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## IMPORTANT NOTICE

## Purpose

AEMO has prepared this document under section 4.16 of the Wholesale Electricity Market Rules to provide information about the proposed value of the 2018 Benchmark Reserve Capacity Price for the 2020-21 Capacity Year, as at the date of publication.

## Disclaimer

This document or the information in it may be subsequently updated or amended. This document does not constitute legal or business advice, and should not be relied on as a substitute for obtaining detailed advice about the Wholesale Electricity Market Rules, or any other applicable laws, procedures or policies. AEMO has made every effort to ensure the quality of the information in this document but cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness.

Accordingly, to the maximum extent permitted by law, AEMO and its officers, employees and consultants involved in the preparation of this document:

- make no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the currency, accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information in this document; and
- are not liable (whether by reason of negligence or otherwise) for any statements or representations in this document, or any omissions from it, or for any use or reliance on the information in it.
© 2017 Australian Energy Market Operator Limited. The material in this publication may be used in accordance with the copyright permissions on AEMO's website.


## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Each year, the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) is required to determine the
Benchmark Reserve Capacity Price (BRCP) in accordance with the Market Procedure: Maximum Reserve Capacity Price (Market Procedure) ${ }^{1}$ for the Western Australian Wholesale Electricity Market (WEM).
The BRCP is used in the calculation of the maximum price that may be offered in a Reserve Capacity Auction, or as an input in the determination of the administered Reserve Capacity Price if an auction is not required. It aims to establish the marginal cost of providing one additional megawatt (MW) of Reserve Capacity in the relevant Capacity Year.
This report presents the outcome of the draft determination of the BRCP for the 2018 Reserve Capacity Cycle. The 2018 BRCP applies for the 2020-21 Capacity Year, covering the period from 8:00 Am on 1 October 2020 to 8:00 Am on 1 October 2021.

The BRCP is calculated by undertaking a technical, bottom-up cost evaluation of the entry of a new 160 MW open cycle gas turbine (OCGT) generation facility in the South West interconnected system (SWIS) in the relevant Capacity Year. The broad methodology applied to determine the BRCP has not changed since the last five-yearly review completed in 2011, and includes the following costs:

- Building a 160 MW OCGT power station with inlet cooling.
- Acquiring land to develop and construct the power station.
- Connecting the power station to the transmission system.
- Building liquid fuel storage and handling facilities sufficient for the power station to operate for 14 hours at full capacity.
- Fixed operating and maintenance (O\&M) costs associated with the power station and transmission facilities.
- A margin (Margin M) for legal, financing, insurance, approvals, other costs, and contingencies.
- The weighted average cost of capital (WACC).

The complete methodology used to determine the BRCP is outlined in the Market Procedure.

## Proposed value of the 2018 BRCP for the 2020-21 Capacity Year

AEMO proposes a value of \$154,500 per MW per year for the 2018 BRCP, 3.1\% higher than the 2017 BRCP of $\$ 149,800$ per MW per year.
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## Changes from the 2017 BRCP

Table 1 shows the year-on-year variation in the input parameters between the 2017 BRCP (for the 2019-20 Capacity Year) and the 2018 BRCP.

Table 1 Breakdown of variance between 2017 and 2018 BRCP

|  | Impact (\$) | Impact (\%) | BRCP (AU\$) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2017 BRCP |  |  | $\mathbf{1 4 9 , 8 0 0}$ |
| Escalation factors | 4,200 | $2.8 \%$ | 154,000 |
| Power station cost | 200 | $0.1 \%$ | 154,200 |
| Margin M | -100 | $-0.1 \%$ | 154,100 |
| Fixed fuel cost | 100 | $0.1 \%$ | 154,200 |
| Land cost | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 154,200 |
| Transmission cost | -100 | $-0.1 \%$ | 154,100 |
| WACC | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 154,100 |
| Fixed O\&M | 400 | $0.3 \%$ | 154,500 |
| 2018 BRCP | $\mathbf{4 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4 , 5 0 0}$ |

The 2018 BRCP remains broadly consistent with the 2017 BRCP. However, higher escalation factors (largely due to higher commodity price, inflation, and labour cost forecasts) have increased the 2018 BRCP by $2.8 \%$ compared to the 2017 BRCP.

## Invitation for submission

AEMO invites submissions on the proposed 2018 BRCP and the supporting information by 5:00 PM (Western Standard Time) on 30 November 2017. More details on the required submission format are provided in Chapter 5.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Benchmark Reserve Capacity Price (BRCP) is used in the calculation of the maximum price that may be offered in a Reserve Capacity Auction. A Market Participant may offer up to $110 \%$ of the BRCP when submitting their Reserve Capacity Offer into the Reserve Capacity Auction. If an auction is not required, the BRCP is used as an input in the determination of the administered Reserve Capacity Price. The BRCP aims to establish the marginal cost of providing one additional megawatt (MW) of Reserve Capacity in the relevant Capacity Year.

