REMOTE SERVICES REQUEST AND RESPONSE GUIDELINES



A Recipient must respond to the Initiator (MDP) using a response in the table below.

| REQUEST<br>STATUS | DESCRIPTION   | MP OR (MC) RESPONSE  |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Accept            | MP or [MC] is able to fully satisfy the Request.                | BusinessAcceptance/Rejection with a Status of "Accept"   |
| Reject            | MP or [MC] is unable to satisfy the <u>RemoteServiceRequest</u> | <ul> <li><u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> with a Status of<br/>"Reject"</li> <li>Appropriate EventCodes and associated details in the<br/><u>BusinessAcceptance/Rejection</u> must be provided<br/>including a Severity of "Error"</li> </ul> |

- <u>RemoteServiceRequest</u> does not have a partial status response.
- Timing requirements for <u>RemoteServiceRequest</u> are as agreed between the Recipient and the Initiator.

#### METER DATA PROCESS - APPLICABLE EVENTS



Participants must use the most relevant Business Event(s) as set out in the table. These are also used in the WEM.

• Where multiple EventCode(s) are applicable these may be provided.

| BUSINESS EVENT   | EXPLANATION<br>REQUIRED | SEVERITY    | <u>METERDATA</u><br><u>NOTIFICATION</u> | PROVIDEMETER<br>DATAREQUEST | <u>VERIFYMETER</u><br>DATAREQUEST | <u>REMOTESERVICE</u><br><u>REQUEST</u> | EVENT CODE |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------|
| Requested data has previously been sent in response to a | No                      | Error       | -                                       | Yes                         | -                                 | -                                      | 1936       |
| previous   |                         |             |   |                             |                                   |  |            |
| ProvideMeterDataRequest                                  |                         |             |   |                             |                                   |  |            |
| Participant is not entitled to                           | No                      | Information | -                                       | Yes                         | -                                 | -                                      | 1934       |
| requested data for part of the                           |                         |             |   |                             |                                   |  |            |
| date range requested                                     |                         |             |   |                             |                                   |  |            |
| Participant is not entitled to                           | No                      | Error       | -                                       | -                           | Yes                               | -                                      | 1934       |
| requested data for part of the                           |                         |             |   |                             |                                   |  |            |
| date range requested                                     |                         |             |   |                             |                                   |  |            |

### METER DATA PROCESS - APPLICABLE EVENTS (CONT.)



| BUSINESS EVENT   | EXPLANATION<br>REQUIRED | SEVERITY    | <u>METERDATA</u><br><u>NOTIFICATION</u> | <u>PROVIDEMETER</u><br><u>DATAREQUEST</u> | <u>VERIFYMETER</u><br><u>DATAREQUEST</u> | <u>REMOTESERVICE</u><br><u>REQUEST</u> | EVENT CODE |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|---|---|--|--|------------|
| Insufficient information provided to action Request.                             | Yes                     | Error       | -                                       | -   | Yes                                      | -                                      | 1958       |
| NSRD not past yet (ie allowed timeframe to provide reading has not expired yet). | No                      | Error       | -                                       | -   | Yes                                      | -                                      | 1948       |
| Query has been investigated and no change made to the MDFF Data.                 | Yes                     | Error       | -                                       | -   | Yes                                      | -                                      | 1959       |
| <i>StartReadDate</i> is before the MDP SLP on-<br>line storage requirement.      | No                      | Information | -                                       | Yes                                       | Yes                                      | -                                      | 1960       |
| Requested data is no longer on-line  | No                      | Error       | -                                       | Yes                                       | Yes                                      | -                                      | 1946       |
| No data found  | No                      | Error       | -                                       | Yes                                       | Yes                                      | -                                      | 1931       |
| Recipient is not the MDP for the whole period.                                   | No                      | Information | -                                       | Yes                                       | -  | -                                      | 1963       |

## METER DATA PROCESS – APPLICABLE EVENTS (CONT.)

| BUSINESS EVENT                                       | EXPLANATION<br>REQUIRED | SEVERITY    | <u>METERDATA</u><br>NOTIFICATION | <u>PROVIDEMETER</u><br><u>DATAREQUEST</u> | <u>VERIFYMETER</u><br><u>DATAREQUEST</u> | <u>REMOTESERVICE</u><br><u>REQUEST</u> | EVENT CODE |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|------------|
| Recipient is not responsible for the<br>supplied NMI | Yes                     | Error       | -                                | Yes                                       | Yes                                      | Yes                                    | 1923       |
| Format problem found in MDFF                         | Yes                     | Error       | Yes                              | -   | -  | -                                      | 1925       |
| Required timeframe for updating MSATS has not passed | No                      | Error       | -                                | -   | Yes                                      | -                                      | 1968       |
| Invalid Request                                      | Yes                     | Error       | -                                | -   | Yes                                      | -                                      | 1969       |
| Recipient did not initiate request                   | Yes                     | Error       | Yes                              | -   | -  | -                                      | 206        |
| Request matches an existing Request.                 | Yes                     | Error       | -                                | -   | Yes                                      | -                                      | 1965       |
| No further data available.                           | No                      | Information | -                                | Yes                                       | -  | -                                      | 1966       |

