

Summary:

- Project status remains amber in June 2017:
 - Status of "at risk" of achieving project deliverables on schedule.
 - Progress remains at approximately **30%**.
 - Risk rating increased to "High".
- Participant categories:
 - Retailer and Distributors are mainly reporting amber ("at risk") with a medium or high risk rating.
 - Meter Providers, Meter Data Providers and prospective Metering Coordinators mainly reporting amber or red ("not within schedule") with a medium or high risk rating.
 - Prospective Embedded Network Managers mainly reporting green or amber, with a low or medium risk rating.
 - AEMO overall reporting green ("within schedule") with medium risk rating.

JUNE 2017 READINESS REPORT



Highlighted risks:

- Amber progress status relates primarily to constrained timelines for delivery:
 - Compressed timeframes for system design, build and testing.
 - Compressed timeframes for registration and accreditation including e-hub accreditation.
 - Compressed timeframes for transition and cutover planning.
 - Number and complexity of commercial arrangements to be updated.
- Issues contributing to the High risk rating include:
 - Uncertainties in operation of business processes (e.g. meter churn), distribution business' faults and emergencies processes, Victorian OIC.
 - Uncertainties in transition and cutover activities and the timing of cutover.
 - Lack of clarity on safety regulations.
 - Number and level of maturity of competitive metering providers, Metering Coordinators and Embedded Network Managers.
- Mitigating actions include:
 - AEMO progressing industry test planning, with detailed Market Trial planning ongoing.
 - The Industry Transition and Cutover Focus Group is meeting regularly and progressing planning on schedule.
 - AEMO is continuing to reach out to industry participants to engage in the POC program.
 - Participants encouraged to commence registration and accreditation activities.
 - AEMO is chairing a PCF Industry Risk Meeting on 22 June 2017



Number of submissions:

- 40 reports received in total representing the following participant roles (increased from 35 in May):
 - 19 retailers (increased from 18)
 - 13 distribution businesses (includes initial MC, MP and MDP)
 - **1** 8 metering companies (MPD, MP) (increased from 5)
 - 6 8 metering coordinators (MC) (increased from 5)
 - 4 embedded network manager (ENM) (increased from 2)
- Approximately 17 retailers are not yet taking part in industry reporting

Note that organisations can nominate for multiple roles and participants can submit combined reports (*i.e.* one report for two distribution businesses)

		AEMO Power of Choice Implementation Program Monthly Readiness Report	Mon	day, 5 June 2017			AFMO	
		Commentary The overall project status remains amber - "at risk" of delivery by primarily due to challenging program timeframes. Participants har challenging timeframes for system build and testing, registration a activities, and the number and complexity of commercial arranger into. Highlighted risk areas include uncertainty associated with the Government deferral decision, faults and emergency processes, r jurisdiction safety regulations and transition approach. The overa has been increased to High, with a number of participants noting timeframes have no tolerance for delays or requirement changes.	High Med Low # ve highlighted and accreditation ments to be entered e Victorian meter churn, Il project risk rating that their 4 3 3 3		Low #			
	AEMO :	and NEM Participants Readiness Criteria	AEMO	Retailers	Distributors	MP and MDP	Metering Embedded Networks (ENM, Third party e ENO)	
		Criterion	Status Note	Status Note	Status Note	Status Note	Status Note Status Note Status Note	
1. As		on Consequences of the POC reforms for your business considered, and appropriate implementation plans are in place		•		#1	↓ #1	
.2	preparation	Key readiness planning documents delivered						
. Le	gal, regulatory, contract	tual and policy						
2.1		Updated retail electricity market procedures and associated documents published						
2.2	Legal, regulatory,	Internal policies updated for consistency with regulatory changes including jurisdictional regulations and requirements (e.g. safety)						
2.3	contractual and policy	Operationally critical commercial contracts in place		#2		#2	#2 #2	
2.4		Accreditation and registration activities completed				#3	#3	
.1	People	Operational roles specified, assigned to staff, and training delivered						
. Bu .1	siness processes	Updated, validated and approved business processes in place	#4	#5				
.2	Business processes	Successfully validated critical business processes during industry testing	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		
.3		Process work-arounds in place for any issues identified during industry testing	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	
. Ma	arket systems							
.1		Delivery of the re-developed B2B e-hub for industry testing						
.2	Market systems	Successfully tested market systems capable of being moved into production		#6	#6	#6	#6	
5.3 6. Tra		Performance of, and communication between, market systems validated during industry testing	(#7	#7	#7	#7	
6.1		Transition and cutover plan(s) in place			#8	#8	#8	
5.2		Prerequisite transition and cutover processes completed (e.g. trial data conversions and cutover dress rehearsals)	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	#8	#8	
Jurisdictional Status								
Jurisdiction		Comments	AEMO	Retailers	Distributors	MP and MDP	Metering Coordinator ENO) ENO	
	ACT	Jurisdictional risk is a reflection of overall project risk.	Status Risk	Status Risk	Status Risk	Status Risk	Status Risk Status Risk Status Ris	
	NSW	Jurisdictional risk is a reflection of overall project risk.						
	QLD	Jurisdictional risk is a reflection of overall project risk.						
	SA	Jurisdictional risk is a reflection of overall project risk.						