This report presents the components and outcome of the BRCP draft determination for the 2018 Reserve Capacity Cycle, which applies to the 2020-21 Capacity Year. Following the public consultation process, AEMO must consider submissions before submitting a final 2018 BRCP to the Economic Regulation Authority (ERA) for approval in accordance with clause 4.16.7 of the Wholesale Electricity Market (WEM) Rules.

### 1.1 Overview of input parameters

The BRCP is calculated by undertaking a technical, bottom-up cost evaluation of the entry of a new 160 MW open cycle gas turbine (OCGT) generation facility in the South West interconnected system (SWIS) during the relevant Capacity Year. The broad methodology and fixed input parameters used to determine the BRCP have not changed since 2011 due to the deferral of the five yearly review of the Market Procedure: Maximum Reserve Capacity Price (Market Procedure). ${ }^{3}$
In determining the 2018 BRCP, AEMO used publicly available information including advice from independent consultants, Western Power, and the Western Australian Land Information Authority (Landgate).
The organisations and the input parameters they provided are shown in Table 2.

## Table 2 Consultants and agencies

| Organisation | Cost estimates provided |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Power station capital costs and relevant escalation factors <br> Margin for legal, approval, financing, insurance, other costs, and contingencies <br> Fixed fuel costs <br> Generation O\&M costs and relevant escalation factors <br> Switchyard O\&M costs and relevant escalation factors |
|  | Transmission line O\&M costs and relevant escalation factors |, | Land costs |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Landgate | Debt risk premium (DRP) |
| PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) | Transmission connection costs and relevant escalation factors |
| Western Power |  |

Throughout this report, cost and price estimates are expressed in Australian dollars, unless otherwise specified.
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### 1.3 Supporting documentation

The following related documents are available on AEMO's website: ${ }^{4}$

- 2018 BRCP calculation spreadsheet, draft report version.
- GHD report, 2018 Benchmark Reserve Capacity Price for the South West Interconnected System (November 2017).
- PwC memo, Determining the debt risk premium using the ERA's 'Bond Yield Approach' (6 October 2017).
- Landgate report, Land values for the 2018 Benchmark Reserve Capacity Price (6 September 2017).
- Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) parameter calculation spreadsheet for draft report.
- Western Power report, Total Transmission Cost Estimate for the Benchmark Reserve Capacity Price for 2020/21 (12 October 2017).
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## 2. 2018 BRCP INPUT PARAMETERS

### 2.1 Escalation factors

The 2018 BRCP calculation is based on a theoretical power station that would commence operation on 1 October 2020. Costs have been determined as at 2017 and have been escalated to 2020.
Different escalation factors are used depending on the parameter to be escalated. This is summarised in Table 3.

Table 3 Cost escalation forecast
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l}\hline \text { Escalation factor } & \text { Component costs applied to } & \text { Source and methodology } \\
\hline \text { Power station capital cost } & \text { Power station capital cost } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The methodology is derived by GHD and } \\
\text { summarised in their report. The determination } \\
\text { involves sourcing information from the Australian } \\
\text { Bureau of Statistics, London Metal Exchange, } \\
\text { Reserve Bank of Australia, and the CME Group. }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Generation O\&M cost } & \text { Generation O\&M cost } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Switchyard O\&M cost } \\
\text { Transmission line O\&M cost }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Connection asset O\&M cost } & \begin{array}{l}\text { A general measure of price inflation for all Australian } \\
\text { households is forecast by the Reserve Bank of }\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline Australia (RBA). Where a forecast range is\end{array}\right\}\)| provided, the mid-point is applied. For the first year |
| :--- |
| outside of the RBA's forecast horizon, the average |
| of the previous year's forecast and the mid-point of |
| the RBA's target for inflation is used. For all periods |
| beyond, the mid-point of the RBA's target for |
| inflation is used. |$|$| Asset insurance O\&M cost |
| :--- |
| Fixed network access and ongoing |
| O\&M charges |
| Fixed fuel cost |
| Land cost |

The escalation factors applied to the 2018 BRCP are listed in Table 4.
Table 4 Escalation factors by financial year

| Escalation factor | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power station capital cost | $2.70 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ | $1.80 \%$ | $3.80 \%$ |  |
| Generation O\&M cost | $2.70 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ | $1.80 \%$ |  | $3.80 \%$ |
| Connection asset O\&M cost | $1.42 \%$ | $1.71 \%$ | $1.85 \%$ | $1.88 \%$ |  |
| CPI | $2.25 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ |  | $2.50 \%$ |
| Transmission connection cost | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |  |

Escalation factors have generally increased from the 2017 BRCP, except for the connection asset O\&M cost which is consistent with last year. Higher copper and steel price forecasts have contributed to slightly higher power station capital cost and generation O\&M cost escalation factors this year. Slow growth in labour costs associated with subdued activity in the resources and energy sector continues to maintain relatively low connection asset O\&M escalation factors.