### METER DATA PROCESS – APPLICABLE EVENTS (CONT.)



## Analysis indicates WA does not use the Business Events for Remote Service

Requests/Response Process in the table:

| BUSINESS EVENT   | EXPLANATION<br>REQUIRED | SEVERITY    | <u>METERDATA</u><br><u>NOTIFICATION</u> | PROVIDEMETER<br>DATAREQUEST | <u>VERIFYMETER</u><br><u>DATAREQUEST</u> | <u>REMOTESERVIC</u><br><u>EREQUEST</u> | EVENT CODE |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|------------|
| Participant is not entitled to requested data for date range requested | No                      | Error       | -                                       | Yes                         | Yes                                      | Yes                                    | 1933       |
| New request with previously used <i>RequestID</i> .                    | Yes                     | Error       | -                                       | Yes                         | Yes                                      | Yes                                    | 1913       |
| NMI abolished.   | No                      | Error       | -                                       | Yes                         | Yes                                      | Yes                                    | 1961       |
| No active meters.  | No                      | Error       | -                                       | Yes                         | Yes                                      | Yes                                    | 1962       |
| Accept   | No                      | Information | Yes                                     | Yes                         | Yes                                      | Yes                                    | 0          |
| Data missing. Details provided in Explanation                          | Yes                     | Error       | Yes                                     | Yes                         | Yes                                      | Yes                                    | 201        |
| Invalid data. Details provided in Explanation                          | Yes                     | Error       | Yes                                     | Yes                         | Yes                                      | Yes                                    | 202        |
| No Contract for service  | No                      | Error       | -                                       | -                           | -  | Yes                                    | 2007       |
| Service Not Provided   | No                      | Error       | -                                       | -                           | -  | Yes                                    | 2006       |
| No Comms   | No                      | Error       | -                                       | -                           | -  | Yes                                    | 2009       |

SLIDE 168



#### Analysis indicates that the following WEM Business Event for <u>VerifyMeterDataRequest</u> Business Event is not used in the NEM:

| BUSINESS EVENT                 | EXPLANATION<br>REQUIRED | SEVERITY    |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Agree that NMI is not Sender's | No                      | Information |

An alternative in the NEM is to use 'Participant is not entitled to requested data for date range requested'.

## ONE WAY NOTIFICATION





The B2B Procedure: One Way Notification Process:

- Is published by AEMO in accordance with NER clause 7.17.3. See: <u>http://www.aemo.com.au/Stakeholder-Consultation/Consultations/Power-of-Choice-B2B-consultation-2</u>.
- Details the processes and data requirements concerning the use of One Way Notifications.
- Enables Participants to send information to each using CSV Payload or XML regarding:
  - o Tariff changes
  - Metering changes i.e.., faults, mass meter replacements, completion of meter works
  - o Planned interruptions
  - Issuing a notification of a service order to a notified party.
- Has effect only for the purposes set out in the NER.



Using the One Way Notification process an Initiator can send a single transaction for one or more NMIs (via CSV Payload or XML information) or messages for information purposes.



Analysis indicates WA does not use One Way Notifications.

Assumption: WA will adopt the NEM One Way Notification process.

#### **CSV PAYLOAD NOTIFICATION**



#### CSV Payload transactions include:

| NOTIFICATIO<br>N TYPE                      | DESCRIPTION   | METHOD         | INITIATOR         | RECIPIENT |
|--|---|----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Meter<br>Exchange<br>Notification<br>(MXN) | A transaction to support<br>forward planning of bulk<br>meter rollouts. | CSV<br>Payload | RB or MP<br>or MC | Any       |
| Network Tariff<br>Notification<br>(NTN)    | Informs a retailer about<br>an intent to change<br>network tariffs      | CSV<br>Payload | DB                | RB        |

# ONE WAY NOTIFICATION FIELD – CSV FIELD

#### A successful <u>OneWayNotification</u> CSV payload transaction must:

- Only contain a single CSVNotificationDetail payload.
- Follow the usage, format and definitional rules in the table:

| FIELD                        | FORMAT                    | USE | DEFINITION   |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----|--|
| InitiatorID                  | VarChar(10)               | М   | Participant ID that initiates the OWNP transaction   |
| RecipientID                  | VarChar(10)               | М   | Participant ID to whom the data is being provided.   |
| TransactionGroup<br>Priority | VarChar(25)<br>Enumerated | M   | The OWNP (OneWayNotificationPayload) is provided by<br>the initiating participant. This indicates the type of<br>Business Document.<br>Priority value for One Way Notification is "Low". |
|                              | Value                     |     |  |
| CSVNotificationDetail        | DATA                      | M/N | Contains embedded data for a <u>OneWayNotification</u> .<br>Each transaction can only carry one<br>CSVNotificationDetail payload type.   |

