



Independent review report to the Board of Directors of the Australian Energy Market Operator Limited (AEMO) for the New South Wales (NSW) and Australian Capital Territory (ACT) Retail Gas Market

Scope

In accordance with our contract effective 1 January 2016, we have reviewed the Australian Energy Market Operator Limited (AEMO) internal control procedures in relation to compliance with the 'Retail Market Procedures (NSW & ACT)' for the NSW / ACT Retail Gas Market for the year ended 30 June 2016, in the following areas:

- AEMO's compliance processes and compliance with the Retail Market Procedures (NSW & ACT)
- IT Controls, including software management and business continuity
- integrity of the AEMO meter register
- profiling and allocation processes and systems
- retail billing and information systems.

AEMO Management's Responsibilities

AEMO Management is responsible for maintaining an effective internal control structure, including control procedures in relation to the Retail Market Procedures (NSW & ACT). This responsibility includes establishing and maintaining internal controls relevant to compliance with the Retail Market Procedures (NSW & ACT) and the preparation and fair presentation of information that is free from material misstatement.

PwC's Responsibilities

Our responsibility is to express a conclusion based on our limited assurance procedures, on whether anything has come to our attention to indicate that the AEMO internal control procedures in relation to the areas listed in the Scope section above, have not been, in all material respects, effectively designed and operated, in order to comply with the relevant criteria outlined in:

- Retail Market Procedures (NSW & ACT) Version 14 (November 2014) to Version 15 (May 2016).

In determining compliance with the criteria above, we have also referenced the following documents:

- Gas Interface Protocol NSW ACT Version 2.0 (May 2016)
- GRMBS CR176 RoLR Procedure Version 1.2 (May 2015)
- Physical Access Control Standard (CGIITN 5.84 Version 1.1.1)
- CGI Access Management – User Management Standard (Version 1.0)
- Logging and Monitoring Standard (CGIITN 5.55, Version 1.4.1)
- CGI AEMO Backup and Restore Strategy (Version 2.3)
- Patch and Vulnerability Management Standard (CGIITN 5.21, Version 1.5)
- AEMO Change Control Procedure Manual (CGI Version 2.1)
- CGI ITIL Procedure - Change Management (Version 1.0)
- CGI Help Desk Incident Management (Version 1.2)

PricewaterhouseCoopers, ABN 52 780 433 757

Freshwater Place, 2 Southbank Boulevard, Southbank VIC 3006, GPO Box 1331, Melbourne VIC 3001
DX 77 Melbourne, Australia

T: +61 3 8603 1000, F: +61 3 8603 1999, pwc.com.au

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.

Our limited assurance engagement has been conducted in accordance with the Australian Standard on *Assurance Engagements (ASAE) 3000 Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information* to provide limited assurance that AEMO has complied with the specific assurance criteria for each gas market. The work performed was designed to enable us to express the conclusion below. Accordingly, we have conducted such tests and procedures as we considered appropriate, including:

- inquiry and observation of staff and management to understand the operation of controls
- review of relevant AEMO policies, procedures and user manuals
- undertaking procedures to evaluate the design effectiveness of key controls
- performing limited sample testing to validate the operating effectiveness of key controls.

Scope exclusions

Our review did not include application and IT controls over systems that are operated by external organisations (except for CGI), the compliance with Service Level Requirements, or the control procedures in place at those agencies not controlled by AEMO, specifically:

- Retailers
- Shippers
- Network Operators
- Meter Data Agents
- Other Market Participants.

It is assumed that the data received by AEMO from Distributors in relation to metering data is complete, accurate and valid. Our scope was limited to the procedures AEMO perform over validating the reasonableness of this data.

Our scope did not include certification of the gas market systems. We accept no responsibility for the adequacy or accuracy of work performed by the Certifier. We accept no liability to AEMO or to any other person, for any part of our review report that relies on or assumes the adequacy of, work undertaken by the Certifier.