### 2.2 Capital costs

### 2.2.1 Power station capital cost (PC)

GHD used the Siemens SGT5-2000E (33MAC) $175.6 \mathrm{MW}^{5}$ OCGT as the reference equipment to determine the power station capital cost component of the 2018 BRCP, consistent with the 2017 BRCP. The unit is considered to be the most appropriate machine available to meet the criteria for the BRCP calculation. ${ }^{6}$ GHD used version 26.1 of Thermoflow's GTPro $^{7}$ model to evaluate the plant equipment, engineering, procurement, and construction capital costs. Estimated costs were referenced against similar completed projects in Australia where possible.
The total capital cost was escalated to 1 April 2020 using the power station capital cost escalation factor.

The proposed value of $\mathbf{P C}=\mathbf{\$ 8 4 6 , 7 5 1 . 1 5}$ per MW.
The estimated PC has increased by $4.5 \%$ (an increase of around $\$ 36,500$ ) from the 2017 BRCP, predominantly due to higher escalation factors and an increase in the nominal power station capital cost.

### 2.2.2 Capacity Credit (CC) allocation

GHD used GTPro to model the output of the 160 MW reference generator by adjusting the expected performance of the equipment to site conditions at Muja power station $\left(41^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \%\right.$ relative humidity, and 217 metres above sea level).
The proposed value of $\mathbf{C C}=\mathbf{1 5 1 . 4} \mathbf{~ M W}$.
This is slightly higher than the 2017 BRCP CC value of 148.5 MW , due to efficiency improvements for the SGT5-2000E (33MAC) gas turbine.

### 2.2.3 Legal, approval, financing, insurance, other costs, and contingencies (M)

'Margin M' covers legal, approval, financing, insurance, other costs, and contingencies during the construction phase. It was estimated from similar costs associated with recent, comparable developments from GHD's data bank, excluding any project-specific abnormal costs. The costs were scaled to the reference equipment where relevant. Margin M was then added as a fixed percentage of the capital cost of developing the power station.
The proposed value of $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{1 7 . 1 2 \%}$.
The Margin M value in the 2018 BRCP is similar to last year's value.

### 2.2.4 Land costs (LC)

Land valuations were made for the following six regions where development of a power station in the SWIS is most likely:

- Collie.
- Kalgoorlie.
- Kemerton Industrial Park.
- Kwinana.
- North Country (Eneabba and Geraldton).

[^4]- Pinjar.

Landgate assessed hypothetical land sites for each region in or near existing industrial estates for land that would be suitable for the development of a power station. Valuations were completed as at 30 June 2017 and exclude transfer duty. AEMO has added the applicable transfer duty to the land parcel cost using the Office of State Revenue's online calculator. ${ }^{8}$
AEMO calculated the average of the six valuations and escalated this to 1 April 2019 using the CPI escalation factor. The size of the land parcels for all regions was three hectares, except for Kemerton, where the minimum land size is five hectares.
The proposed value of LC = $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 4 0 8}, \mathbf{0 3 8} \mathbf{5 1}$.
The LC estimates decreased by $0.9 \%$ from the 2017 BRCP. This is due to a reduction of $20 \%$ from last year's land cost estimate for the Kalgoorlie region. Land cost estimates for other regions remained consistent with, or were slightly higher than, those determined for the 2017 BRCP. ${ }^{9}$

### 2.2.5 Transmission connection cost (TC)

TC is based on a weighted average of the capital contributions of generators connecting to the SWIS over the previous five years. Estimates are based on actual connection costs and access offers identified by Western Power through its confidential database.
As there is no actual project data available in the five-year window, Western Power estimated the shallow connection cost in accordance with the methodology described in the Market Procedure. The methodology includes the estimation of capital costs such as the procurement, installation and commissioning of the substation, plus easement costs. Western Power provided an independent report to verify the accuracy of the estimates on the basis that the underlying data is commercial in-confidence and therefore cannot be published.
Shallow connection cost estimates include construction of a substation, 2 kilometres (km) of overhead line to the power station, and an overhead line easement. AEMO provides easement costs to Western Power for use in estimating shallow connection costs. AEMO's easement cost estimate is based on the following assumptions:

- The easement is 12 hectares ( 2 km long and 60 metres wide).
- A new generator may not need to purchase the entire 12 hectares, instead securing easement rights for some or all of the land. AEMO estimates easement costs to be half of the land value.
- The land value includes transfer duty.

Easement costs have decreased by $1.6 \%$ from the 2017 BRCP, due to a fall in land values in the Kalgoorlie region.

The shallow connection costs for the 2018 BRCP have increased by 2.3\% compared to the 2017 BRCP.

The proposed value of TC = \$174,749.00 per MW.
No escalation factors have been applied because Western Power has already escalated the TC estimate to 1 April 2020.