# **METER EXCHANGE NOTIFICATION – MXN**



# Additional information required for a Meter Exchange Notification (MXN) CSV Transaction:

| FIELD             | FORMAT      | USE | DEFINITION  |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|---|
| RECORDINDICATOR   | CHAR(1)     | М   | Indicates the type of record, "I" for information and "D" for data  |
| RECORDNUMBER      | VARCHAR(5)  | М   | Unique incrementing row number for each record  |
| MESSAGENAME       | CHAR(3)     | М   | "MXN".  |
| VERSION           | CHAR(1)     | М   | Identifies the version of the CSVNotificationDetail content. For MXN this is "2".                             |
| NMI               | CHAR(10)    | М   | NMI where the meter exchange is planned to occur.   |
| NMICHECKSUM       | CHAR(1)     | М   | NMI Checksum for the NMI.   |
| METERSERIALNUMBER | VARCHAR(12) | O/N | Not Required if all current meters and devices are being exchanged  |
| NOTBEFOREDATE     | DATE(8)     | 0   | The earliest date provided to the customer for the meter exchange.<br>Format: YYYYMMDD                        |
| NOTAFTERDATE      | DATE(8)     | 0   | The latest date provided to the customer for the meter exchange.<br>Format: YYYYMMDD                          |
| NOTICEDATE        | DATE(8)     | 0   | The date on the notice issued to the customer by the Initiator.<br>Format: YYYYMMDD                           |
| STARTDATE         | DATE(8)     | 0   | The proposed start date of the Meter Exchange by the Initiator.<br>Format YYYYMMDD                            |
| STARTTIME         | VARCHAR(4)  | 0   | The time the Meter Exchange is proposed for that NMI.<br>Format HHMM  |
| ENDDATE           | DATE(8)     | 0   | Used to advise the Recipient that they have a program of works which may go over an extended period. YYYYMMDD |
| DURATION          | VARCHAR(5)  | 0   | The duration of the Meter Exchange for that NMI. HH:MM  |

## NETWORK TARIFF NOTIFICATION - NTN



# Additional information required for a Network Tariff Notification (NTN) CSV Transaction:

| FIELD             | FORMAT        | USE | DEFINITION   |  |
|-------------------|---------------|-----|--|--|
| RECORDINDICATOR   | CHAR(1)       | М   | Indicates the type of record, "I" for information and "D" for data   |  |
| RECORDNUMBER      | CHAR(5)       | М   | Unique incrementing row number for each record   |  |
| MESSAGENAME       | VARCHAR(3)    | М   | NTN  |  |
| VERSION           | CHAR(1)       | М   | For NTN this is"2".  |  |
| NMI               | CHAR(10)      | М   | NMI where the network tariff change is proposed to occur.  |  |
| NMICHECKSUM       | CHAR(1)       | М   | NMI Checksum for the NMI.  |  |
| METERSERIALNUMBER | VARCHAR(12)   | М   | Meter Serial ID  |  |
| NMISUFFIX         | CHAR(2)       | М   | As defined in the National Metering Identifier Procedure E.g. "11", "E1",  |  |
|                   |               |     | "B1".  |  |
| NTPROPOSEDDATE    | DATE(8)       | М   | YYYYMMDD   |  |
| NOTICEENDDATE     | DATE(8)       | R   | The latest date the Initiator can effect a network tariff change.<br>YYYYMMDD  |  |
| PROPOSEDNTC       | VARCHAR(10)   | М   | The new network tariff code being proposed for that<br>NMISUFFIX/Register.   |  |
| REASONFORCHANGE   | VARCHAR(50)   | М   | <ul> <li>The reason for network tariff change. Allowable values:</li> <li>No Change</li> <li>DNSP Review</li> <li>Change of NMI Classification</li> <li>Retailer/MC Meter Roll Out</li> <li>Regulator Review</li> <li>Cust Request</li> <li>Other</li> </ul> |  |
| NOTES             | VARCHAR (240) | M/O | Free text Mandatory when REASONFORCHANGE 'Other' is used.  |  |



One Way Notifications using aseXML:

- Planned Interruption Notification used to inform of a planned interruption to supply at a site.
- Meter Fault and Issue Notification used to send information relating to a meter fault or issue to a Recipient, including:
  - Meter faults and meters that require changes due to the meter not meeting Metrology requirements.
- Notice of Metering Works used to notify the completion of meter works including metering devices exchanged, installed, removed or relocated at a Site.
- Notified Party used for notifications of service order requests and responses to and from Notified Parties.

Assumption: WA currently uses waeXML and will adopt NEM aseXML.

## ASEXML ONE WAY NOTIFICATION TYPES



#### AseXML transactions include:

| NOTIFICATION<br>TYPE                     | DESCRIPTION  | METHOD | INITIATOR         | RECIPIENT |
|--|--|--------|-------------------|-----------|
| Planned<br>Interruption<br>Notification  | Informs a DNSP about planned interruptions on the network                          | XML    | RB or MC          | DB        |
| Meter Fault and<br>Issue<br>Notification | Informs a retailer about a meter fault   | XML    | MP or DB or<br>MC | RB        |
| Notice of<br>Metering Works              | Informs the DNSP about<br>the details of a recently<br>completed metering<br>works | XML    | MP or MC          | DB        |
| Notified Party                           | used to inform Notified<br>parties about the state of<br>a service order process   | XML    | RB or MC          | Any       |



#### Planned Interruption Notification field requirements in aseXML format:

| FIELD          | FORMAT           | USE | DEFINITION  |  |
|----------------|------------------|-----|---|--|
| NMI            | Char(10)         | М   | NMI where the planned interruption to supply is proposed to occur.            |  |
| NMIChecksum    | Char(1)          | М   | NMI Checksum for the NMI.   |  |
| StartDate      | DATE             | М   | The proposed start date of the planned interruption to supply by the Initiato |  |
| StartTime      | TIME             | М   | The time the planned interruption to supply is proposed for that NMI.         |  |
| EndDate        | DATE             | 0   | This can be used where the Initiator wants to advise the Recipient that they  |  |
|                |                  |     | have a program of works which may go over an extended period.                 |  |
| Duration       | VARCHAR(5)       | M   | Format HH:MM  |  |
| ReasonForInter | VARCHAR(50)      | 0   | The reason for planned interruption.  |  |
|                |                  |     | Allowed values:   |  |
|                |                  |     | Meter Exchange - Individual   |  |
|                |                  |     | Meter Exchange - Rollout  |  |
|                |                  |     | Meter Replacement – Family Maintenance  |  |
|                |                  |     | Meter Test  |  |
|                |                  |     | Meter Fault Investigation   |  |
|                |                  |     | Distribution Works  |  |
|                |                  |     | • Other   |  |
| Notes          | VARCHAR<br>(240) | M/O | Free text - Mandatory when ReasonForInter 'Other' is used.                    |  |



