INITIAL CONSULTATION – PARTICIPANT RESPONSE PACK

MSATS PROCEDURES:

Consumer Administration and Transfer Solution (CATS) Procedure Principles and Obligations Version 4.0

Procedure for the Management of Wholesale, Interconnector, Generator and Sample (WIGS) NMIs Version 4.0

Participant: AGL

Completion Date: 23/12/2013

1. Participant Responses

- *Section A covers the proposed changes to the CATS Procedure Version 3.8*
- *Section B covers the proposed changes to the WIGS Procedure Version 3.8*

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

A. Proposed Changes to the CATS and WIGS Procedures

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[1])	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		
4.1.1	003	AGL supports the change on the basis AEMO has advised this change is purely a procedural change and will not result in any changes to settlements system or reconciliation processes as a result of removing the reference to these codes in the MSATS Procedures		L	
4.1.4	005	AGL questions the purpose of this change as other Description of Data items do not advise Refer to 'Standing data for MSATS' document for Further details' and all other items provide a description of the MSATS name.	AGL recommends the following Change of words which is a component of the description provided in the Standing Data for MSATS Document 'Indicates the type of data that the data stream will report includes interval and basic. Refer to 'Standing data for MSATS' document for Further details.'	L	

---

[1] L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[1])	AEMO Response
4.1.5	007	AGL supports the change on the basis AEMO has advised this change is purely a procedural change and it will not result in any changes to systems or processes, the purpose of this change is to align the MSATS procedures with existing MSATS validation and functionality		**L**	
4.1.6	007	AGL supports the change on the basis AEMO has advised this change is purely a procedural change and it will not result in any changes to systems or processes, the purpose of this change is to align the MSATS procedures with existing MSATS validation and functionality		**L**	
4.1.7	007	AGL supports the change on the basis AEMO has advised this change is purely a procedural change and it will not result in any changes to systems or processes, the purpose of this change is to align the MSATS procedures with existing MSATS validation and functionality		**L**	
4.1.8	007	AGL supports the change on the basis AEMO has advised this change is purely a procedural change and it will not result in any changes to systems or processes, the purpose of this change is to align the MSATS procedures with existing MSATS validation and functionality		**L**	

B. Proposed Changes to the WIGS Procedure

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L[2])	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		
4.2.1	003	AGL supports the change on the basis AEMO has advised this change is purely a procedural change only and will not result in any changes to settlements system or reconciliation processes as a result of removing the reference to these codes in the MSATS Procedures		L	
4.2.2	005	If this change is required AGL recommends AEMO also updates the front section of the WIGS under' How to use this document' which also makes references to Chapter 42 and change it to Chapter 44	The WIGS Procedures must be read in conjunction with the *MSATS Procedures: CATS Procedures Part 1 Principles and Obligations*. Details on general obligations by role, CATS functionality and transaction type, CATS codes and rules for a change request and Access to CATS Standing data are explained in Chapters 1 – 5 & 42 44 of the *MSATS Procedures: CATS Procedures Principles and Obligations*. However specific obligations by roles are detailed in chapters within this document.	L	

---

[2] L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.