Use of Report

This report has been prepared for distribution to the Board of Directors of AEMO. We disclaim any assumption of responsibility for any reliance on this report to any other person other than the Board of Directors, or for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared.

Inherent limitations

Because of the inherent limitations of any internal control system, there is the possibility of management override of controls, non-compliance, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Further, the internal compliance and control culture has not been reviewed and no view is expressed as to its effectiveness.

A limited assurance engagement is substantially less in scope than a reasonable assurance engagement. A limited assurance engagement is not designed to detect all weaknesses in the internal compliance and control system as it is not performed continuously throughout the reporting period and any testing is performed on a sample basis. Also a limited assurance engagement does not provide all the evidence that would be required in a reasonable assurance engagement (an audit), thus the level of assurance is less than that given in an audit. We have not performed an audit and, accordingly, we do not express an audit opinion.

Any projections of any evaluation of the internal compliance and control system to future periods is subject to the risk that the internal compliance and control system may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Materiality

We have considered materiality when evaluating the effect of identified control weaknesses on our conclusion. Materiality is considered in the context of AEMO's objectives relevant to the area of activity being examined. When assessing materiality, we considered qualitative factors as well as quantitative factors, including:

- the purpose of the engagement and specific requirements of the engagement
- the economic, social, political and environmental impact of control weaknesses
- the importance of an identified control weakness in relation to the area of activities and the entities overall objectives
- the impact of a centralised function on other parts of the entity
- public perception and/or interest in the area of activity
- the cost of alternative controls relative to their likely benefit
- the length of time an identified control weakness was in existence.

Summary of Findings

The table below summarises findings reported which remain open as at 30 June 2016. This includes findings reported by AEMO management or through our review procedures.

	Critical	Significant	Medium	Low
Level 1	0	0	0	4
Level 2	0	0	4	1
Level 3	0	0	0	4
Total	0	0	4	9

The table below summarises findings from prior periods or this period which have been closed during FY16.

	Critical	Significant	Medium	Low
Level 1	0	0	1	2
Level 2	0	0	0	0
Level 3	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	1	2

We have categorised control observations noted according to agreed risk and compliance ratings. The risk ratings applied for each finding are consistent with the likelihood and consequence matrix adopted by AEMO's Risk and Audit Committee.

The ratings have been tailored to reflect the potential impact on the gas market as follows:

Risk Rating	Definition
Critical	Findings which may have a catastrophic impact on the gas market operations if they are not addressed immediately and require executive action with regular reporting at Board level.
Significant	Findings which may have a major impact on the gas market operations if they are not addressed as a matter of priority. These findings require senior management attention with regular monitoring and reporting at executive and Board meetings.
Medium	Findings which may have a moderate impact on the gas market operations if they are not addressed within a reasonable timeframe. These findings require management attention with regular ongoing monitoring.
Low	Findings which may have a minor impact on gas market operations if they are not addressed in the future. These findings are the responsibility of management with regular monitoring and reporting at staff meetings.

Compliance Rating	Definition
Level 1	Evidence of non-compliance with review criteria. These should be addressed as a matter of high priority. (Breach)
Level 2	Issues which could possibly result in non-compliance with review criteria but where no evidence of actual non-compliance was found. However, there is considered to be insufficient formal evidence of controls in place or being actioned in relation to these issues. These should generally be addressed within one to two months. (Improvements in AEMO's controls to prevent a potential breach)
Level 3	Housekeeping matters and opportunities for improving internal controls and procedures relating to gas market operations. These should be addressed within three to six months. (Better controls but not critical to prevent a breach)

Conclusion

Based on our review, which is not an audit, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the Australian Energy Market Operator did not maintain, in all material respects, effective control procedures in relation to the NSW & ACT Retail Gas Market, for the year ended 30 June 2016, based on the scope referred to above.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "PricewaterhouseCoopers".

PricewaterhouseCoopers

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "M. Hunt".

Matthew Hunt
Partner

Melbourne
4 October 2016