#### Meter Fault and Issue Notification field requirements in aseXML format:

| Field             | Format        | Use | Definition   |  |
|-------------------|---------------|-----|--|--|
| NMI               | CHAR(10)      | М   | NMI where the meter fault or issue has occurred.   |  |
| NMIChecksum       | CHAR(1)       | М   | NMI Checksum for the NMI.  |  |
| Date              | DATE          | М   | The date of the meter fault or issue was identified by the Initiator.  |  |
| StartDate         | DATE          | 0   | Can be used by the Initiator to inform the recipient of an intended exchange date if the Initiator is intending to offer an meter exchange service.  |  |
| StartTime         | TIME          | 0   | Can be used by the Initiator to inform the recipient of the intended<br>exchange time window if the Initiator is intending to offer an meter<br>exchange service   |  |
| EndDate           | DATE          | 0   | Can be used by the Initiator to inform the recipient of the end of the proposes exchange window if the Initiator is intending to offer an meter exchange service.  |  |
| Duration          | VARCHAR(5)    | 0   | The duration of the Meter Exchange for that NMI Format HH:MM   |  |
| SupplyOn          | CHAR(1)       | М   | Allowed values: Y or N   |  |
| SupplyOff         | CHAR (40)     | M/N | An indicator to advise what method was used to de-energise the site.<br>Allowed values: Remove Fuse, Remote, Local Meter Disconnection, Pillar-<br>Box Pit Or Pole-Top<br>Mandatory when SUPPLYON value is No  |  |
| MeterSerialNumber | VARCHAR (12)  | 0   | Meter Serial ID. This field repeats to allow the reporting of multiple Meters.   |  |
| ReasonForNotice   | VARCHAR(50)   | М   | Allowed values: Meter Family Failure, Accuracy Failure,<br>Timeswitch/Controlled Load Failure, Contactor Failure, No Display,<br>Communication Failure, Meter Verification, Malfunction, Area Event,<br>Metrology Threshold Breach, Meter Bypassed, Physical Damage,<br>Theft/Tampering, Other |  |
| Notes             | VARCHAR (240) | M/O | Free text. Mandatory when ReasonForNotice Other is used.   |  |
# NOTICE OF METERING WORKS



Notice of Metering Works Notification field requirements in aseXML format:

• Designed to capture information from the field technician about the equipment added/removed on site.

| FIELD                      | FORMAT       | U | DEFINITION   |
|----------------------------|--------------|---|--|
|                            |              | S |  |
|                            |              | E |  |
| NomwID                     | VARCHAR(12)  | Μ | Must be a new (unused) number, unique for the Initiator. |
| NMI                        | CHAR(10)     | Μ | NMI where the metering work has occurred.                |
| NMIChecksum                | CHAR(1)      | М | NMI Checksum for the NMI.                                |
| WorkType                   | VARCHAR(50)  | Μ | Allowed values:  |
|                            |              |   | Exchange Equipment                                       |
|                            |              |   | Install Equipment  |
|                            |              |   | Remove Equipment   |
|                            |              |   | Relocate   |
| FieldWorkDateTime          | DATETIME     | Μ | The date and time of when the field work was completed.  |
| CustomerClassificationCode | VARCHAR(15)  | Μ | Residential or Business                                  |
| EnergisationStatus         | VARCHAR (50) | Μ | Allowed values:  |
|                            |              |   | Active   |
|                            |              |   | Not Connected  |
|                            |              |   | Deenergised Before Meter                                 |
|                            |              |   | Deenergised At Meter                                     |
|                            |              |   | Deenergised After Meter                                  |
|                            |              |   | SLIDE 181  |

# NOTICE OF METERING WORKS (CONT.)



| FIELD                        | FORMAT         | USE | DEFINITION  |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----|---|
| PrimaryVoltage               | VARCHAR(8)     | М   | Allowed values: 230V, 400V, 11KV, 22KV, 33KV, 66KV, 132KV, Other HV   |
| Latitude                     | NUMERIC (s2.7) | R   | Eg -37.8886755  |
| Longitude                    | NUMERIC (s3.7) | R   | Eg +145.1410361   |
| ParticipantID                | VARCHAR(10)    | М   | The Participant ID of the Metering Provider (MPB) the work is performed for.  |
| TotalInstalledMeters         | NUM(2)         | М   | Number of new meters installed at the site.   |
| MeterSerialNumber            | VARCHAR(12)    | M/N | This field repeats if more than one meter has been installed at the completion  |
|                              |                |     | of the field work.  |
| SupplyPhase                  | VARCHAR(20)    | M/N | Allowed values: 1-Phase, 2-Phase, 3-Phase, Other Multi-Phase  |
|                              |                |     | This field repeats for each MeterSerialNumber.  |
| GeneralSupply                | VARCHAR(3)     | M/N | Allowed values: Yes or No. This field repeats for each MeterSerialNumber.   |
| ControlledLoad               | VARCHAR(3)     | M/N | Allowed values: Yes or No. This field repeats for each MeterSerialNumber.   |
| GenerationType               | VARCHAR(5)     | M/N | Allowed values: Net, Gross, None. This field repeats for each MeterSerialNumber.  |
| TotalInstalledNetworkDevices | NUM(2)         | М   | Number of new network devices installed at the site.  |
| NetworkDeviceNumber          | VARCHAR(12)    | M/N | Faceplate serial number of the network device that has been installed.  |
| NetworkDeviceLocation        | VARCHAR(14)    | M/N | Allowed values: Before Meter, After Meter   |
|                              |                |     | This field repeats if more than one network device has been installed at the completion of the field work.                                  |
| ControlEquipmentNumber       | VARCHAR(12)    | R/N | Faceplate serial number of the control equipment. If the control equipment is part of a meter then this should match the MeterSerialNumber. |
| ControlEquipmentType         | VARCHAR(25)    | R/N | Allowed values: Internal Relay, External Relay, Internal Time Switch,<br>External Time Switch.  |

