**Proposed Procedure Change (PPC) response template.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Issue # **IN007/18 – Amend How Water Estimation Methodology in RMP**Review comments submitted by: *<insert company name>*Contact Person: *<insert contact name>* |  | Date: *<insert date>* |  |

*Please complete sections* ***1 and 2.***

**Section 1 - General Comments on the PPC**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Topic** | **Please Provide Response Here** |
| Sections 1 to 10 of the PPC sets out details of the proposal. Does your organisation supports Jemena’ s assessment of the proposal? If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes Jemena’s assessment (include PPC section reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation rational why you do not support Jemena’s assessment.  |  |

**Section 2 – Specific comments regarding RMPs and GIP/SP**

| **\*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\*** |
| --- |
| **RMPor GIP/SP?** | **Clause/Section ref** | **Issue / Comment**  | **Proposed text**~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **Rating[[1]](#footnote-1)(H/M/L)** | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. L = Low: - Not critical. Issues / Comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
 M = Medium: - Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be consider and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
 H = High – Critical. The issue / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)