

Attachment 1 Stakeholder feedback template

This template has been developed to enable stakeholders to provide their feedback on the proposed service points, zones and, where relevant, pipeline segments for the initial set of facilities that are expected to be subject to the reforms. The template also contains a number of specific questions that AEMO and the GMRG would like feedback on.

AEMO and the GMRG strongly encourage stakeholders to use this template, so they can have due regard to the views expressed by stakeholders on each issue. Stakeholders should not feel obliged to answer each question, but rather address those issues of particular interest or concern. Further context for the questions can be found in the consultation paper.

Questions	Feedback
Pipelines	
<p>South West Queensland Pipeline:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments? 	
<p>Roma to Brisbane Pipeline:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments? 3. Do you agree with the proposed specification of delivery zones incorporating STTM custody transfer points? If not, please explain why not. 4. Do you consider that there is likely to be a reasonable 	

Questions	Feedback
<p>level of demand for a backhaul product from the Brisbane STTM given there is no additional supply source at Brisbane?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If not, please explain why not. ○ If so, do you consider the specification of a backhaul service between the Brisbane STTM nomination point (backhaul receipt point) and RBP Trade Point (backhaul delivery point) is appropriate? If not, please explain why not and set out what service points you think backhaul services should be available between and why. 	
<p>Berwyndale to Wallumbilla Pipeline:</p> <p>5. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
<p>Wallumbilla to Gladstone Pipeline:</p> <p>6. Do you agree with the proposed service points,</p>	

Questions	Feedback
zones and pipeline segments?	
<p>Carpentaria Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>7. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
<p>Darling Downs Pipeline:</p> <p>8. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
<p>Spring Gully Pipeline:</p> <p>9. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
<p>Queensland Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>10. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>11. Do you consider that the receipt points between Wallumbilla and Rolleston should be allocated to a single receipt zone?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If so, please explain what benefits you think 	

Questions	Feedback
<p>would be associated with doing so.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If not, please explain why not. <p>12. Do you agree with the grouping of all delivery points at Gladstone into a single zone? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>13. Do you agree with the proposed specification of backhaul receipt and delivery points on the QGP?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If not, please explain why not and set out what service points you think backhaul services should be available between and why. 	
<p>North Queensland Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>14. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
<p>Amadeus Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>15. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
<p>Northern Gas Pipeline:</p>	

Questions	Feedback
<p>16. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
<p>Moomba to Adelaide Pipeline System:</p> <p>17. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>18. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the delivery zone incorporating both STTM custom transfer points and non-STTM points? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>19. Do you consider that the Adelaide Metro notional point should be specified as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A forward haul receipt point for northern haul services? or ○ A backhaul receipt point (i.e. gas supply at this service point is likely to be an offset to STTM deliveries)? <p>Please provide an explanation for your response.</p>	

Questions	Feedback
<p>Port Campbell to Adelaide Pipeline:</p> <p>20. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>21. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the delivery zone incorporating both STTM custody transfer points and non-STTM points? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>22. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the backhaul receipt and backhaul delivery points on the PCA? If not, please explain why not and set out what service points you think backhaul services should be available between and why.</p>	
<p>Port Campbell to Iona Pipeline:</p> <p>23. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>24. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the delivery zone incorporating DWGM interface points? If not, please explain why not.</p>	

Questions	Feedback
<p>25. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the backhaul receipt and backhaul delivery points on the PCI? If not, please explain why not and set out what service points you think backhaul services should be available between and why.</p>	
<p>SESA Pipeline:</p> <p>26. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
<p>Eastern Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>27. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>28. Do you agree with the proposed specification of delivery zones incorporating both STTM custody transfer points and non-STTM points? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>29. Do you agree with the proposed specification of the backhaul receipt and backhaul delivery points on the EGP? If not, please explain why not and set out what service points you think</p>	

Questions	Feedback
<p>backhaul services should be available between.</p> <p>30. Do you agree that the Wilton EGP service point should be specified as a backhaul receipt point (i.e. to enable gas to be backhauled from Wilton EGP to Longford or other backhaul delivery points)?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If so, please explain what benefits you think there would be in specifying this as a backhaul receipt point. ○ If not, please explain why not. 	
<p>Moomba to Sydney, Central West and Central Ranges pipelines:</p> <p>31. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>32. Do you agree with the proposed specification of a delivery zone incorporating both STTM custody transfer points and non-STTM points? If not, please explain why not.</p> <p>33. Do you agree with the proposal to allocate Culcairn South and Uranquinty to the</p>	

Questions	Feedback
<p>same delivery zone? If not, please explain why not.</p>	
<p>Illabo to Tumut Pipeline:</p> <p>34. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
<p>VicHub Pipeline:</p> <p>35. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p>	
<p>Tasmanian Gas Pipeline:</p> <p>36. Do you agree with the proposed service points, zones and pipeline segments?</p> <p>37. Do you agree with the proposal to include the TGP notional park delivery point and the TasHub delivery point in two separate delivery zones?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If so, please explain why. ○ If not, do you think that combining these points into a single zone where capacity available in the DAA for both points would be limited by the 	