# NOTICE OF METERING WORKS (CONT.)



| FIELD                           | FORMAT       | USE | DEFINITION  |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-----|---|
| ControlChannel                  | VARCHAR(12)  | R/N | Required for each ControlEquipmentNumber provided.  |
| ControlConnectedMeterNumber     | VARCHAR(12)  | R/N | Meter Serial ID of the meter connected to the control equipment.  |
| TransformerNumber               | VARCHAR(12)  | M/N | Faceplate serial number of the instrument transformer that has been installed.                            |
| TransformerType                 | VARCHAR(2)   | M/N | Allowed values: CT, VT  |
| TransformerRatio                | VARCHAR(20)  | M/N | Describes the instrument transformer connected ratio. E.g. 100/10.  |
| TransformerConnectedMeterNumber | VARCHAR(12)  | R   | Meter Serial ID of the meter connected to the instrument transformer.                                     |
| TotalRemovedMeters              | NUM(2)       | R   | Number of existing meters removed from the site.  |
| TotalRemovedOther               | NUM(2)       | R   | Number of existing network or other devices removed from the  |
|                                 |              |     | site.   |
| RemovedEquipmentNumber          | VARCHAR(12)  | M/N | Faceplate serial number of the removed equipment.   |
| RemovedEquipmentType            | VARCHAR(25)  | M/N | Allowed values: Basic Meter, Interval Meter, Network Device,<br>Control Equipment, Instrument Transformer |
|                                 |              |     | Mandatory for each RemovedEquipmentNumber provided.   |
| RemovedRegister                 | VARCHAR(10)  | M/N | Register identifier of the removed basic meter. Register reads to be recorded as displayed in the meter.  |
| RemovedMeterReading             | VARCHAR(15)  | M/N | Register read for the corresponding register. Values must include   |
|                                 |              |     | any leading zeros and trailing zeros as per the physical dial   |
|                                 |              |     | format. Values must be exclusive of meter multipliers.  |
| Notes                           | VARCHAR(240) | 0   | Free text.  |

# NOTIFIED PARTIES – ASEXML FIELD VALUES



#### Notified Parties field requirements in aseXML format:

| FIELD               | FORMAT      | USE | DEFINITION   |  |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|--|--|
|                     |             |     |  |  |
| InitiatorID         | VARCHAR(10) | М   | Initiator's Participant ID of the ServiceOrderRequest.                             |  |
| SORecipientID       | VARCHAR(10) | М   | Recipient's Participant ID of the ServiceOrderRequest.                             |  |
| NMI                 | CHAR(10)    | M   | NMI that the notification relates to.  |  |
| NMIChecksum         | CHAR(1)     | 0   | NMI Checksum for the NMI.  |  |
| ServiceOrderID      | VARCHAR(15) | M   | A defined reference, used for reference and tracking.                              |  |
|                     |             |     | Format must exactly match that used in the ServiceOrderRequest (including leading  |  |
|                     |             |     | or trailing zeros and spaces).   |  |
| ServiceOrderType    | VARCHAR(22) | М   | ServiceOrderType as specified in the ServiceOrderRequest; the list of codes are    |  |
|                     |             |     | specified in the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.                              |  |
| ServiceOrderSubType | VARCHAR(40) | M   | ServiceOrderSubType as specified in the ServiceOrderRequest; the list of codes are |  |
|                     |             |     | specified in the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.                              |  |
| ScheduledDate       | DATE        | M   | ScheduledDate as specified in the ServiceOrderRequest.                             |  |
|                     |             |     |  |  |
| ActualDateAndTime   | DATETIME    | R   | ActualDateAndTime as specified in the ServiceOrderResponse.                        |  |
|                     |             |     |  |  |
| NotificationStatus  | VARCHAR(30) | M   | Allowed values: SO Requested, SO Rejected, SO Completion, Accepted by Notified     |  |
|                     |             |     | Party, Rejection by Notified Party, Notified Party Stopped.                        |  |
|                     |             |     |  |  |
| RefTransaction      | aseXML      | M/N | Copy of the transaction the notification relates to. Depending on the              |  |
|                     |             |     | NotificationStatus of the notification, the contents must be one of the following: |  |
|                     |             |     | ServiceOrderRequest  |  |
|                     |             |     | BusinessAcceptance/Rejection (sent by the Recipient in response to the             |  |
|                     |             |     | ServiceOrderRequest)   |  |
|                     |             |     | ServiceOrderResponse   |  |
|                     |             |     | BusinessAcceptance from Notified Party   |  |
|                     |             |     | BusinessRejection from incorrect Notified Party                                    |  |
|                     |             |     |  |  |

# ACCEPTANCE/REJECTION TRANSACTION DATA



An **acceptance** of a CSV Payload requires an 'Accept' status response sent to the Initiator.