The TC estimate has decreased by $0.4 \%$ from the 2017 BRCP value of $\$ 175,444$. This is at least partly due to the decrease in easement costs. AEMO does not have visibility into other components of the TC estimate provided by Western Power for confidentiality reasons.
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### 2.2.6 Fixed fuel cost (FFC)

FFC is the cost associated with developing and constructing onsite liquid fuel storage and supply facilities, and supporting infrastructure, including the initial cost of filling the tank with diesel to a level sufficient for 14 hours of operation. GHD provided an estimate of FFC as of 30 June 2017, which is escalated to 1 April 2020 using the CPI escalation factor. The cost of diesel includes delivery and excise rebate, but excludes GST.
The proposed value of $\mathrm{FFC}=\$ 7,010,055.61$.
The FFC estimate increased by $3.0 \%$ from the 2017 BRCP. This is largely associated with an increase in the price of delivered diesel to $\$ 0.707$ per litre ( $7 \%$ higher than the 2017 BRCP), and slightly higher facility installation costs due to wage and materials escalation.

### 2.2.7 Weighted average cost of capital

The WACC is determined by using the Capital Asset Pricing Model to estimate the costs of equity and debt. The debt risk premium (DRP) was estimated by PwC, while the risk free rate and expected inflation components of the WACC are calculated using information available from the RBA's website. ${ }^{10}$ The nominal risk free rate was determined using observed yields of Commonwealth Government bonds, while the DRP was derived using observed yields of corporate bonds. A corporate tax rate of $30 \%$ was assumed. Appendix A provides more detail on the steps for estimating the WACC.
In the 2017 BRCP report, AEMO noted that the low values for the real risk free rate and subsequently the WACC did not reflect current Australian market conditions. ${ }^{11}$ While this year's real risk free rate and WACC are marginally higher than last year, this note remains valid and is reflected in the methodology concerns detailed in Section 4.

## Risk free rate of return methodology

The nominal risk free rate was calculated from the annualised yield of a selection of Commonwealth Government bonds with maturity dates of roughly 10 years. The rate was estimated using a 20 -day average from market observations ending on 22 September 2017.
Commonwealth Government bond yields have increased since the 2017 BRCP, as shown in Figure 1.
The nominal risk free rate calculated from these bonds is $2.73 \%$, an increase from $2.57 \%$ in the 2017 BRCP.

[^6]Figure 1 Commonwealth Government bond yields, September 2016 to September 2017


The nominal rate was then adjusted for inflation to determine the real risk free rate of return. As per the Market Procedure, AEMO is required to use the RBA's inflation forecasts or the mid-point of the RBA's target inflation range outside of the forecast period. Based on the RBA's forecasts and target of $2 \%$ to $3 \%$, the expected rate of inflation is $2.48 \%$.
The above parameter values have resulted in a real risk free rate of $0.24 \%$.

## Debt risk premium methodology

The Market Procedure requires AEMO to determine the methodology to estimate the DRP which in the opinion of AEMO is consistent with currently accepted Australian regulatory practice.
The ERA adopted a modified bond yield approach to estimate the DRP for the Final Decision on Proposed Revisions to the Access Arrangement for the Mid-West and South-West Gas Distribution Systems. ${ }^{12}$ AEMO considers this revised methodology to represent current accepted Australian regulatory practice, and the DRP has been calculated accordingly. This is the same methodology that was implemented for the 2017 BRCP.
The revised bond yield approach uses a larger sample of bonds issued by Australian utilities on Australian and international markets to estimate a bond yield curve to calculate a 10-year DRP. PwC estimated the DRP at $2.0 \%$ from market observations ending on 22 September 2017. This is a preliminary figure, with the final DRP estimate to be produced using market observations ending on 17 November 2017 and published in the final 2017 BRCP report.

## Capital Asset Pricing Model results

The proposed value of the WACC (real terms) $=\mathbf{5 . 3 0 \%}$.
This is slightly higher than the WACC (real terms) of $5.29 \%$ used in the 2017 BRCP.

[^7]Market Participants queried the WACC methodology outlined in the Market Procedure during the 2017 BRCP consultation period and previous BRCP determinations. AEMO has compiled a list of all other concerns regarding the methodology (see Chapter 4).

### 2.3 Operating and maintenance costs

### 2.3.1 Generation O\&M costs

Generation O\&M costs assume that the OCGT plant is based on a single gas turbine capable of delivering a nominal 160 MW output, using diesel fuel, with a 30 -year operating life and a $2 \%$ capacity factor. Gas connection costs are therefore not considered. An allowance for balance of plant (service of pumps, fire systems etc.) has been included.

A 15-year annuity is calculated based on individual component costs as at June 2017, which are derived from similar recent OCGT projects. These costs are then escalated to 1 October 2020 using the generation O\&M escalation factor.
The proposed value of generation fixed O\&M costs $\boldsymbol{=} \mathbf{\$ 1 4 , 2 4 3 . 6 5}$ per MW per year.
The estimated O\&M cost decreased by $2.3 \%$ from the 2017 BRCP. This is predominantly due to a decrease in GHD's council rates and subcontractor fees estimates ${ }^{13}$ as well as a slightly higher CC value.