TAS	Jurisdictional risk is a reflection of overall project risk.							
VIC	Jurisdictional risk is a reflection of overall project risk.							

AEMO Power of Choice Implementation Program Monthly Readiness Report



Monday, 5 June 2017

Variances								
Note #	Explanation of Variance	Mitigating Ac	tions					
_	Variance reported by Participants: Development of detailed Implementation plans on schedule at risk (1) due to requirement to modify plans due to changes in systems development approach (due to aggressive timelines, system procurement issues), and (2) need to iterate plans when consultation outcomes (meter churn – package 3), VIC derogation extension details, faults and emergency processes and transition plans are finalised.	Participants are increasing internal resourc contingency plans to get back on schedule. Victorian government OIC. [AEMO notes that Package 3 consultation h http://www.aemo.com.au/Stakeholder-Cons	es and are developing Participants are awaiting the nas commenced: sultation/Consultations/Power-Of-					
1	Variance reported by Participants: Updating commercial arrangements on schedule is at risk due to volume and complexity of contracts that need to be entered into with industry parties.	Participants are increasing internal resourc	es.					
2	Variance reported by Participants: Fulfilling registration and accreditation activities on schedule is at risk due compressed timeframes for all registration and accreditation activities.	Participants are increasing internal resourc with AEMO. [AEMO encourages early engagement with accreditation requirements - see POC Indu Plan (http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/N NEM/Power-of-Choice/Readiness-Work-St	AEMO on registration and stry Accreditation & Registration ational-Electricity-Market-					
4	Variance report by AEMO: The POC platform service and support hub transition are being undertaken within a short timeframe.	Additional resources are being deployed to	address the gap.					
<u> </u>	Variance reported by Participants: Progress impacted by uncertainty in a number of areas including meter churn, faults and emergencies, jurisdictional requirements. Progress has been impacted by the Victorian Government deferral decision.	Participants are increasing internal resourc impacts under Package 3 and awaiting the						
5	Variance reported by Participants: System development at risk due to the complexity and number of changes required within tight timeframes for development and testing.	Participants are increasing internal resources and working closely with market system vendors. Participants are increasing internal resources and working closely with market system vendors.						
6	Variance reported by Participants: Testing timelines are contingent on system design and build.							
8	Variance reported by Participants: Increasing risk reported on transition and cutover planning and implications - timing of cutover, number of inflight transactions, scope of changes required.	Participants are engaging with the ITCFG. [http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/National-Electricity-Market-NEM/Power of-Choice/Readiness-Work-Stream/Industry-Transition-Cutover-Focus- Group]						
1 The co	Notes							
schedule	ount of open Risk and Issues is based on the POC Industry Risk R d on 22/6/2017. nation of Variance and Mitigating Actions above are based on Part [].	-						
	Key - Progress and Status							
		tatus	Key - Risk Rating					

Harvey balls represent progress towards achieving the criterion			towards	Colour indicates the readiness sta	Rating		
~0%	\bigcirc	~50%		Criterion is within agreed schedule and deliverable requirements		High	
~10%		~60%		riterion is at risk of not meeting equirements and corrective action may be equired		Medium	
~20%		~70%		Criterion not meeting requirements. Immediate corrective action required		Low	
~30%		~80%				See POC Inde Register for o issues:	•
~40%		~90%		Criterion has been achieved	\checkmark	POC Industry	<u>Register</u>