A CSV Payload rejection requires additional information to be provided.

| FIELD       | FORMAT               | USE | DEFINITION   |
|-------------|----------------------|-----|--|
| EventCode   | NUMERIC(4)           | М   | Acceptance or Rejection Code   |
| KeyInfo     | NUMERIC(15)          | O/N | Record number within the NotificationDetail that the event occurred                      |
| Context     | EventContext         | O/N | The data element in the received Business Document that caused the event.                |
| Explanation | Unlimited<br>Varchar | M/O | An explanation of the event. Mandatory where the business event requires an explanation. |

#### An acceptance or rejection for an XML Payload requires:

| FIELD       | FORMAT               | USE | DEFINITION  |
|-------------|----------------------|-----|---|
| EventCode   | NUMERIC(4)           | М   | Acceptance or Rejection Code  |
| KeyInfo     | VARCHAR(15)          | М   | <u>NoticeOfMeteringWorks</u> , the NomwID   |
|             |                      |     | <u>NotifiedParty</u> transaction, the ServiceOrderID  |
|             |                      |     | PlannedInterruptionNotification or MeterFaultandIssueNotification, the NMI                      |
| Context     | EVENT<br>CONTEXT     | 0   | The Data Element in the received Business Document (eg. MeterSerialNumber)                      |
| Explanation | UNLIMITED<br>VARCHAR | M/O | An explanation of the event. Must be provided where the Business Event requires an Explanation. |

# BUSINESS EVENT NOTIFICATION DATA



Business Event responses used for CSV Payload and XML Notifications.

• Multiple EventCodes can be used where applicable.

| BUSINESS EVENT                                       | EXPLANATION<br>REQUIRED | SEVERITY    | CSV<br>PAYLOAD | PLANNED<br>INTERRUPTION<br>NOTIFICATION | METEFAULTA<br>ND ISSUE<br>NOTIFICATION | NOTICEOF<br>METERING<br>WORKS | NOTIFIED<br>PARTY | EVENT<br>CODE |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Accept   | No                      | Information | Y              | Y                                       | Y                                      | Y                             | Y                 | 0             |
| Data Missing.<br>Details provided in<br>explanation  | Yes                     | Error       | Y              | Y                                       | Y                                      | Y                             | Y                 | 201           |
| Invalid Data. Details<br>provided in<br>explanation  | Yes                     | Error       | Y              | Y                                       | Y                                      | Y                             | N                 | 202           |
| Data format is invalid.                              | Yes                     | Error       | Y              | N                                       | N                                      | N                             | N                 | 2003          |
| Recipient not<br>responsible for the<br>supplied NMI | No                      | Error       | N              | Y                                       | Y                                      | Y                             | Y                 | 1923          |
| Invalid Meter<br>Readings –<br>Removed Meter         | Yes                     | Error       | N              | Ν                                       | Ν                                      | Y                             | N                 | 2008          |

# NEM ROLR PROCESS – PART B





NEM RoLR Processes: Part B – B2B Procedure:

- Defines the processes that participants and AEMO must follow to manage market transactions and communicate customer and site information if a RoLR event occurs.
- Is made under section 144 of the National Energy Retail Law (NERL) and allows inclusion of:
  - Any matter regarding the operation or implementation of the RoLR scheme
  - The transfer of a failed retailer's customers to the designated RoLR
  - The acceleration of cancellation of open transactions
  - o Audits and reviews
  - Any matter the Retail Market Procedures (including B2B Procedures) deal with relating to RoLR events.
- Has effect only for the purposes set out in the NERL and NER.

Note, Part A – MSATS Procedure: RoLR Procedures was presented at the October meeting. These procedures are made under NER clause 7.17.



- Under the NERL, a failed Retailer is required to provide customer and site details and information (i.e.., name, billing address, metering identifier) to the designated retailer.
- Key terms include:
  - RoLR event means an event that triggers the operation of the Retailer of Last Resort scheme under the National Energy Retail Law. It includes either:
    - The revocation of a retailer's retail authorisation
    - The retailer's right to acquire electricity from the NEM is suspended
    - The retailer ceases to be a Registered Participant in the NEM.

# NEM OBLIGATIONS AND KEY TERMS (CONT.)



- Under the NERL, a failed Retailer is required to provide customer and site details and information (i.e.., name, billing address, metering identifier) to the designated retailer.
- Key terms include:
  - Failed retailer a retailer (or former retailer) in relation to whom a RoLR event has occurred
  - Default RoLR a retailer appointed and registered as a default RoLR
  - Designated retailer for a small customer's premises means
    - where there is no existing connection—the local area retailer for the relevant geographical area, premises or customer
    - where there is an existing connection (including where a connection alteration to an existing connection is required)—the financially responsible retailer for the premises.

### WA OBLIGATIONS – SOLR



- Part 5 of the Electricity Supply Act 2004 includes the head of power for Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR).
- In 2009, the ERA designated SWIS to be an area that needed a SoLR plan.
- ERA notified Synergy that it was to prepare a SoLR plan for the SWIS.
- As discussed in the October forum:
- Synergy has noted there are insufficient institutional arrangements to support the SoLR scheme.
- PUO are reviewing these arrangements.
- Currently, since WA has not adopted the National Energy Customer Framework (NECF), the WA SoLR arrangements remain the responsibility of the ERA and Synergy.



