

AEMO COMPLIANCE NOTIFICATION

PREPARED BY: Gas Retail Market Development

VERSION: 1

RELEASE DATE: 27 October 2010

Australian Energy Market Operator Ltd ABN 94 072 010 327

www.aemo.com.au info@aemo.com.au

NEW SOUTH WALES QUEENSLAND SOUTH AUSTRALIA VICTORIA AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY TASMANIA



Participant Imbalance Amount (PIA) Nominations

Introduction

This document outlines an apparent breach of **Clause 28.2(1)(e)** by Santos in relation to nomination of participant imbalance amounts **(PIAs)** to the network operator for the NSW-Wilton network section.

Circumstances of Apparent Breach

Clause 28.2(1)(e) outlines the requirement for users to include their respective PIAs in their nominations to the network operator for a network section. The sum of PIAs across all users for a gas day needs to sum to zero for those users' cumulative imbalances to be reduced by the value of the PIA submitted. Therefore if one user fails to include, or incorrectly includes, PIA in its nomination, the PIAs for all users on that gas day will be cancelled. For this reason, clause 28.2(2)(b) sets out the requirement for the network operator to notify the Rules Administrator if the sum of all PIAs from users received under clause 28.2(1)(e) for a network section does not equal zero for a gas day.

The Rules Administrator received notifications from the network operator for the NSW-Wilton network section on 28 July 2010, advising that the PIAs for the NSW-Wilton network section did not sum to zero for gas day **29 July 2010**. Upon investigation, Jemena Gas Networks confirmed that Santos failed to submit a PIA value when it was allocated such a value by the Participant Imbalance Manager (**PIM**) for gas day 29 July 2010. Based on the information provided, it appears that Santos breached **clause 28.2(1)(e)** on 29 July 2010.

AEMO Decision: Apparent Breach is Non Material

Applying the criteria outlined in the AEMO Compliance Process (see **Attachment A**), AEMO regards the apparent breach of **Clause 28.2(1)(e)** in relation to nomination of PIAs to the network operator by Santos for the NSW-Wilton network section for gas days **29 July 2010** to be non material for the following reasons:

Non materiality

Criterion 1: significant financial impact

The apparent breach is unlikely to cause significant financial impact on market participants as PIA applications can be made for subsequent gas days – the effect is minor in that a delay to possible reductions of cumulative imbalance amounts is likely to have occurred.

Criterion 2: significant market system impact

There were no market system impacts on either the market participants, AEMO, including the Gas Retail Market Business System; and AEMO Stakeholders that AEMO is aware of.

Criterion 3: significant operational impact

There were no operational impacts on either the market participants, AEMO, including the Gas Retail Market Business System; and AEMO Stakeholders that AEMO is aware of.

Criterion 4: Any other factors

The previous apparent breaches of the obligations relating to PIAs were assessed by the market operator for NSW and ACT at the time, Gas Market Company. This is the first apparent breach for



the participant and there are no further facts which suggest this apparent breach is systemic in nature.



ATTACHMENT A: AEMO COMPLIANCE PROCESS

Criteria AEMO will use in considering whether

- i. An incident is material; and
- ii. If the incident is material whether it should be referred to AER.

Criteria to consider in assessing materiality of apparent breach

The following criteria will be used by AEMO in determining whether an apparent breach is material in nature:

- 1. Whether or not the apparent breach is likely to cause significant financial impact on either of the following:
 - a. Market Participants;
 - b. AEMO, including the Gas Retail Market Business System;
 - c. End use customers:
 - d. AEMO stakeholders.
- 2. Whether or not the apparent breach is likely to cause significant market system impact on either of the following:
 - a. Market Participants;
 - b. AEMO; including the Gas Retail Market Business System;
 - c. AEMO stakeholders.
- 3. Whether or not the apparent breach is likely to use significant operational impact on either of the following:
 - a. Market Participants;
 - AEMO; including the Gas Retail Market Business System;
 - c. End use customers;
 - d. AEMO stakeholders.
- 4. Any other factors considered relevant by AEMO.

Criteria to consider in referring a material apparent breach to AER

The checklist is the process AEMO will use to determine whether an apparent breach, if considered material, should be referred to the AER.

In determining whether or not a material apparent breach warrants referral to the AER, AEMO may have regard to the following matters:

- 1. Whether the complaint is frivolous or vexatious.
- 2. Whether the apparent breach has resulted in any costs being borne by AEMO (and therefore the market as a whole).



- 3. Whether or not the apparent breach appears to have arisen as a result of problems with the design/operation of the Procedures.
- 4. Whether the apparent breach by a Market Participant was caused by the conduct of AEMO.
- 5. Whether the apparent breach is an isolated event, or indicates a systemic problem with compliance.
- 6. Whether the apparent breach appears to have been made intentionally or maliciously.
- 7. Whether remedial action was taken by the Market Participant following discovery of the breach.
- 8. Whether the apparent breach has a potential anti-competitive effect.
- 9. Any other matters considered relevant by the AEMO.



National Gas Law

(From National Gas (South Australia) Act 2008—1.7.2009 – note individuals are responsible for using the latest version of the Procedures/legislation)

91MB—Compliance with Retail Market Procedures

- (1) AEMO and each person to whom the Retail Market Procedures are applicable must comply with the Procedures.
- (2) However, if there is an inconsistency between an applicable access arrangement and the Retail Market Procedures, a person is, to the extent of the inconsistency, not required to comply with the Procedures.
- (3) If AEMO has reasonable grounds to suspect a breach of the Retail Market Procedures, it must, after making such inquiries and investigation as it considers appropriate, make a decision as to whether the breach is a material breach.
- (4) If AEMO decides the breach is material, AEMO—
 - (a) must publish the decision and the reasons for it on its website; and
 - (b) may direct the person suspected of the breach to rectify it or to take specified measures to ensure future compliance (or both); and
 - (c) may refer the breach to the AER.
- (5) A direction by AEMO under subsection (4)(b) must—
 - (a) specify the breach; and
 - (b) specify the date by which the direction is to be complied with; and
 - (c) be addressed to, and given to, the person suspected of the breach.
- (6) A person to whom a direction is given under subsection (4)(b) must comply with the direction.
- (7) AEMO must give a copy of its decision under subsection (3), its reasons for the decision and (if relevant) any direction under subsection (4)(b) to the AER.
- (8) If AEMO decides the breach is not material, AEMO must—
 - (a) publish the decision and the reasons for it on its website; and
 - (b) give a copy of the decision and the reasons for it to the AER.

Note—

AEMO may provide the AER with relevant information (including protected information) related to a suspected breach of the Procedures. (For disclosure of protected information, see section 91GC(2)(b).)