### 2.3.2 Switchyard O\&M costs

Switchyard O\&M costs were calculated from the isolator on the high voltage side of the generator transformer and do not include any generator transformer or switchgear associated costs.
A bottom-up approach was used to estimate the switchyard costs, based on the annual charge for the connection infrastructure. The cost estimate included labour, machinery parts, and general overheads incurred during routine maintenance, which occurs one week per year on average.
The 330 kV switchyard was assumed to have an average asset life of 60 years. A 15-year annuity was calculated based on the cost estimate as at June 2017, which was then escalated to 1 October 2020 using the connection O\&M escalation factor.
The proposed value of switchyard O\&M costs $\mathbf{=} \mathbf{\$ 5 2 4 . 8 0}$ per MW per year.
The 2018 BRCP switchyard O\&M cost remains consistent with the value calculated for the 2017 BRCP, based on the bottom up estimate approach conducted by GHD.

### 2.3.3 Transmission line O\&M costs

The new transmission line was assumed to be a single circuit 330 kV construction with two conductors per phase, and was assumed to have an average asset life of 60 years. The rating of the line was selected to facilitate the transport of up to 200 MVA (power factor of 0.8). A bottom-up approach was used to estimate the transmission costs based on the annual charge for the connection infrastructure.
The cost estimate included labour, machinery parts, and general overheads incurred during routine maintenance. A 15-year annuity was calculated based on the cost estimates as at June 2017, which was then escalated to 1 October 2020 using the connection O\&M escalation factor.
The proposed value of transmission line O\&M costs $\mathbf{=} \mathbf{\$ 3 2 . 5 3}$ per MW per year.
The 2018 BRCP transmission line O\&M cost remains consistent with the value calculated for the 2017 BRCP, based on the estimate approach conducted by GHD assuming that a line inspection would be carried out over a two-day period each year that requires hiring a scissor lift.
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### 2.3.4 Asset insurance costs

The fixed O\&M component included annual insurance costs to cover power station asset replacement, business interruption, and public and products liability insurance. AEMO has obtained advice on insurance costs from an independent broker to calculate insurance premiums. The broker prefers to remain anonymous to protect its competitive position.
Premiums were calculated as follows:

- Asset replacement insurance was calculated as $0.28 \%$ of the limit of liability, as advised by the broker. The limit of liability was determined as the sum of the capital construction cost and value of fuel.
- The capital cost and value of fuel were estimated as: PC x (1+M) xCC + FFC.
- AEMO calculated asset replacement insurance as $\$ 495,719.50$ per year.
- Business interruption insurance included coverage for the potential refund liability for the facility for two years. While a construction period of one year was assumed in the application of WACC, a period of time would be required prior to commencement of construction work following a loss event (for example, for service procurement, building approvals, and any demolition or clearing works).
- AEMO calculates business interruption insurance as $\$ 147,529.78$ per year.
- Public and products liability insurance is estimated as $\$ 131,315.46$ per year. This liability includes $10 \%$ transfer duty for a limit of $\$ 50$ million for any one occurrence, as required by Western Power in an Electricity Transfer Access Contract.
- A cost of $\$ 22, \mathbf{7 9 5 . 7 8}$ per year for an annual insurance site survey is included.

The insurance premiums are assumed to cover:

- A newly constructed generation facility with on-site diesel storage.
- A facility located in a rural region of the SWIS with no cyclone risk.
- Machinery breakdown.
- Deductibles of $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 50,000$ for public and products liability insurance, $\$ 500,000$ for property damage, and 60 days for business interruption insurance.
Estimated insurance costs were escalated where necessary to 1 October 2020 using the CPI escalation factor.
The proposed value of asset insurance costs $=\$ 5,401.93$ per MW per year.
The insurance cost estimates have increased by $12.8 \%$ from the 2017 BRCP. This is due to an increase in business interruption, asset replacement, and public and products liability insurance premiums, in line with global energy sector insurance markets.
Changing weather patterns and increased risk of natural disasters continue to be a cause for concern among insurers. On top of this insurers are reaching the limits of their exposure in specific locations and industries. Based on this, insurers are reviewing their portfolios and increasing premiums, declining risks they were once prepared to accept, or imposing further conditions on policies.


### 2.3.5 Fixed network access and on-going charges

Network access charges were estimated using Western Power's network access tariffs (Price List) data from the 2016-17 Price List approved by the ERA. ${ }^{14}$ The relevant tariff that applies to generation facilities is the Transmission Reference Tariff 2.
As network access charges vary by location, AEMO considered the list of six regions outlined in the Market Procedure and applied the unit price for the most expensive location. Muja Power Station

[^9]substation "Use of System" is the most expensive location and hence was selected as the base tariff input for the estimation of the fixed network access charges. The other two input component costs included control system and transmission metering service charges. Total annual costs per MW were calculated as at July 2017 and have been escalated by CPI to 1 October 2020.

The proposed value of Fixed network access costs $=\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 3 1 7 . 5 1}$ per MW per year.
The fixed network access cost estimates have increased by $1.0 \%$ from the 2017 BRCP, due to slightly higher CPI forecasts.

