**Impact and Implementation Report (IIR) response template.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Issue # **IN002/18W – Minor Change to Clause 323A**Review comments submitted by: *<insert company name>*Contact Person: *<insert contact name>* |  | Date: *<insert date>* |  |

*Please complete sections 1 and 2.*

**Section 1 - General Comments on the IIR**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Topic** | **Please Provide Response Here** |
| Sections 1 to 4 of the IIR sets out *AEMOs critical examination of the proposal.*Does your organisation supports AEMO’s examination of the proposal? If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO examination proposal and include information that supports your organisation rational why you do not support AEMO examination.  |  |
| Sections 5 to 10 of the IIR sets out *AEMO’s assessment of likely effect of proposal*.Does your organisation supports AEMO’s assessment of likely effect of proposal.? If no, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO assessment of the likely effect of the proposal and include information that supports your organisation rational why you do not support AEMO assessment.  |  |
| Section 11 of the IIR set out *AEMOs recommendation*. Does your organisation supports AEMO position to recommend the procedures changes?If no please provide details why your organisation does not supports AEMO’s recommendation.  |  |

**Section 2 – Specific comments regarding RMPs and GIP/SP**

| **\*\*\*Participants are to complete the relevant columns below in order to record their response.\*\*\*** |
| --- |
| **RMPor GIP/SP?** | **Clause/Section ref** | **Issue / Comment**  | **Proposed text**~~Red strikeout~~ means delete and blue underline means insert | **Rating[[1]](#footnote-1)(H/M/L)** | **AEMO Response (AEMO only)** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. L = Low: - Not critical. Issues / Comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
 M = Medium: - Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be consider and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
 H = High – Critical. The issue / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)