Questions	Feedback
<p>CBU capacity at TasHub is appropriate?</p> <p>38. Do you agree with the proposal to allocate the Port Latta service point and Bridgewater service point to separate zones?</p>	
Compression facilities	
<p>Wallumbilla Compression Facility 1:</p> <p>39. Do you agree with the proposed service points and zones?</p>	
<p>Wallumbilla Compression Facility 2:</p> <p>40. Do you agree with the proposed service points and zones?</p>	
<p>Moomba Compression Facility:</p> <p>41. Do you agree with the proposed service points and zones?</p>	
<p>Ballera Compression Facility:</p> <p>42. Do you agree with the proposed service points and zones?</p>	

Questions	Feedback																												
<p>Iona Compression Facility:</p> <p>43. Do you agree with the proposed service points and zones?</p>	<p>Lochard Energy do not agree with the proposed service points and zones of Iona Compression Facility and hereby provides descriptions to each of the service points and zones as follow:</p> <p><u>27.1 Key Facility Information</u></p> <p>What the compression services are used for: Compression services are used to provide bi-directional transportation service between facilities connected with the Iona Underground Storage Facility</p> <p>Other notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lochard Energy proposes the use of Iona Notional Point for the compression service receipt and delivery that links in with the facilities connected with the Iona Underground Storage Facility. For the purpose of determining the auction quantity limits for the physical receipt points and delivery points specified in the table below. - Lochard advises that there are no park/loan services at Iona Notional Point. <p><u>27.2 Service Points</u></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="645 906 1998 1412"> <thead> <tr> <th>Name</th> <th>Type</th> <th>Zone Name</th> <th>Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mortlake Withdrawal</td> <td>Receipt</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>Mortlake flow to Iona has never been used to date. Proposed this service point not to be included.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Otway Withdrawal</td> <td>Receipt</td> <td>ICF-IRZ-01</td> <td>Otway plant flow to Iona.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SWP Withdrawal</td> <td>Receipt</td> <td>ICF-IRZ-01</td> <td>SWP flow to Iona</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SEAGas Withdrawal</td> <td>Receipt</td> <td>ICF-IRZ-01</td> <td>SEAGas flow to Iona on as-available basis for both virtual or physical flows</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Iona Notional Point</td> <td>Receipt</td> <td>ICF-IRZ-01</td> <td>Notional receipt point in Iona for delivery into ICF-IDZ-01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mortlake Injection</td> <td>Delivery</td> <td>ICF-IDZ-01</td> <td>Iona flow to Mortlake for a single user for purpose of Gas Powered Generation. AEMO to consider whether to include this service point.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Name	Type	Zone Name	Description	Mortlake Withdrawal	Receipt	N/A	Mortlake flow to Iona has never been used to date. Proposed this service point not to be included.	Otway Withdrawal	Receipt	ICF-IRZ-01	Otway plant flow to Iona.	SWP Withdrawal	Receipt	ICF-IRZ-01	SWP flow to Iona	SEAGas Withdrawal	Receipt	ICF-IRZ-01	SEAGas flow to Iona on as-available basis for both virtual or physical flows	Iona Notional Point	Receipt	ICF-IRZ-01	Notional receipt point in Iona for delivery into ICF-IDZ-01	Mortlake Injection	Delivery	ICF-IDZ-01	Iona flow to Mortlake for a single user for purpose of Gas Powered Generation. AEMO to consider whether to include this service point.
Name	Type	Zone Name	Description																										
Mortlake Withdrawal	Receipt	N/A	Mortlake flow to Iona has never been used to date. Proposed this service point not to be included.																										
Otway Withdrawal	Receipt	ICF-IRZ-01	Otway plant flow to Iona.																										
SWP Withdrawal	Receipt	ICF-IRZ-01	SWP flow to Iona																										
SEAGas Withdrawal	Receipt	ICF-IRZ-01	SEAGas flow to Iona on as-available basis for both virtual or physical flows																										
Iona Notional Point	Receipt	ICF-IRZ-01	Notional receipt point in Iona for delivery into ICF-IDZ-01																										
Mortlake Injection	Delivery	ICF-IDZ-01	Iona flow to Mortlake for a single user for purpose of Gas Powered Generation. AEMO to consider whether to include this service point.																										

Questions	Feedback			
	Otway Injection	Delivery	N/A	Iona flow to Otway is used only for Otway Plant black start purpose. Propose this service point not to be included.
	SWP Injection	Delivery	ICF-IDZ-01	Iona flow to SWP
	SEAGas Injection	Delivery	ICF-IDZ-01	Iona flow to SEAGas
	Iona Notional Point	Delivery	ICF-IDZ-01	Notional delivery point in Iona for receipts from ICF-IRZ-01
	27.3 Proposed Zones			
	Name	Type	Description	
	ICF-IRZ-01	Iona Receipt	Iona Receipt Zone	
ICF-IDZ-01	Iona Delivery	Iona Delivery Zone		