## 3. PROPOSED VALUE OF THE 2018 BRCP

### 3.1 Annualised Capital Costs (ANNUALISED_CAP_COST)

The theoretical total capital cost (CAP_COST) of building a new power station in the SWIS and connecting it to the grid is estimated from the component costs determined in Section 2.2. This is expressed as:
CAP_COST $=((P C \times(1+M)+T C) \times C C+F F C+L C) \times(1+W A C C)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
The proposed value of CAP_COST $=\mathbf{\$ 1 9 0}, 880, \mathbf{3 4 6} .48$.
CAP_COST is then annualised over a 15-year period using the WACC.
This produces an ANNUALISED_CAP_COST $=\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 7 6 4 , 1 3 7 . 1 5}$ per year.
The annualised capital cost estimate has increased by $5.6 \%$ from the 2017 BRCP.

### 3.2 Annualised Operating and Maintenance Costs (ANNUALISED_FIXED_O\&M)

The theoretical annualised fixed $O \& M$ cost is the sum of individual $O \& M$ components calculated in Section 2.3. This is expressed as:
ANNUALISED_FIXED_O\&M = generation O\&M costs + switchyard O\&M costs + transmission line O\&M costs + asset insurance costs + fixed network access costs and on-going charges
Depreciation is omitted, as it forms part of a regulated utility's annual revenue entitlement.
The proposed value of ANNUALISED_FIXED_O\&M = \$30,520.43 per MW per year.
The annualised fixed O\&M cost estimate has increased by $1.3 \%$ from the 2017 BRCP.

### 3.3 BRCP Calculation

The BRCP is estimated by summing the annualised fixed O\&M and annualised capital expenditure on a per MW basis. This is expressed as:
BRCP $=$ ANNUALISED_FIXED_O $\& M+\frac{\text { ANNUALISED_CAP_COST }}{C C}$
The proposed value of the 2018 BRCP is estimated to be $\$ 154,457.92$ which is then rounded to the nearest \$100.
The proposed BRCP = \$154,500 per MW per year.
The proposed 2018 BRCP is $3.1 \%$ higher than the 2017 BRCP.
An overview of the variation of the components of the 2017 BRCP and 2018 BRCP is listed in Table 5.

Table 5 BRCP components for 2017 and 2018

|  | 2017 BRCP | Draft 2018 BRCP | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRCP | 149,800 | 154,500 | AU\$/MW/year |
| ANNUALISED_FIXED_O\&M | 30,143 | 30,520 | AU\$/MW/year |
| Generation O\&M cost | 14,572 | 14,244 | AU\$/MW/year |
| Switchyard O\&M cost | 528 | 525 | AU\$/MW/year |
| Transmission line O\&M cost | 32.74 | 32.53 | AU\$/MW/year |
| Asset insurance cost | 4,791 | 5,402 | AU\$/MW/year |
| Fixed network access and on-going charges | 10,219 | 10,318 | AU\$/MW/year |
| CAP_COST | 180,893,141 | 190,880,346 | AU\$ |
| Power station cost | 810,229 | 846,751 | AU\$/MW |
| Margin M | 17.19 | 17.12 | \% |
| Transmission cost | 175,444 | 174,749 | AU\$/MW |
| Capacity credit allocation | 148.5 | 151.4 | MW |
| Fixed fuel cost | 6,803,924 | 7,010,056 | AU\$ |
| Land cost | 2,430,526 | 2,408,039 | AU\$ |
| WACC | 5.29 | 5.30 | \% |
| ANNUALISED_CAPCOST | 17,776,436 | 18,764,137 | AU\$/year |
| Term of finance | 15 | 15 | Years |

The changes between the 2017 and draft 2018 BRCP values by input parameter are shown in Table 6. Most of the changes relate to an increase in escalation factors.
A detailed breakdown of the historical BRCP since market start is provided in Appendix $B$.
Table 6 Breakdown of variance between 2017 and draft 2018 BRCP

|  | Impact (\$) | Impact (\%) | BRCP (AU\$) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2017 BRCP |  |  | $\mathbf{1 4 9 , 8 0 0}$ |
| Escalation factors | 4,200 | $2.8 \%$ | 154,000 |
| Power station cost | 200 | $0.1 \%$ | 154,200 |
| Margin M | -100 | $-0.1 \%$ | 154,100 |
| Fixed fuel cost | 100 | $0.1 \%$ | 154,200 |
| Land cost | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 154,200 |
| Transmission cost | -100 | $-0.1 \%$ | 154,100 |
| WACC | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 154,100 |
| Fixed O\&M | 400 | $0.3 \%$ | 154,500 |
| 2018 BRCP | $\mathbf{4 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4 , 5 0 0}$ |

## 4. STAKEHOLDER SUBMISSIONS AND METHODOLOGY CONCERNS

Both AEMO and Market Participants have outlined concerns and provided feedback on the BRCP methodology during the annual public consultation process. Formal Market Participant submissions can be found here.
AEMO considers the methodology concerns in Table 7 should be reviewed as part of the 5 yearly review to be conducted by the ERA under clause 2.10.2 of the Wholesale Electricity Market (WEM) Rules, and the Market Procedure amended where necessary.

