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# Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback on the content of the initial draft version of the *July 2023 REMP Consultation*.

# Feedback on Net System Load Profile Methodology (ICF\_072) discussion

| **Question** | **Participant Comments** |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Do you agree that Option 1 best achieves the desired objectives and principles? If not, why?
 |  |
| 1. Do you believe an alternative methodology would better achieve the desired objectives and principles? Why? Please provide details of the alternative methodology.
* The selection of an alternative methodology would likely result in a delay to the longer-term methodology being implemented, as AEMO would need to develop, analyse and test this alternative.
 |  |
| 1. Do you agree that the preferred methodology should not be implemented prior to October 2024 and that with the implementation of the new methodology should occur during a historically less volatile pricing period? If not, why?
 |  |

# Feedback on Substitution Type review (ICF\_054) discussion

| **Question** | **Participant Comments** |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Do you agree that the proposed changes, to the substitution types and reason codes, will achieve the desired objective? In not, why?
 |  |
| 1. Which of the proposed implementation dates do you believe should be pursued, and why?
 |  |

# Feedback on Summation Metering Changes (ICF\_073) discussion

| **Question** | **Participant Comments** |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Do you agree with the proposed inclusion of the three summation arrangements? If not, why?
 |  |
| 1. Do you believe that an alternative approach would better achieve the desired objective?
 |  |
| 1. Is the summation method detailed enough or should it be more prescriptive?
 |  |
| 1. Do you agree with the proposed effective date? If not, please provide an alternative effective date with reasoning.
 |  |

# Feedback on NMI Discovery for MCs discussion

| **Question** | **Participant Comments** |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Do you agree with the proposed change to the CATS Procedure? If not, why?
 |  |
| 1. Do you believe that an alternative approach would better achieve the desired objective?
 |  |
| 1. Do you agree with the proposed effective date? If not, please provide an alternative effective date with reasoning.
 |  |