



# B2B Procedures Version 2.2

## Initial Consultation Participant Response Pack

*Participant: Origin Energy*

*Completion Date: 26 August 2014*

## 9. Participant Responses

This section lists the changes proposed to the B2B Procedures: Version 2.0.

Proposed changes have been categorised as Procedure changes as follows;

- Table 9.1 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process.
- Table 9.2 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.
- Table 9.3 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Meter Data Process.
- Table 9.4 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.
- Table 9.5 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures.
- Table 9.6 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification.

**9.1 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process**

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L <sup>1</sup> )	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p><del>Red-strikeout</del> means delete</p>		
		<u>no comment</u>			

<sup>1</sup> L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions  
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.  
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

**9.2 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process**

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L <sup>2</sup> )	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p><del>Red-strikeout</del> means delete</p>		
		<u>no comment</u>			

<sup>2</sup> L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions  
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.  
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

**9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process**


Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L <sup>3</sup> )	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p><del>Red-strikeout</del> means delete</p>		
9.3.4	721	Clause (a) includes subset procedures (a), (b), (c), change to (i),(ii),(iii).	<p>a. <u>A Participant must not issue a ProvideMeterDataRequest relating to a scheduled reading event prior to the completion of:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>a-</del> <u>(i) Four Business Days following the read event for type 1, 2, 3 and 4 Metering Installations;</u></li> <li><del>b-</del> <u>(ii) Six Business Days following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations; and</u></li> <li><del>c-</del> <u>(ii) The seventh Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations.</u></li> </ul>	L	
9.3.4	721	3.23 a (b)	<u>Four Business Days following the read event for type 5 (VIC AMI) metering</u>	H	

**Commented [A1]:** Section added to reflect the data collection, processing and delivery timeframes the MDP is required to meet

<sup>3</sup> L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions  
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.  
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L <sup>3</sup> )	AEMO Response
		<p>Participants should not be limited to 6 days following the NSRD for Type 5 (VIC AMI) meters.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Industry discussion to be held with the DSDBI on type 5 (Vic AMI) meters as timing requirements is not consistent with Government Policy for delivery of daily read meters</li> <li>2. In the event that AMI data is not delivered on a daily basis, the participant is not able to adequately reconcile or request forward estimate data for the Energy Settlement.</li> <li>3. To fulfil the AMI rollout intent of providing timely visibility to customer of load profile for making informed choices on energy efficiency, Billing spend.</li> <li>4. Daily data is required to validate and pay Network monthly charges related to AMI metered sites.</li> </ol>	installation		
9.3.4	721	<p>For Local Retailers to be fully compliant with timings in 3.2.3a (a),(b), the 5070/71 transaction needs to be provided to LR's – which currently is not available. This enables to LR to see any changes to the NSRD and have visibility of missing data to enable a PMD to be raised. An ICF has been submitted to the BMRG (August 14) for review.</p>		H	



**9.4 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process**

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L <sup>4</sup> )	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p><del>Red-strikeout</del> means delete</p>		
		<u>no comment</u>			

<sup>4</sup> L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions  
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.  
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

**9.5 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures**

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L <sup>5</sup> )	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p><del>Red-strikeout</del> means delete</p>		
		<u>no comment</u>			

<sup>5</sup> L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions  
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.  
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

**9.6 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification**

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L <sup>6</sup> )	AEMO Response
			<p><u>Blue underline</u> means insert</p> <p><del>Red-strikeout</del> means delete</p>		
		<u>no comment</u>			

<sup>6</sup> L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions  
M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.  
H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.