Table 7 Methodology Concerns

| Component | Comment | Market Participant support |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC - REFERENCE EQUIPMENT | The methodology prescribed in the Market Procedure currently requires the theoretical reference power station to be a 160 MW OCGT. <br> AEMO considers the size of the reference power generator does not reflect future growth of peak demand in the WEM. The average size of generators recently installed in the SWIS is approximately 20 MW . AEMO notes that an OCGT power station has not been installed in the SWIS in the past five years, and that a power station of this configuration is no longer available for purchase on the market. Currently, AEMO selects a generator with a nameplate capacity close to 160 MW and scales this to a nameplate capacity of 160 MW to align with the requirements of the Market Procedure. | Tesla Corporation $(2016,2017)$ <br> Synergy (2016) <br> Community Electricity (2014, 2015) |
| WACC - DRP | The methodology prescribed in the Market Procedure currently requires AEMO to determine the DRP using a methodology consistent with current accepted Australian regulatory practice. AEMO agrees that the DRP methodology should follow current Australian regulatory practice. However, AEMO notes that footnote one in the Market Procedure restricts the DRP methodology to a specific 'Bond-Yield Approach'. AEMO considers the DRP methodology should be reviewed. | Tesla Corporation $(2016,2017)$ <br> Synergy (2017 <br> Alinta $(2014,2015)$ |
| WACC | AEMO notes that the WACC methodology prescribed in the Market Procedure gives AEMO no discretion to deviate. In a situation where the methodology results in an irregular or nonsensical outcome for any input parameter, AEMO cannot consider an alternative. This may result in a BRCP determination that is not reflective of the current economic situation. AEMO notes the proposed 2017 BRCP calculation resulted in a lower than expected WACC, due to an irregular real risk free rate of return. AEMO considers all components of the WACC methodology should be reviewed. | Tesla (2017) <br> Perth Energy (2017) <br> Alinta (2015) |


| Component | Comment | Market <br> support |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FIXED O\&M - |  |  |
| INSURANCE | The methodology prescribed in the Market Procedure currently <br> requires the limit of liability for public and products liability <br> insurance to be determined in accordance with Western Power's <br> network access arrangement. Currently, the access <br> arrangement requires a public liability insurance limit of not less <br> than \$50 million. After considering feedback from several <br> independent brokers, AEMO believes the limit of \$50 million to <br> be too low. | Community Electricity <br> $(2014, ~ 2015)$ |
| TC | The TC cost methodology prescribed in the Market Procedure is <br> currently based on actual connection costs and access offers <br> identified by Western Power. Limited new generation capacity is <br> currently being built in the WEM, resulting in less project data <br> available when calculating TC costs. The 2017 BRCP TC <br> calculation contained no actual project data and resulted in an <br> estimation 9.5\% higher than the previous year. |  |

## 5. INVITATION FOR SUBMISSIONS

AEMO invites submissions on the proposed 2018 BRCP and the supporting documents.

### 5.1 Submission guidelines

Submissions must be made in writing, clearly address issues that interested parties consider relevant to this review, and provide supporting evidence or calculations where appropriate.
In keeping with the principle of open and transparent processes, all submissions will be published on the AEMO website. If a stakeholder provides confidential information in a submission as supporting evidence, two versions are requested, with one clearly marked as confidential which will not be published.

### 5.2 Making a submission

AEMO prefers to receive submissions by email to wa.capacity@aemo.com.au.
Written submissions may be posted to AEMO, addressed to:
Australian Energy Market Operator
Attn: Group Manager WA Markets
PO Box 7096
Cloisters Square, Perth, WA 6850
The deadline for submissions is 5:00 PM (Western Standard Time) on 30 November 2017.
Enquiries may be directed to Luke Dowling or Neetika Kapani on (08) 94699800.

## APPENDIX A. WACC

The pre-tax real WACC is applied in the determination of the BRCP. The formula is:

$$
W^{2} C_{\text {real }}=\left(\frac{1+W_{A C C}^{n o m i n a l}}{1+i}\right)-1
$$

where

$$
W^{\prime} C_{\text {nominal }}=\left(\frac{1}{1-t(1-\gamma)}\right) R_{e} \frac{E}{V}+R_{d} \frac{D}{V}
$$

and the nominal return on equity is calculated as:

$$
R_{e}=R_{f}+\beta_{e} \times M R P
$$

while the nominal return on debt is calculated as:

$$
R_{d}=R_{f}+(D R P+d)
$$

The WACC parameters applied in the 2017 BRCP and the proposed 2018 BRCP are shown in Table 8.
Table 8 WACC parameters for the 2017 and 2018 BRCP

| Parameter | Notation | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ value | 2018 value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal risk free rate of return (\%) | $R_{f}$ | 2.57 | 2.73 |
| Expected inflation (\%) | $i$ | 2.39 | 2.48 |
| Real risk free rate of return (\%) | $R_{f r}$ | 0.18 | 0.24 |
| Market risk premium (\%) | $M R P$ | 6 | 6 |
| Asset beta | $\beta_{a}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Equity beta | $\beta_{e}$ | 0.83 | 0.5 |
| Debt risk premium (\%) | $D R P$ | 2.22 | 0.83 |
| Debt issuance cost (\%) | $d$ | 0.125 | 2.0 |
| Corporate tax rate (\%) | $t$ | 30 | 0.125 |
| Franking credit value | $\gamma$ | 0.25 | 30 |
| Debt to asset ratio (\%) | $D / V$ | 40 | 0.25 |
| Equity to total asset ratio (\%) | $E / V$ | 60 | 40 |