- As the RMO, AEMO will provide input into the development of the WA SoLR arrangements to:
  - Ensure adequate processes and systems are in place to efficiently transfer NMIs from the 'failed retailer' to the 'new retailer'
  - Cancellation of open transactions.
- For NEM consistency and efficiency reasons, it is assumed that AEMO's existing RoLR processes will be used.
- RoLR field in related NEM systems and transactions will not be changed to SoLR.

# NEM ROLR PROCESSES





# **TECHNICAL DELIVERY SPECIFICATION**





The B2B Procedure: Technical Delivery Specification (Procedure):

- Is published by AEMO in accordance with NER clause 7.17.3.
- Specifies the technical requirements for the delivery of B2B Transactions using the e-Hub.

Participants must ensure that all B2B Interactions comply with the requirements for the aseXML protocol as defined in the aseXML Guidelines, see: <a href="http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/National-Electricity-Market-NEM/IT-systems-and-change/aseXML\_standards/aseXML-Guidelines">http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/National-Electricity-Market-NEM/IT-systems-and-change/aseXML\_standards/aseXML-Guidelines</a>

#### aseXML Acknowledgements:

- For each Message received an <u>ase:MessageAcknowledgement</u> must be generated.
- For each Transaction an <u>ase:TransactionAcknowledgement</u> is generated for every Business Document that has passed validations.



#### The following 2 slides outline the Business Document to aseXML mapping:

| PROCESS<br>AREA | BUSINESS DOCUMENT           | aseXML<br>TRANSACTION                                 | TRANSACTION<br>GROUP | DESCRIPTION  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| Meter Data      | MeterDataNotification       | ase:MeterDataNotification                             | MTRD                 | Meter Readings (includes<br>CSV component in a valid<br>MDFF). |
|                 | ProvideMeterDataRequest     | ase:MeterDataMissingNotification                      | MTRD                 | Request for meter data   |
|                 | VerifyMeterDataRequest      | ase:MeterDataVerifyRequest                            | MTRD                 | Request for meter data to be verified                          |
|                 | RemoteServiceRequest        | ase:RemoteServiceRequest                              | MRSR                 | Remote Meter service<br>request                                |
|                 | RemoteServiceResponse       | ase:RemoteServiceResponse                             | MRSR                 | Response to remote<br>meter service request                    |
| Service Orders  | ServiceOrderRequest         | ase:ServiceOrderRequest                               | SORD                 | Service Order Request  |
|                 | ServiceOrderResponse        | ase:ServiceOrderResponse                              | SORD                 | Service Order Response   |
| Customer Data   | CustomerDetailsNotification | ase:CustomerDetailsNotification                       | CUST                 | Customer Details<br>Notification                               |
|                 | CustomerDetailsRequest      | ase:CustomerDetailsRequest                            | CUST                 | Request for a customer details notification                    |
|                 | SiteAccessRequest           | ase:SiteAccessRequest                                 | SITE                 | Request for a site access details notification                 |
|                 | SiteAccessNotification      | ase:AmendMeterRouteDetails/Am<br>endSiteAccessDetails | SITE                 | Updated site access details notification                       |

# aseXML TRANSACTIONS



| PROCESS<br>AREA         | BUSINESS DOCUMENT                   | aseXML<br>TRANSACTION                   | TRANSACTION<br>GROUP | DESCRIPTION  |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| One Way<br>Notification | <u>OneWayNotification</u>           | ase:CSVNotificationDetail               | OWNP                 | The payload for the One<br>Way Notification<br>Process.    |
|                         | PlannedInterruptionNotifica<br>tion | ase:PlannedInterruptionNotifi<br>cation | OWNX                 | Notification of a planned interruption                     |
|                         | MeterFaultandIssueNoificat<br>ion   | ase:MeterFaultandIssueNotifi<br>cation  | OWNX                 | Notification of a meter fault or issue                     |
|                         | NoticeOfMeteringWorks               | ase:NoticeOfMeteringWorks               | OWNX                 | Notice of metering works                                   |
|                         | <u>NotifiedParty</u>                | ase:NotifiedPartyNotification           | NPNX                 | Used to facilitate<br>interaction with Notified<br>Parties |

Analysis shows that WA does not use One Way Notification transactions.

Assumption: WA adopts the NEM One Way Notification transaction process.



An aseXML Message may contain one or more aseXML transactions. Where there are more than one transaction a Participant must:

- Use reasonable endeavours to bundle Transactions to support efficient Message handling.
- Ensure that only Transactions of the same Transaction Group are included in the same Message.
- Use reasonable endeavours to ensure that only Transactions of the same Transaction Priority are included in the same Message.

Analysis shows that WA follows the same principles for multiple transactions.

# FIELD FORMAT



Analysis indicates that WA and NEM use the same field format conventions for B2B Procedures, which follow Australian Standard AS4590-1999, except for the variances shown in the table.

| FIELD NAME        | WEM   | NEM   |
|-------------------|---|---|
| HouseNumber       | The combination of House Number and<br>House<br>Number Suffix may occur only once       | The combination of House<br>Number and House<br>Number Suffix may occur up to<br>two times        |
| HouseNumberSuffix | The combination of House Number and<br>House<br>Number Suffix may occur only once       | The combination of House<br>Number and House<br>Number Suffix may occur up to<br>two times        |
| Location Number   | Location Number related to survey details in WA.  | Not used  |
| StreetName        | The combination of Street Name, Street Type<br>and<br>Street Suffix may occur only once | The combination of Street Name,<br>Street Type and<br>Street Suffix may occur up to two<br>times. |

Further clarification is required from WP to determine whether these variances are material.