## APPENDIX B. HISTORICAL BRCP COMPONENT COST BREAKDOWN

Figure 2 Historical BRCP component cost breakdown


## MEASURES AND ABBREVIATIONS

## Units of measure

| Abbreviation | Unit of measure |
| :--- | :--- |
| AU\$ | Australian dollar |
| MW | Megawatt |

## Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Expanded name |
| :--- | :--- |
| AEMO | Australian Energy Market Operator |
| ANNUALISED_CAP_COST | Annualised capital cost |
| ANNUALISED_FIXED_O\&M | Annualised fixed operating and maintenance cost |
| BRCP | Benchmark Reserve Capacity Price |
| CAP_COST | Capital cost |
| CC | Capacity Credit |
| CPI | Consumer price index. Used as a general price inflation index during escalations. |
| DRP | Debt risk premium |
| EMR | Electricity Market Review |
| ERA | Economic Regulation Authority |
| FFC | Fixed fuel costs |
| LC | Land cost |
| M | Margin to cover legal, approval, financing and other costs and contingencies |
| MRCP | Maximum Reserve Capacity Price |
| PC | Power station capital cost |
| PwC | PricewaterhouseCoopers Australia |
| RBA | Reserve Bank of Australia |
| OCGT | Open cycle gas turbine |
| O\&M | Operating and maintenance |
| SWIS | South West interconnected system |
| TC | Transmission connection costs |
| TUOS | Whansmission use of system |
| WA | Western Australia |
| WACC | Wholesale Electricity Market |
| WEM | Craphal average cost of capital |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Please note the Market Procedure: Maximum Reserve Capacity Price, has not been updated to reflect the amendments to the WEM Rules that commenced on 1 July 2016 as a result of the Electricity Market Review. The Economic Regulation Authority is now responsible for this Market Procedure, which is available at: https://www.erawa.com.au/electricity/wholesale-electricity-market/market-procedures
    Please note that in this Procedure all references to the Independent Market Operator (IMO) and the Maximum Reserve Capacity Price (MRCP) should now refer to AEMO and the BRCP respectively.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Rounded to the nearest $\$ 100$, zero dollar values indicate an impact of less than $\$ 50$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Please note the Market Procedure: Maximum Reserve Capacity Price, has not been updated to reflect the amendments to the WEM Rules that commenced on 1 July 2016 as a result of the Electricity Market Review. The Economic Regulation Authority is now responsible for this Market Procedure, which is available at: $h$ ttps://www.erawa.com.au/electricity/wholesale-electricity-market/market-procedures.
    Please note that in this Procedure all references to the Independent Market Operator (IMO) and the Maximum Reserve Capacity Price (MRCP) should now refer to AEMO and the BRCP respectively.

[^3]:    4 See http://www.aemo.com.au/Electricity/Wholesale-Electricity-Market-WEM/Reserve-capacity-mechanism/Benchmark-Reserve-Capacity-Price.

[^4]:    5 This is the nameplate rating provided by GTPro and represents a slight increase in capacity for this model from last year due to efficiency improvements.
    6 There is currently no generator available on the market that matches the specifications of the Market Procedure. As a result, GHD has scaled the , estimation for the 175.6 MW Siemens unit to represent the expected configuration of the 160 MW generator specified in the Market Procedure.
    7 Further information is available at: http://www.thermoflow.com/combinedcycle PCE.html.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ Available at: https://rol.osr.wa.gov.au/Calculators/faces/Calculators? afrLoop=247790592985840\& afrWindowMode=0\& adf.ctrlstate $=$ re813w9ui 4.
    

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ See http://www.rba.gov.au/statistics/tables/ and http://www.rba.gov.au/publications/smp/index.html
    ${ }^{11}$ Refer to Section 2.2.7 of the 2017 BRCP report: http://www.aemo.com.au/-
    /media/Files/Electricity/WEM/Reserve Capacity Mechanism/BRCP/2017/Final-Report-Benchmark-Reserve-Capacity-Price-for-the-2019-20-Capacity-Year.pdf

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ Available at https://www.erawa.com.au/cproot/13880/2/GDS\%20-\%20ATCO\%20-\%20AA4\%20-\%20Amended\%20Final\%20Decision\%20\%20PUBLIC\%20VERSION.PDF

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ Refer to Table 16 of GHD's report: 2018 Benchmark Reserve Capacity Price for the South West Interconnected System.

[^9]:    ${ }^{14}$ Available at https://www.erawa.com.au/electricity/electricity-access/western-power-network/annual-price-lists-for-network-charges.