#### B2B Messages and transactions between protocols:

| MESSAGE                        | FTP TERM   | WEBSERVICE TERM   | DESCRIPTION   |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Hub Acknowledgement            | .ac1   | HTTP Response with Hub<br>MessageAcknowledgement<br>payload<br>MACK (positive/negative) | Hub response on receipt of a<br>message to signify the successful<br>transfer of a B2B Transaction to the<br>intended Recipient |
| Message<br>Acknowledgement     | .ack<br>MsgAck (positive)<br>MsgNack<br>(negative) | HTTP Response with<br>MessageAckowledgement<br>payload<br>MACK (positive/negative)      | Recipient/Notified Party<br>acknowledges receipt of the<br>message from the Initiator/e-Hub.                                    |
| Transaction<br>Acknowledgement | TranAck  | TACK (positive/negative)  | Recipient/Notified Party provides a business/logical acceptance or rejection of the contents of the transaction.                |

Analysis shows that WA does not currently use Hub Acknowledgement .ac1.

Assumption: WA will use Hub Acknowledgment protocol .ac1.



#### B2B Messages and transactions between protocols:

| MESSAGE                        | FTP TERM   | WEBSERVICE TERM   | DESCRIPTION   |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Hub Acknowledgement            | .ac1   | HTTP Response with Hub<br>MessageAcknowledgement<br>payload<br>MACK (positive/negative) | Hub response on receipt of a<br>message to signify the successful<br>transfer of a B2B Transaction to the<br>intended Recipient |
| Message<br>Acknowledgement     | .ack<br>MsgAck (positive)<br>MsgNack<br>(negative) | HTTP Response with<br>MessageAckowledgement<br>payload<br>MACK (positive/negative)      | Recipient/Notified Party<br>acknowledges receipt of the<br>message from the Initiator/e-Hub.                                    |
| Transaction<br>Acknowledgement | TranAck  | TACK (positive/negative)  | Recipient/Notified Party provides a<br>business/logical acceptance or<br>rejection of the contents of the<br>transaction.       |

Analysis shows that WA does not currently use Hub Acknowledgement .ac1.

Assumption: WA will use Hub Acknowledgment protocol .ac1.

# TIMING REQUIREMENTS



# Timing requirements for the delivery of aseXML Transactions and Acknowledgements via the National B2B Infrastructure are summarised in the table.

| CYCLE                       | LOW<br>PRIORITY                      | MEDIUM<br>PRIORITY                   | HIGH<br>PRIORITY | RESPONSIBLE<br>PARTY | DETAILS  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|
| Hub<br>Transmission<br>Time | 30<br>minutes                        | 15<br>minutes                        | 5<br>minutes     | AEMO                 | Time from a Participant placing a file in<br>their Inbox to the Handler moving the file<br>to the other Participant's Outbox |
| MsgAck Cycle<br>Time        | 240<br>minutes                       | 60<br>minutes                        | 30<br>minutes    | Participant          | For a valid Message, the MsgAck Cycle<br>Time includes two Hub Transmission<br>Times   |
| TranAck Cycle<br>Time       | By end of<br>next<br>business<br>day | By end of<br>next<br>business<br>day | 60<br>minutes    | Participant          | For a valid Message, the TranAck Cycle<br>Time includes two Hub Transmission<br>Times  |

Analysis shows that WA does not use Hub capabilities.

Assumption: WA will use e-Hub.

# TIMING REQUIREMENTS



Timing requirements for the delivery of Webservices are summarised below:

| CYCLE                    | LOW PRIORITY                | MEDIUM<br>PRIORITY          | HIGH<br>PRIORITY | RESPONSIBLE<br>PARTY |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Hub Transmission<br>Time | 5 seconds                   | 5 seconds                   | 5 seconds        | AEMO                 |
| MsgAck Cycle Time        | 10 seconds                  | 10 seconds                  | 10 seconds       | Participant          |
| TranAck Cycle Time       | By end of next business day | By end of next business day | 60 minutes       | Participant          |

Analysis shows that WA does not currently use Hub capabilities.

Assumption: WA will use e-Hub.

# TRANSACTION LOGGING



e-Hub provides a complete audit trail of the delivery and Acknowledgement of a B2B Message/Message Acknowledgement cycle.

The following information is stored:

| DATA                             | SOURCE  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| User identification fields       | FROM and TO in aseXML header  |  |  |
| Timing fields                    | Date Time Created / Date Time Acknowledged  |  |  |
| Incoming Message ID              | XML Header  |  |  |
| Hub Ack Receipt ID               | unique B2B receipt ID – this is only for .ac1 or negative .ack files generated by B2B Handler |  |  |
| Ongoing Receipt ID               | Extracted from Recipient Acknowledgement  |  |  |
| Transaction Group                | XML header  |  |  |
| Date/time of delivery of Message | .ac1 ase:MessageDate  |  |  |
| Message priority                 | FTP: File name / Webservices: XML header  |  |  |

Analysis shows that WA does not currently maintain a logging process. Assumption: WA will use e-Hub.

# NEXT STEPS



# NEXT STEPS



- AEMO expects to undertake a further review of the B2B Procedures once the WA policy direction is certain, including: identifying procedure changes required to incorporate WA, IT business requirements and market readiness activities
- Next forum date to be determined

### QUESTIONS





For further questions and feedback: WAMRPRetail@aemo.com.au

SLIDE 207